

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

AIDAN BEVACQUA, individually and on  
behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTHWEST AIRLINES, CO., a  
Texas Corporation,

Defendant.

Civil Action No:

**COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION**

BREACH OF CONTRACT

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Aidan Bevacqua (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, complain of Defendant Southwest Airlines Co. (“Southwest”) as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. In its standard contract with passengers, Southwest promised to refund collected September 11th Security Fees (“TSA Fees”) if passengers did not use the travel ticket(s) they purchased. But when passengers did not use their travel ticket(s) and thus, any TSA security services, Southwest systematically failed to refund the TSA Fees as promised and even refused when passengers specifically so requested.

2. Southwest’s standard contract with each passenger is its Contract of Carriage (“COC”). From about May 2012 through March 30, 2022, Southwest’s COC promised to refund TSA Fees for unused travel: Southwest stated that it would refund TSA Fees as required by the applicable regulations, and the applicable regulations do indeed require Southwest to refund the TSA Fees when a passenger does not travel.

3. The rationale for this is quite simple. While passengers prepay TSA Fees with their ticket purchase, no TSA security fees are owed if the passenger never travels on the purchased ticket. Instead, the applicable regulations and Southwest’s COC require the full refund in the original form of payment of those TSA Fees for unused travel.

4. In ordinary circumstances, a large number of passengers buy tickets for travel on Southwest, but then do not travel. The COVID-19 pandemic has expanded the set of passengers who do not use their pre-purchased travel. Whether due to government restrictions or recommendations against travel, health concerns, or cancellation of activities at their intended destination, millions of passengers found their travel plans disrupted by COVID-19. On information and belief, the number of passengers not using ticketed travel has increased dramatically during the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Instead of providing its promised refund of TSA Fees to the original form of payment to these many passengers who did not or could not travel, Southwest improperly converted those funds into a Travel Credit, which restricted such funds to Southwest travel limited only to the originally ticketed passenger and expired one year after the date of issuance of the cancelled ticket. Though Southwest correctly promised to refund the TSA Fees in its COC, Southwest has essentially kept these monies intended to be remitted to the federal government for itself.

6. Plaintiff brings this class action to obtain refunds of improperly withheld TSA Fees for passengers who either cancelled their reservations with Southwest prior to travel or otherwise did not travel and received a Travel Credit instead of the promised refund.

#### **PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff Aidan Bevacqua is a United States citizen residing in Seattle, Washington.

8. Defendant Southwest is incorporated in Texas. Its principal place of business, its headquarters, is in Dallas, Texas.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1332(d) because the amount of controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 and the class is comprised of at least some persons who are citizens of a state different from Southwest.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Southwest because Southwest is incorporated in Texas and its principal place of business is in Dallas, Texas.

11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1391 because Southwest is incorporated in Texas and its principal place of business is in Dallas, Texas.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Southwest Promised to Fully Refund Passengers Their Paid TSA Fees When They Do Not Travel**

12. The TSA Fees collected by Southwest are refundable when a passenger does not travel on their purchased ticket, and Southwest has itself contractually promised to refund that fee.

13. Southwest forms a contract with each passenger when travel on Southwest is purchased. The Contract is Southwest's Contract of Carriage. Southwest drafted each version of its COC. Southwest's COC states: "This Contract of Carriage represents the entire, integrated agreement between the parties relating to transportation by Carrier, and shall supersede all prior representations, understandings or agreements pertaining thereto, either oral or written."

14. The relevant COCs are the versions in effect during the class period (defined in paragraph 37 below). While Southwest has some made modifications to its COC during the class period, the relevant provisions of the COC have remained the same throughout.

15. Each version of the COC has a Texas choice of law clause: "Any and all matters arising out of or relating to this Contract of Carriage and/or the subject matter hereof shall be governed by, construed, and enforced in accordance with the laws of the United States of America and, to the extent not preempted by Federal law, the laws of the State of Texas without regard to conflict of law principles, regardless of the legal theory upon which such matter is asserted."

16. In addition to the fare price, passengers pay TSA Fees, taxes, and Passenger Facility Charges. Since at least 2003, Southwest has collected TSA Fees from passengers who purchase any travel departing from a U.S. airport. The TSA Fee is \$5.60 for one-way travel and \$11.20 for round-trip travel.

17. The TSA Fees are collected because The Aviation and Transportation Security Act requires airlines to collect these fees to “pay for ... costs of providing civil aviation security services ....” 49 U.S.C. § 44940(a)(1).

