

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.:

DANILO RIGOBERTO BUESO and all	)
others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C.	)
216(b),	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	)
	)
SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT	)
& YACHT CLUB.,	)
SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC,	)
ROBERT A SPOTTSWOOD,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

---

**COMPLAINT UNDER 29 U.S.C. 201- 216 OVERTIME WAGE VIOLATIONS, CLAIM FOR UNPAID WAGES UNDER F.S. § 448.08, AND CLAIM FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

Plaintiff, ROBERT SARDUY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. 216(b), through undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendants, SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT & YACHT CLUB., SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC, ROBERT A SPOTTSWOOD and alleges:

1. This is an action arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-216.
2. The Plaintiff was a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida at the time that this dispute arose.
3. The Defendant SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT & YACHT CLUB., is a company that regularly transacts business within Miami-Dade County. Upon information and belief, the Defendant Corporation was the FLSA employer for Plaintiff’s respective period of employment (“the relevant time period”).

4. The Defendant SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC., is a company that regularly transacts business within Miami-Dade County. Upon information and belief, the Defendant Corporation was the FLSA employer for Plaintiff's respective period of employment ("the relevant time period").
5. The individual Defendant ROBERT A SPOTTSWOOD is a corporate officer and/or owner and/or manager of the Defendant Corporation who ran the day-to-day operations of the Corporate Defendant for the relevant time period and was responsible for paying Plaintiff's wages for the relevant time period and controlled Plaintiff's work and schedule and was therefore Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. 203 (d).
6. All acts or omissions giving rise to this dispute took place in Miami-Dade County.

#### **COUNT I. FEDERAL OVERTIME WAGE VIOLATION**

7. This action arises under the laws of the United States. This case is brought as a collective action under 29 USC 216(b). It is believed that the Defendants have employed several other similarly situated employees like Plaintiff who have not been paid overtime and/or minimum wages for work performed in excess of 40 hours weekly from the filing of this complaint back three years.
8. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this case is brought pursuant to The Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 (section #216 for jurisdictional placement).
9. 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a) (1) states, "Except as otherwise provided in this section, no employer shall employ any of his employees who in any workweek is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, for a workweek longer than forty hours unless such

employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed.”

10. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a cook from on or about August 15, 2016 through on or about July 11, 2018.
11. Defendant's business activities involve those to which the Fair Labor Standards Act applies. Both the Defendant’s business and the Plaintiff’s work for the Defendant affected interstate commerce for the relevant time period. Plaintiff’s work for the Defendant affected interstate commerce for the relevant time period because the materials and goods that Plaintiff used on a constant and/or continual basis and/or that were supplied to him by the Defendant to use on the job moved through interstate commerce prior to and/or subsequent to Plaintiff’s use of the same. The Plaintiff’s work for the Defendant was actually in and/or so closely related to the movement of commerce while he worked for the Defendant that the Fair Labor Standards Act applies to Plaintiff’s work for the Defendant.
12. Additionally, Defendant regularly employed two or more employees for the relevant time period who handled goods or materials that travelled through interstate commerce, or used instrumentalities of interstate commerce, thus making Defendant’s business an enterprise covered under the Fair Labor Standards Act.
13. Upon information and belief, the Defendant Corporation had gross sales or business done in excess of \$500,000 annually for the years, 2015, 2016, and 2017.
14. Upon information and belief, the Defendant Corporation’s gross sales or business done is expected to exceed \$250,000 for the first six months of the year 2018 and is expected to exceed \$500,000 for the year 2018.
15. Between the period of on or about January 10, 2017 through on or about June 10, 2018, Plaintiff

worked an average of 70 hours a week for Defendant and was paid an average of \$20.19 but was not paid for hours worked over 40 hours in a week as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act. Plaintiff therefore claims the time-and-a-half overtime rate for each hour worked above 40 in a week.

16. Defendants willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff's overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act as Defendants knew of the overtime requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act and recklessly failed to investigate whether Defendants' payroll practices were in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act. Defendants remain owing Plaintiff these wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants for the time period specified above.

Wherefore, the Plaintiff requests double damages and reasonable attorney fees from Defendants, jointly and severally, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act as cited above, to be proven at the time of trial for all overtime wages still owing from Plaintiff's entire employment period with Defendants or as much as allowed by the Fair Labor Standards Act along with court costs, interest, and any other relief that this Court finds reasonable under the circumstances. *The Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.*

## **COUNT II. UNPAID WAGES**

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF, through Counsel, and re-adopts the factual and jurisdictional statements in paragraphs 1-16 above and further states:

17. This Court has jurisdiction for Plaintiff unpaid wage claim as this is a claim for unpaid wages pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 448.08.

18. Between the period of on or about August 15, 2016 through on or about July 11, 2018, pursuant to the terms of Plaintiff's employment, the Plaintiff was allotted paid leave to him during each

calendar year of employment (i.e., PTO), and as the time accrued Plaintiff could use same at his leisure.

19. Despite and notwithstanding same, Defendants denied all Plaintiff's requests to use his PTO/vacation time from 2016 through 2018, totaling 208.50 hours of unused PTO/vacation time at a rate of \$17.00 per hour, as reflected on Plaintiff's pay stubs.

20. As a direct proximate result of Defendants action, plaintiff has suffered damages.

21. Plaintiff therefore brings a claim pursuant to Florida Statute § 448.08 for said unpaid wages for which Plaintiff was not paid 208.50 hours of unused PTO/vacation time at a rate of \$17.00 per hour.

