Case 6:18-cv-00160-PGB-DCI Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 1 of 6 PageID 1

FILLU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

ERIC BROADEN, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated,

2018 JAN 31 PM 3: 33

CASE NO .: 10:18 - CV - 150 - 021 - 4021

Plaintiff,

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION

v.

TRUCKPRO, LLC, d/b/a TRUCKPRO – ORLANDO, a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, ERIC BROADEN, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated, by and through his undersigned counsel, sues Defendant, TRUCKPRO, LLC, d/b/a TRUCKPRO – ORLANDO, and in support thereof states as follows:

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This is an action for damages by Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated, against his employer for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA"). This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Local Rule 1.02(c) of the Local Rules of the Middle District of Florida. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the instant action occurred in Orange County, Florida. At all times material to the instant action, Defendant has conducted substantial, continuous, and systematic commercial activities in Orange County, Florida.

Parties and Factual Allegations

3. Plaintiff is an individual *sui juris* residing in Orange County, Florida.

4. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant on or around April 7, 2014, and worked for Defendant through his termination on or around June 23, 2016.

5. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. 203(e).

6. Defendant was Plaintiff's employer as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. 203(d).

7. Defendant is an enterprise engaged in commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. 203(s), who upon information and belief has annual gross business done of not less than \$500,000.

8. As an employee of Defendant, Plaintiff performed the job of Delivery / Warehouse Personnel.

9. As Delivery / Warehouse Personnel, Plaintiff was required to make deliveries and pickup returns of auto parts to and from Defendant's customers, as well as attend to general duties such as stocking in the warehouse.

10. As a Delivery / Warehouse Personnel, Plaintiff regularly handled and worked with goods that had been moved in or produced for interstate commerce.

11. During Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff was frequently required to work hours beyond his scheduled shift, specifically, when Plaintiff finished deliveries after the warehouse was closed, Plaintiff was required to return to the warehouse and finish closing procedures; however, Plaintiff was unable to use Defendant's timekeeping system, and Defendant would only credit Plaintiff for the time he was scheduled to work, as opposed to the time Plaintiff actually worked.

12. During Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff's job duties and responsibilities occasionally required Plaintiff to miss lunch, but Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for this time, and instead deducted the meal time from Plaintiff's time worked.

2

13. Defendant was aware of the issues complained of in Paragraphs 11 and 12 above.

14. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff regularly worked hours in excess of forty(40) hours per week.

15. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate.

16. Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses due to Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA.

17. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff the required overtime was willful.

18. Upon information and belief, for the three (3) year period preceding the filing of the instant action, Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA in regard to all its employees employed as Delivery / Warehouse Personnel, Plaintiff included, by failing to pay Plaintiff and other Delivery / Warehouse Personnel at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

19. Plaintiff has retained LYTLE & BARSZCZ to represent him in this matter and has agreed to pay said firm reasonable attorneys' fees for its services.

COUNT I Failure to Pay Overtime

20. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 19 above, as if fully set forth herein.

21. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant.

22. Plaintiff's job duties and responsibilities required Plaintiff to engage in commerce and/or use the tools of commerce.

23. Defendant was an employer of Plaintiff.

3

24. Defendant is an enterprise engaged in commerce, who upon information and belief has annual gross business done of not less than \$500,000.

25. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff regularly worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

26. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate.

27. Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses due to Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA.

28. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff the required overtime was willful.

29. Upon information and belief, for the three (3) year period preceding the filing of the instant action, Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA in regard to all its employees employed as Delivery / Warehouse Personnel, Plaintiff included, by failing to pay Plaintiff and other Delivery / Warehouse Personnel at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated, demands judgment against Defendant for the following:

- a. Certification of this action as a Collective Action brought pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §
 216(b);
- b. Designation of Plaintiff as representative of the FLSA Collective Class;
- c. That Plaintiff be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that this Court issue such notice at the earliest possible time; to all past and present Delivery / Warehouse Personnel employed by the Defendant at any time during the three (3) year period

immediately preceding the filing of this Complaint, through and including the date of this Court's issuance of the Court Supervised Notice;

- d. That all past and present members of the Plaintiff Collective Class be informed of the nature of this Collective Action, and similarly situated employees' rights to join this lawsuit;
- e. Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations for all potential opt-in Plaintiffs from the date of filing this Complaint, until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- f. Unpaid overtime due and owing;
- g. Liquidated damages in an amount equal to the unpaid overtime due and owing;
- h. Pre- and post-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- i. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- j. Such other relief as this Court finds just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 31st day of January 2018.

Mæry E. Lytle, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0007950 David V. Barszcz, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0750581 Robert N. Sutton, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0121688 LYTLE & BARSZCZ, P.A. 543 N. Wymore Road, Ste. 103 Maitland, Florida 32751 Telephone: (407) 622-6544 Facsimile: (407) 622-6545

Case 6:18-cv-00160-PGB-DCI Document 1 Filed 01/31/18 Page 6 of 6 PageID 6

<u>mlytle@lblaw.attorney</u> <u>dbarszcz@lblaw.attorney</u> <u>rsutton@lblaw.attorney</u> **Counsel for Plaintiff**

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ERIC BROADEN, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated				DEFENDANTS TRUCKPRO, LLC, d/b/a TRUCKPRO – ORLANDO, a Foreign Limited Liability Company		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, A LYTLE & BARSZCZ, P.A MAITLAND, FL 32751, (4	., 543 N. WYMORE R	DAD, SUITE 103,		Attomeys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plainbff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant				en of Another State	of Business In A	
				reign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		69 RTS	- 6	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT Ido Insurance I20 Marine 130 Miller Act I40 Negotiable Instrument I50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment I51 Medicare Act I52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) I53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits I60 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence 530 General	Y 0 63 0 64 1 7 957 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	LABOR Solution Sol	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 H1A (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XV1 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 896 Arbitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X")	n One Box Only)					
	moved from 3 ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Red	(specify;	er District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil St 29 U.S.C. 201 et Brief description of ca Failure to Pay O		re filing Standard	(Do not cite jurisdictional sta ds Act		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		N I	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: : Xes INO	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORVEY	OF RECORD		
131/18 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		- Cett	1 NOT			
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>TruckPro Warehouse Worker Seeks Allegedly Unpaid Wages in Class Action Suit</u>