18. To carry out collection of these fees, the legislation authorizes regulations. All regulations applicable to the collection of the TSA Fees are contained in Part 1510 of Title 49. The airline selling the ticket is responsible for collecting TSA Fees and remitting the fees to the TSA. 49 C.F.R. § 1510.13. If the passenger does not travel, the regulations oblige the airlines to refund the fee to the passenger. *Id.* § 1510.9(b).

19. Airlines have “neither legal nor equitable interest in the security service fees except for the right to retain any accrued interest on the principal amounts collected ....” 49 C.F.R. § 1510.11(b).

20. In its applicable COCs, Southwest states passengers can cancel their reservation prior to the date of travel. If the reservation is cancelled, Southwest states passengers are “eligible for a refund or the funds will be available for future use consistent with the fare rule and refund procedures specified in Section 4c.”

21. In Section 4c of Southwest’s applicable COCs (up until March 30, 2022), Southwest promised to refund TSA Fees for “unused travel by Passengers” who purchased “Nonrefundable Tickets.” The COC states (emphasis added):

General. The fare paid for unused travel by Passengers who purchase restricted, nonrefundable Tickets are not eligible for refunds, except as provided in this Section and Section 9b. Taxes, security fees, and Passenger Facility Charges associated with a nonrefundable fare are also not eligible for refund *except as required by applicable regulations.*

22. The applicable regulations require Southwest to refund TSA Fees for unused travel. 49 C.F.R. § 1510.9(b). Southwest thus promised to refund Security Fees for unused travel.

**Secondary Authorities Confirm that Southwest’s COC Means What It Says**

23. Secondary authorities confirm the plain meaning of section 1510.9(b). The Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) Office of Audits in 2006 prepared a Review of the Transportation Security Administration Collection of Aviation Security Service Fees (DHS OIG-06-35). At page 9 (PDF page 13), the DHS report summarizes: “air carriers have no grounds to keep fees of any kind that are owed to the ticket purchaser or TSA.”

24. The Government Accountability Office (“GAO”) in July 2010 prepared a report entitled Consumers Could Benefit from Better Information about Airline-Imposed Fees and Refundability of Government-Imposed Taxes and Fees (GAO-10-785) which again restated passengers’ entitlement to TSA Fees refunds when they do not travel. The GAO summarized: “consumers with unused nonrefundable tickets with expired or lost value are entitled to a full refund of the September 11th Security Fee, but few consumers request a refund because airlines are not required to inform consumers of this.”

25. Further, TSA’s Guidance to Industry, issued March 20, 2020, states that “Retaining any portion of the fee or providing credit towards future services, with or without an expiration, does not constitute a refund.”

**The History of Southwest’s COC Confirms that It Means What It Says**

26. Up to approximately May 17, 2012, Southwest’s COC did not promise to refund taxes, security fees, or passenger fees. In particular, Southwest’s COC in this period stated: “The fare paid for unused travel by Passengers who purchase restricted, nonrefundable Tickets are not eligible for refunds, except as provided in this Section and Section 9b. Taxes, security fees, and Passenger Facility Charges associated with a nonrefundable fare are also not eligible for refund.”

27. On or about May 17, 2012, Southwest revised its COC to commit to refund such taxes, fees, and charges “as required by applicable regulations.” Southwest’s specific addition of this clause, which had not previously been in its COC, indicated its specific intent to refund these taxes, fees, and charges when so required.

28. Southwest then removed this promise on March 31, 2022, when it modified its COC, replacing the above provision with: “As the term “nonrefundable” reflects, the fare paid for unused travel by Passengers who purchase restricted, nonrefundable Tickets (including taxes and government fees) are not eligible for refunds, except as specifically stated in this Contract of Carriage ....” Thus, on or about March 31, 2022, Southwest ceased promising to refund the TSA fees at issue.

**Southwest Systematically Failed to Refund Passengers’ Entire TSA Fee Despite Not Traveling**

29. When a passenger does not travel on their purchased Southwest ticket, this obviates the basis for the TSA Fee, per the calculation in 49 CFR § 1510.5(a). As a result, Southwest’s Contract of Carriage, which expressly incorporated section 1510.9(b), obliged Southwest to refund the TSA Fee – i.e., return it to the passenger in the original form of payment from which it was paid.

30. Southwest, however, systemically failed to issue refunds of TSA Fees to passengers who did not travel on their purchased ticket. Instead, Southwest improperly converted those TSA Fees into a Travel Credit for the passengers’ possible future use with Southwest.

