BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 113155

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Steven Beyer, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Docket No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Gold Key Credit, Inc.,

Defendant.

Steven Beyer, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Gold Key Credit, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

BARSHAY | SANDERS PLLC 100 GARDEN CTY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CTY, NEW YORK 11530

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Steven Beyer is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.

6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. On information and belief, Defendant Gold Key Credit, Inc., is a Florida Corporation with a principal place of business in Hernando County, Florida.

8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").

11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.

13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated March 3, 2017. ("<u>Exhibit 1</u>.")

15. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

16. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

17. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.

18. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."

19. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

20. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably

susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.

21. For purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, the failure to clearly and accurately identify the creditor to whom the debt is owed is unfair and deceptive to the least sophisticated consumer.

22. The identity of creditor to whom the debt is owed is a material piece of information to a consumer.

23. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to convey such clearly.

24. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to state such explicitly.

25. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty.

26. When determining whether the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed has been conveyed clearly, an objective standard, measured by how the "least sophisticated consumer" would interpret the notice, is applied.

27. The Letter fails to identify by name and label any entity as "creditor," "current creditor," "account owner," or "creditor to whom the debt is owed."

28. The Letter identifies only an "Original Creditor."

29. The "Original Creditor" is identified as "Siena Emergency Medical Svc PC."

30. The Letter states that Defendant "is now the servicer of this account."

31. The Letter states that all communication must be addressed to Defendant.

32. The Letter states that all payments must be made to "Gold Key Credit, Inc."

33. The Letter fails to state who Defendant represents.

34. The Letter fails to state what "servicer" means.

35. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to whether the creditor to whom the debt is owed is "Siena Emergency Medical Svc PC," or "Gold Key Credit, Inc," or some other entity.

36. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to whether the creditor to whom the debt is owed is "Siena Emergency Medical Svc PC," or "Gold Key Credit, Inc," or some other entity.

37. Defendant failed to explicitly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is

owed.

38. Defendant failed to clearly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

39. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

40. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

41. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

42. Because the Letter is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

43. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by the Letter.

44. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by the Letter.

45. Defendant violated § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

46. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using a collection letter materially identical to the Letter herein, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.

47. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

48. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection.

49. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to collect delinquent consumer debts using a collection letter materially identical to the Letter herein.

50. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over

any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

51. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.

52. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

JURY DEMAND

53. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

a. Certify this action as a class action; and

b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative of the Class, and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and

c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and

d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and

- f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with
- g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: October 17, 2017

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Craig B. Sanders</u> Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 csanders@barshaysanders.com *Attorneys for Plaintiff* Our File No.: 113155 Case 2:17-cv-06528 Document 1-1 Filed 11/08/17 Page 14 K2P Gelatt. Inc.

8642779817032 **DEPT 850** PO BOX 4115

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

CONCORD CA 94524

I TANANAN INA DARAH DALIMIKA BUKA BARKE KARKATARAN INA KARKATARAN KARKATARAN KARKATARAN KARKATARAN KARTARAN KA

P.O. Box 15670 * Brooksville, FL 34604-0122 (800) 218-3280 * (866) 711-4082 - Fax

03/03/2017

4308 Account Number:

OFFICE HOURS: 8AM-9PM MON THRU FRIDAY 8AM-3PM SATURDAY

TOTAL BALANCE DUE: \$746.00 (SEE BACK FOR DETAIL)

We understand times are tough and we want to work with you. As a result, we are extending the opportunity to resolve this debt for 50% of the total amount owed.

*****NOTICE OF RESOLUTION OFFER****

Here is what you can do to take advantage of this offer:

1. Remit 50% of the amount listed below to our office directly.

2. Call 800-218-3280.

3. For your convenience, we accept Western Union Quick Collects, Visa and MasterCard and checks by phone.

Unless confirmed with one of our representatives, this offer will expire on May 2, 2017. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

If you have any further questions or need assistance, please contact us at (800) 218-3280.

Gold Key Credit, Inc. is now the servicer of this account. Communications concerning this matter should be addressed to this office.

Sincerely, Corey Yates

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

Please see the reverse side of this communication for additional important information, which may include legal disclosures and/or information pertinent to this account(s).

PLEASE RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT (MAKE SURE ADDRESS SHOWS THROUGH WINDOW)

			Account #	Total Balance		
			4308	\$746.00		
			STEVEN BEYER			
			Payment Amount			
Check here if your addres new information below:	s or phone number has chan	ged and provide the	\$			
Address:			Make Payment to:			
City:	State: Zip:		GOLD KEY CREDIT, INC.			
Home Phone:	Work Phone:		P.O. Box 15670 Brooksville FL 34604-0122	ութորունիստ		



STEVEN BEYER 15 ORCHID LN

ուղերկելիիինիկելերորհիսինելենիիկերի։

COMMACK NY 11725-3708

Case 2:17-cv-06528 Document 1-1 Filed 11/08/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 8

New York City Department of Consumer Affairs License Number: 1276231

The following account(s) have been placed with Gold Key Credit, Inc.:

.....

Original	Regarding	Date of Service Last Activity	Total
Creditor Siena Emergency Medical Svc PC	STEVEN BEYER	07/20/2014	746.00

1

.

