UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SARAH BLEIER, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

-against-

MICHAEL HARRISON ATTORNEY AT LAW,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

COMPLAINT

AND

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff SARAH BLEIER (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this complaint by and through his attorneys, Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, against Defendant MICHAEL HARRISON ATTORNEY AT LAW (hereinafter "Defendant"), based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b((2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 3. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself seeking redress for Defendant's action of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 4. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq*. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the "FDCPA," which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

5. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- Defendant is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business located in Denville,
 NJ.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 10. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
 Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
 - Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all
 persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant
 attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein,
 from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
 - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 11. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection Letter and/or notices from Defendant that fail to adequately advise the consumer of their right to dispute the debt in violation of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form Letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See* Exhibit A, except that the undersigned

- attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
 - d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.

- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.
- Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby
 making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief
 with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 12. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "11" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant, on behalf of a third-party, began efforts to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 14. In an effort to begin collecting on this debt, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 15. Thereafter, on or around July 26, 2017, Plaintiff called Defendant to inquire about the alleged debt.
- 16. A representative of Defendant answered the phone and identified herself as "Jennifer."
- 17. During that phone call, plaintiff authorized her representative (hereinafter "Plaintiff") to discuss the status of the debt with defendant.

- 18. Plaintiff thereafter stated that he disagreed with the account balance and asked about filing a dispute with Defendant.
- 19. In response, Defendant indicated that "she will have to send in a written dispute."
- 20. Defendant proceeded to provide Plaintiff with the address for which to mail the dispute letter.
- 21. As set forth in the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq. False and Misleading Representations

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "19" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to § 1692(e) by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 24. Defendant violated said section by not accepting Plaintiff's dispute over the phone.
- 25. Defendant violated said section by falsely stating that in order to dispute the debt, Plaintiff would have to send something in writing.
- 26. The FDCPA allows the consumer to orally dispute a debt.¹

¹ It is well settled that § 1692g(a)(3) does not impose a writing requirement on a consumer., See. *Register v. Reiner, Reiner & Bendett, P.C.*, 488 F.Supp.2d 143 (D.Conn. 2007), *Jerman v. Carlisle, McNellie, Rini, Kramer & Ulrich*, 464 F.Supp.2d 720 (N.D. Ohio 2006), *Baez v. Wagner & Hunt, P.A.*, 442 F.Supp.2d 1273 (S.D.Fla. 2006), *Turner v. Shenandoah Legal Group, P.C.*, No. 3:06CV045, 2006 WL 1685698 (E.D. Va. 2006), *Vega v. Credit Bureau Enters.*, No. CIVA02CV1550, 2005 WL 711657 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 29, 2005), *Nasca v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, No 01CIV10127, 2002 WL 31040647 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 12, 2002), *In re Risk Mgmt. Alternatives, Inc.*, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act Litig., 208 F.R.D. 493 (S.D.N.Y. June 14, 2002), *Sambor v. Omnia Credit Servs., Inc.*, 183 F.Supp.2d 1234 (D.Haw. 2002), *Sanchez v. Robert E. Weiss, Inc.*, 173 F.Supp.2d 1029 (N.D. Cal. 2001), *Castro v. ARS Nat'l Servs., Inc.*, No. 99 CIV. 4596, 2000 WL 264310 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2000), *Ong v. Am. Collections Enter.*, No. 98-CV-5117, 1999 WL 51816 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 15, 1999), *Reed v. Smith, Smith & Smith*, No. Civ. A. 93-956, 1995 WL 907764 (M.D.La. Feb. 8, 1995), *Harvey v. United Adjusters*, 509 F.Supp.1218 (D.Or. 1981), *Semper v. JBC Legal Group*, 2005 WL 2172377 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 6, 2005). (Collector's must communicate that a debt is disputed. *Matter of Sommersdorf.*, 139 B.R. 700, 701 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1991); *Ditty v. CheckRite*, Ltd., 973 F.Supp. 1320, 1331 D.Utah 1997). (A consumer is entitled to dispute a debt orally and need not seek validation to