31. A Southwest Travel Credit is not a refund to a passenger’s original form of payment. Southwest only allows a passenger to use their Travel Credit for themselves and no other passenger. In contrast, a refund of cash or to a credit card or gift card can be used for travel by anyone. Furthermore, during the Class Period (defined below), Travel Credits expired one year after the original booking was made. In contrast, a refund of cash or to a credit card or Southwest gift card does not expire. A Travel Credit also restricts the use of the TSA Fee funds towards future travel solely on Southwest. In contrast, for those who used cash or credit card to pay for their TSA Fees, a refund to the original form of payment would allow those passengers to use the TSA Fee funds for any airline or for some other transaction entirely unrelated to airline travel.

**Southwest Failed to Refund Plaintiff's Entire TSA Fee Despite Not Traveling.**

32. On August 28, 2020, Plaintiff purchased travel from Southwest. Southwest collected TSA Fees from Plaintiff at the time of purchase. Plaintiff redeemed Southwest Rapid Rewards Points for his Wanna Get Away, nonrefundable fare, and paid \$5.60 for the TSA Fee, consisting of \$4.80 from a Southwest gift card and the remaining \$0.80 on a credit card.

33. Plaintiff was unable to travel and canceled his reservation on September 23, 2020. As a result of his cancellation, Southwest issued Plaintiff a Southwest Travel Credit in the amount of \$4.80 instead of issuing a refund to his original form of payment (a Southwest gift card). In contrast, Southwest refunded the \$0.80 paid for using Plaintiff's his credit card back to Plaintiff's credit card.

34. Instead of a refund, Southwest issued Plaintiff a Travel Credit with an expiration date on or around August 28, 2021. Plaintiff was unable to apply this Travel Credit towards a completed Southwest flight for only himself before its expiration date, and the Travel Credit expired unused.

35. Plaintiff contacted Southwest to request a full refund of his TSA Fees. Southwest refused to refund his full TSA Fee by phone and has yet to provide a written denial of his claim.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

36. Plaintiff brings this class action for monetary relief on behalf of himself and all similarly situated consumers and seeks class certification pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) as set forth below.

37. **Class Definition.** The "Class" that Plaintiff seeks to represent are comprised of the following: All persons with a reservation purchased from Southwest that included a departure from an airport in the United States, whose travel was cancelled before the scheduled departure date or who otherwise did not travel, and who had their TSA Security Fee payment converted to Southwest Travel Credit for the time period of 4 years before the filing of this action through March 30, 2022.

38. Excluded from the above-referenced class definitions are the officers, directors, and employees of Southwest, and any of Southwest's shareholders or other persons who hold a financial interest in Southwest. Also excluded is any judge assigned to hear this case (or any spouse or family member of any assigned judge), or any juror selected to hear this case.

39. This action is properly maintained as a class action. The Class satisfies all of the requirements of Rule 23 for maintaining a class action.

40. **Ascertainability.** The members of the Class (collectively "Class Members") are known to Southwest. Their identities are recorded in Southwest's business records. Moreover, the Class Definition enables every putative class member to identify themselves as a member of the Class.

**The Class Meets the Requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a).**

41. **Numerosity.** The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable and the disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to the parties and the Court. Plaintiff believes there are tens of thousands of members of the Class, who are geographically dispersed throughout the United States.

42. **Questions of Fact and Law Common to the Class.** Southwest has breached its contract with members of the Class by failing to refund their TSA Fees. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class, including, but not limited to, the following:

a. Whether a contract was formed at the time when a Class Member purchased a reservation for travel on Southwest;

b. Whether Southwest breached the contract, under Texas law, by failing to refund the TSA Fees to the passenger's original form of payment, after Class Members cancelled their reservations or otherwise did not travel;

43. **Typicality.** The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of those of the Class. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the proposed Class in the following ways: 1) Plaintiff is a member of the proposed Class; 2) Plaintiff's claims arise from Southwest's Contract of Carrier,



which applies uniformly to all Class Members; 3) Plaintiff's claims are based on the same legal and remedial theories as those of the proposed Class and involve similar factual circumstances; 4) the injuries suffered by the Plaintiff are similar to the injuries suffered by the proposed Class Members; and 5) the relief sought herein will benefit the Plaintiff and all Class Members alike. Defendant has no defenses that are unique to Plaintiff.

44. **Adequacy.** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has no interests adverse or antagonistic to the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained competent counsel who are experienced in the prosecution of consumer class action litigation.

**The Class Meets the Requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3).**

45. **Predominance.** With respect to the claims of Plaintiff and the Class Members for monetary damages for breach of contract, class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because questions of law or fact common to the Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the proposed Class.

46. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because, inter alia: 1) individual claims by the Class Members would be impracticable because the costs of pursuit of such claims would far exceed what any individual Class Member has at stake; 2) relatively little individual litigation has been commenced over the controversies alleged in this Complaint and individual Class Members are unlikely to have an interest in separately prosecuting and controlling individual actions; 3) the concentration of litigation of these claims in one forum will achieve efficiency and promote judicial economy; 4) the proposed Class is manageable, and no difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action; 5) the proposed Class Members are readily identifiable from Defendant's own records and through self-identification; and 6) prosecution of separation actions by individual members of the proposed Class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual

members of the proposed Class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Southwest.

**CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Breach of Contract  
(on behalf of Plaintiff and the Proposed Nationwide Class)**

47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein the allegations in paragraphs 1-46, inclusive.

48. Plaintiff and all other Class Members entered into valid and enforceable written contracts with Southwest for air transportation the terms of which are set forth in Southwest's Conditions of Carriage. During the Class Period, each such contract specified that the TSA Fees are refundable when required by regulation. The applicable regulations indeed require a refund when travel does not occur. Plaintiff and all members of the Class accepted Southwest's offer and fully performed their obligations under the contract.

49. Southwest nonetheless failed and refused to refund the TSA Fees when Plaintiff and Class Members did not travel. In breach of its contracts with Class Members, Southwest issued a Southwest Travel Credit instead of refunding the TSA Fees to the original form of payment.

50. As a direct result of Southwest's breach of contract, Plaintiff and all other Class Members suffered actual damages in the form of being denied their refundable TSA Fees, incurred reasonable and foreseeable economic harm, and are entitled to the recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

1. Certification of Plaintiff's claim as a class action, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3), on behalf of the proposed Class;

2. Actual damages in an amount not less than the full amount of refunds for TSA Fees, in the passenger's original form of payment, owed by Southwest to Plaintiff and the proposed Class;
3. Pre-judgment at the maximum rate of interest permitted by law;
4. Post-judgment interest at the maximum rate of interest permitted by law;
5. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
6. Such other and further relief that the court may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: August 18, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN T. BLACKBURN

/s/ Stephen T. Blackburn

Stephen T. Blackburn (Tex. Bar No. 24043555)  
Stephen.T.Blackburn@gmail.com  
2626 Cole Avenue, Suite 300  
Dallas, TX 75204  
(214) 540-4537; (214) 594-8986 (fax)

GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO  
Laura L. Ho (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)  
lho@gbdhlegal.com  
James Kan (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)  
jkan@gbdhlegal.com  
Byron Goldstein (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)  
brgoldstein@gbdhlegal.com  
Mengfei Sun (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)  
msun@gbdhlegal.com  
155 Grand Avenue, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(510) 763-9800; (510) 835-1417 (fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE  
PUTATIVE CLASS

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Bevacqua, Aidan, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff King County, WA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Please see Attachment.

DEFENDANTS

Southwest Airlines Co.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Dallas County, TX (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, 1 1, 2 2, 3 3, 4 4, 5 5, 6 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Table with columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes codes like 110 Insurance, 210 Land Condemnation, 310 Airplane, 365 Personal Injury, 625 Drug Related Seizure, 710 Fair Labor Standards, 820 Copyrights, 870 Taxes, 375 False Claims Act, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. section 1332(d)

Brief description of cause:

Breach of contract for failing to refund Transportation Security Administration ("TSA") security fees.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$ Excess of \$5,000,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Stephen T. Blackburn

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Case 3:22-cv-01837-L Document 1-1 Filed 08/18/22 Page 2 of 2 PageID 13  
**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.  
**PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related cases, if any. If a related case exists, whether pending or closed, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases. A case is related to this filing if the case: 1) involves some or all of the same parties and is based on the same or similar claim; 2) involves the same property, transaction, or event; 3) involves substantially similar issues of law and fact; and/or 4) involves the same estate in a bankruptcy appeal.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Attachment (Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class)

Stephen T. Blackburn (Tex. Bar No. 24043555)  
Stephen.T.Blackburn@gmail.com  
2626 Cole Avenue, Suite 300  
Dallas, TX 75204  
(214) 540-4537 (telephone); (214) 594-8986 (fax)

Laura L. Ho (*pro hac vice* application to be submitted)  
lho@gbdhlegal.com  
James Kan (*pro hac vice* application to be submitted)  
jkan@gbdhlegal.com  
Byron Goldstein (*pro hac vice* application to be submitted)  
brgoldstein@gbdhlegal.com  
Mengfei Sun (*pro hac vice* application to be submitted)  
msun@gbdhlegal.com  
GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO  
155 Grand Avenue, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(510) 763-9800 (telephone); (510) 835-1417 (fax)

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Southwest Fails to Refund TSA Fees for Canceled Travel, Class Action Alleges](#)

---