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 2:17-cv-06528 Depyment of 2 Files 11/08/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
STEVEN BEYER				GOLD KEY CREDIT, INC.					
SIEVEN BEYER				GOLD KEY CREDIT, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SUFFOLK				County of Residence	e of First Liste	ed Defendant _	HERNANDO		
(E2	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)				PLAINTIFF CASES (
				NOTE: IN LAND CON THE TRACT	NDEMNATION T OF LAND IN		LOCATION OF	7	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)	1				
BARSHAY SAND		.,		1100111090 (1) 1100 (11)					
100 Garden City P	laza, Ste 500, Garden Ci	ty, NY 11530							
(516) 203-7600	CITAN	I			DINGIDA				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		ZENSHIP OF P rsity Cases Only)	'RINCIPA	L PARTIES (One Box for Pox for Defend	
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	• 3 Federal Question	 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 			TF DEF 0.1 0.1				
Flammin	(U.S. Government)	voi a Pariy)	Citizen o	of This State C		of Business In T		0 4	04
O 2 U.S. Government	O 4 Diversity		Citizen o	of Another State O	02 02	Incorporated and P	Principal Place	O 5	O 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A			
				5	O 3 O 3	Foreign Nation		O 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	lv)	Forei	gn Country					
CONTRACT		DRTS	FORE	FEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
O 110 Insurance O 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY O 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY O 365 Personal Injury -		rug Related Seizure of roperty 21 USC 881	O 422 Appea O 423 Withd	al 28 USC 158	O 375 False C O 400 State R		
O 130 Miller Act	O 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	O 690 O		28 US		O 410 Antitru	ist	
O 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	O 367 Health Care/	-		PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	O 430 Banks a		ng
O 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			O 820 Copyr		 O 450 Comme O 460 Deporta 		
O 151 Medicare Act	O 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			O 830 Paten		O 470 Rackete	er Influenc	
O 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability O 340 Marine	O 368 Asbestos Personal			O 840 Trade	mark	Corrup ●480 Consum	ot Organiza	tions
(Excludes Veterans)	O 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR	SOCIAI	L SECURITY	O 490 Cable/S		
O 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y O 710 Fa	ir Labor Standards	O 861 HIA (O 850 Securiti		odities/
of Veteran's Benefits	O 350 Motor Vehicle	O 370 Other Fraud		ct	O 862 Black		Exchar		
O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract	O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal		bor/Management elations	O 863 DIWC O 864 SSID	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	O 890 Other S O 891 Agricul		
O 195 Contract Product Liability	O 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		ulway Labor Act	O 865 RSI (4		O 891 Agricul O 893 Enviror		
O 196 Franchise	Injury	O 385 Property Damage		mily and Medical			O 895 Freedor	n of Inforr	nation
	O 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		eave Act her Labor Litigation			Act O 896 Arbitra	tion	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		nployee Retirement	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	O 899 Admini		ocedure
O 210 Land Condemnation	O 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	In	come Security Act	O 870 Taxes	(U.S. Plaintiff		eview or Ap	
O 220 Foreclosure	O 441 Voting	O 463 Alien Detainee				efendant)		y Decision	
O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land	O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/	O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			O 871 IRS— 26 U	Third Party SC 7609	O 950 Constitu		of
O 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	O 530 General			20 0.	30 7007	State S	tatutes	
O 290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	O 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION					
	Employment O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: O 540 Mandamus & Other		turalization Application	1				
	O 440 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	O 550 Civil Rights	Actio	5 Other Immigration					
	O 448 Education	O 555 Prison Condition							
		O 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of							
		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)								
• 1 Original O 2 Remo	oved from State O 3 Rem		4 Reinstated			O 6 Multidistrict		Iultidistrict	
Proceeding Cou	ırt Cou	irt	Reopene	d (specify)	District	Litigation – Transfer		itigation – Pirect File	
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which you are	filing (Do i	not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless di	iversity): 15 USC §	§1692		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of car	156.							
		15 USC §1692 Fa	air Debt Co	ollection Practices Ac	et Violation				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	 CHECK IF THIS I UNDER RULE 2 	S A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEM	IAND \$	JU	CHECK YES only RY DEMAND:	y if demanded in • Yes	-	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE	C(S)	(See Instructions)							
IF ANY		JUDGE			DOCI	KET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF I	RECORD					
November 8, 2017			/s Cra	ig B. Sanders					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT #AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE _		MAG. JUI	DGE		

Case 2:17-cv-06528 Document 1-2 Filed 11/08/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 10 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Craig B. Sanders</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- □ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- \Box the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- \Box the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1. Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: <u>NO</u>

If you answered "no" above:
a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>YES</u>

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:	/s Craig B. Sanders	

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Steven Beyer, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated)))	
Plaintiff(s))	
)	Civil Action No.
V.)	
)	
Gold Key Credit, Inc.)	
Defendant(s))	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Gold Key Credit, Inc. 16070 Aviation Loop Dr, Brooksville, Florida 34604

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) – or 60 days if you are the United States, or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

BARSHAY SANDERS PLLC 100 GARDEN CITY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Man Claims Gold Key Credit Failed to Clearly Specify Creditor's Identity</u>