Wherefore, the Plaintiff requests double damages and reasonable attorney fees from Defendants, jointly and severally, pursuant to Florida Statute § 448.08 as cited above, to be proven at the time of trial for all wages still owing from Plaintiff's entire employment period with Defendants or as much as allowed by Florida Statute § 448.08 along with court costs, interest, and any other relief that this Court finds reasonable under the circumstances. *The Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.*

### **COUNT III. UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF, through Counsel, and re-adopts the factual and jurisdictional statements in paragraphs 1-21 above and further states:

22. Plaintiff seek this claim in equity based on such facts, should it be determined he cannot recover at law under Counts II, herein.

23. Due to the aforesaid facts, the Plaintiff has conferred a benefit upon the Defendants. The Defendants have knowledge of the benefit conferred and continue to retain that benefit undeservedly. Defendants voluntarily accepted and retained such benefit, because Plaintiff

worked for Defendants, Defendants permitted Plaintiff to work for them, because Defendants chose to maximize their staff.

24. It would be inequitable and unjust for Defendants to continue to retain the benefit, as Plaintiff conferred a benefit on Defendants which Defendants were legally responsible to pay for.

Wherefore, Plaintiff requests all damages as allowed by law and reasonable attorney fees from the Defendants, jointly and severally, pursuant to unjust enrichment, demands judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants, jointly and severally, for damages along with fees, costs, interest, and any other relief that this Court finds just and reasonable under the circumstances. *The Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.*

Respectfully Submitted,

J.H. Zidell, Esq.  
J.H. Zidell, P.A.  
Attorney For Plaintiff  
300 71<sup>st</sup> Street, Suite 605  
Miami Beach, Florida 33141  
Tel: (305) 865-6766  
Fax: (305) 865-7167  
Email: ZABOGADO@AOL.COM

By: \_\_\_/s/ J.H. Zidell\_\_\_\_\_  
J.H. Zidell, Esq.  
Florida Bar Number: 0010121

---

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the  
Southern District of Florida

DANILO RIGOBERTO BUESO and all )  
others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. )  
216(b), )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT )  
& YACHT CLUB., )  
SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC, )  
ROBERT A SPOTTSWOOD, )

Defendants. )

---

**SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To: (Defendant's name and address)  
SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT & YACHT CLUB.  
Registered Agent: SPOTTSWOOD, ROBERT A  
506 FLEMING STREET  
KEY WEST, FL 33040

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

J.H. Zidell, Esq.

J.H. Zidell P.A.  
300 71<sup>ST</sup> Street, Suite 605  
Miami Beach, Florida 33141

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

*CLERK OF COURT*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the  
Southern District of Florida

DANILO RIGOBERTO BUESO and all )  
others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. )  
216(b), )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT )  
& YACHT CLUB., )  
SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC, )  
ROBERT A SPOTTSWOOD, )

Defendants. )

---

**SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To: (Defendant's name and address)  
SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC  
Registered Agent: SPOTTSWOOD, ROBERT A  
506 FLEMING STREET  
KEY WEST, FL 33040

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

J.H. Zidell, Esq.

J.H. Zidell P.A.  
300 71<sup>ST</sup> Street, Suite 605  
Miami Beach, Florida 33141

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

*CLERK OF COURT*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



---

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the  
Southern District of Florida

DANILO RIGOBERTO BUESO and all )  
others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. )  
216(b), )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT )  
& YACHT CLUB., )  
SPOTTSWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC, )  
ROBERT A SPOTTSWOOD, )

Defendants. )

---

**SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To: (Defendant's name and address)  
SPOTTSWOOD, ROBERT A  
506 FLEMING STREET  
KEY WEST, FL 33040

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

J.H. Zidell, Esq.

J.H. Zidell P.A.  
300 71<sup>ST</sup> Street, Suite 605  
Miami Beach, Florida 33141

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

*CLERK OF COURT*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Bueso, Danilo Rigoberto and all others similarly situated under 29 USC 216(b) DEFENDANTS SH 3, LTD d/b/a FARO BLANCO RESORT & YACHT CLUB.,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami-Dade (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) J.H. Zidell, P.A. 300 71st St., Suite 605, Miami Beach, FL 33141 phone: 305-865-6766

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: [X] MIAMI-DADE [ ] MONROE [ ] BROWARD [ ] PALM BEACH [ ] MARTIN [ ] ST. LUCIE [ ] INDIAN RIVER [ ] OKEECHOBEE [ ] HIGHLANDS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Grid for Basis of Jurisdiction and Citizenship of Principal Parties with checkboxes for U.S. Government Plaintiff/Defendant, Federal Question, Diversity, Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF/DEF 1-6, and Incorporated/Principal Place of Business.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large grid for Nature of Suit with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) [X] 1 Original Proceeding [ ] 2 Removed from State Court [ ] 3 Re-filed (See VI below) [ ] 4 Reinstated or Reopened [ ] 5 Transferred from another district (specify) [ ] 6 Multidistrict Litigation [ ] 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment [ ] 8 Remanded from Appellate Court

VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S) (See instructions): a) Re-filed Case [ ] YES [X] NO b) Related Cases [ ] YES [X] NO JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION 29 USC §§ 201-216 LENGTH OF TRIAL via 25 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: [ ] CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: [X] Yes [ ] No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE DATE July 25, 2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT IFP JUDGE MAG JUDGE

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

## Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

**I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

**II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

**III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

**IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

**V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

**VI. Related/Refiled Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.

**VII. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.**

Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

**VIII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Faro Blanco Resort & Yacht Club Facing Unpaid Wage Claims](#)

---