- 27. The FDCPA allows the consumer to orally dispute a debt for no reason at all.²
- 28. As the Second Circuit opined, Disputes need not be made in writing. *Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC*, 717 F.3d 282 (2d Cir. 2013).
- 29. Plaintiff was misled into believing that there was no way to dispute this debt over the phone.
- 30. Plaintiff was misled into believing that a dispute must occur in writing.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees wrongfully stated to Plaintiff that she had to submit a written dispute Defendant.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, wrongfully stated to Plaintiff that she must dispute a debt in writing.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employee, by intentionally denying Plaintiff and any other debtor to dispute the debt orally unfairly intimidate and force debtors in to paying disputed debts.
- 34. Defendant's employee who spoke with Plaintiff intended to speak said words to Plaintiff.
- 35. The acts and omissions of Defendant and its employees done in connection with efforts to collect a debt from Plaintiff were done intentionally and willfully.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees intentionally and willfully violated the FDCPA and do so as a matter of pattern and practice by not letting any of the class members

_

overcome the debt collector's assumption of validity.), See. *Rosado v. Taylor.*, 324 F. Supp. 2d 917 (N.D. Ind. 2004). (The collection attorney violated § 1692g(a)(3) by requiring that disputes be in writing to prevent the collector from considering the debt valid. The court noted that oral disputes overcome the assumption of validity and impose a requirement under § 1692e(8) that the debt collector report the dispute if reporting the debt to third parties. ² *Sambor v. Omnia Credit Servs.*, 183 F. Supp. 2d 1234 (D. Haw. 2002), *Mendez v. M.R.S. Assoc.*, 2004 WL 1745779 *2 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 3, 2004). (A consumer is entitled to dispute the validity of a debt for a good reason, a bad reason, or no reason at all), *Whitten v. ARS National Servs. Inc.*, 2002 WL 1050320 *4 (N.D. 111 May 23, 2002). (Imposing a requirement that a consumer have a 'valid' reason to dispute the debt is inconsistent with FDCPA), *Castro v. ARS National Servs., Inc.*, 2000 WL 264310 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2000), *Frey v. Satter, Beyer & Spires.*, 1999 WL 301650 (N.D. Ill. May 3, 1999), *DeSantis v. Computer Credit, Inc.*, 269 f.3d 159 (2nd Cir. 2001), *Mejia v. Marauder Corporation.*, 2007 WL 806486 (N.D. Cal. 2007). (Unlawful to suggest that proof of payment required for dispute).

orally dispute the debt contrary to the FDCPA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Daniel C. Cohen Daniel C. Cohen, Esq. Cohen & Mizrahi LLP 300 Cadman Plaza West, 12th Floor Brooklyn, New York 11223 Phone: (929) 575-4175

Fax: (929) 575-4195 Email: dan@cml.legal Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

<u>/s/</u>	'Daniel	<i>C</i> .	Cohen	

Daniel C. Cohen, Esq.

Brooklyn, New York March 06, 2018 Dated:

JS 44 (Rev. 11/27/17

Case 1:18-cv-01392 Document $\frac{1}{10}\sqrt{\text{Eig}}$ Case 1:18-cv-01392 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TI	HIS FORM.)	, 1		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
SARAH BLEIER, on be	ehalf of herself and all	others similarly situa	ated MICHAEL HARRISON ATTORNEY AT LAW,			
(b) County of Residence (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff _XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	KINGS .SES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
COHEN & MIZR NY 11201, (929) 575-417	AHI LLP, 300 Cadman 5	Plz W, 12 Fl., Brookly	yn,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			TF DEF 1 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizen of Another State			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FODEFITUDE/DENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	\$\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \b	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3 tte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transf Ropened 5 Transf Anothe (specify)	er District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 15 USC 1692 Brief description of ca Defendant violate					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes □ No						
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 3/6/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AI	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a

certificativ	on to the contrary is med.		
/	IEL COHEN, counsel for PLAINTIFF, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action ble for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):		
F	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,		
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,		
Ī	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason. Question of law rather than question of fact predominates		
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
NONE	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:		
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)		
to another substantia deemed "r "Presumpt	t all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a all saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that tively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still efore the court."		
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County?		
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No		
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No		
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: Kings County		
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No			
Curron	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).		
	BAR ADMISSION		
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.		
	✓ Yes □ No		
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?		
	Yes (If yes, please explain No		
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.		
	Signature:/s/_ DANIEL COHEN		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SARAH BLEIER, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiff(s))) Civil Action No.
V. MICHAEL HARRISON ATTORNEY AT LAW,))
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)
Michael Harrison Attorney at Law
75 S. Broadway, 4th Floor
White Plains, New York 10601

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) – or 60 days if you are the United States, or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP, 300 CADMAN PLAZA WEST 12TH FLOOR BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims Michael Harrison Attorney at Law Misled Consumer Regarding Debt Dispute Rights</u>