UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MOHAMED BECHAR, individually and in behalf of all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

17 CV 718

AMERITANIA 54TH ASSOCIATES, LLC d/b/a AMERITANIA AT TIMES SQUARE; JAY PODOLSKY; and STUART PODOLSKY; jointly and severally,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

1. The plaintiff, in behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees of the defendants who consent to become party plaintiffs, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 215(b), alleges that the defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and the defendants are liable to the plaintiff and party plaintiffs for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, and such other relief available by law.

2. The plaintiff further alleges, pursuant to N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 198(1-a) and 663(1), that the defendants violated the Minimum Wage Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 650, *et seq.*, and section 191 of the New York Labor Law, and the defendants are liable to the plaintiff for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, and such other relief available by law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon the Court by the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and further by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.

Case 1:17-cv-00718 Document 1 Filed 01/30/17 Page 2 of 9

4. Jurisdiction over all other claims is within the supplemental jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. The parties reside where set forth herein. A substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in the judicial district of this Court.

PARTIES

6. The plaintiff Mohamed Bechar is and was at all relevant times an adult residing in Kings County, New York.

7. The defendant Ameritania 54th Associates, LLC, is and was at all relevant times a New York limited liability company with its office in New York County.

8. Upon information and belief, the defendant Jay Podolsky is and was at all relevant times an adult.

9. Upon information and belief, the defendant Stuart Podolsky is and was at all relevant times an adult.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

10. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the plaintiff commences this action as to the plaintiff's claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act as a collective action in behalf of all similarly situated current and former employees of the defendants at any time since three years before the date of this complaint to the entry of judgment in this action who consent to become party plaintiffs.

11. The plaintiff and the putative party plaintiffs have had substantially similar jobs and compensation and have been subject to the defendants' common policies and practices of willfully

violating the Fair Labor Standards Act as set forth in this complaint. The claims of the plaintiff stated herein are similar to those of the putative party plaintiffs.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. At all relevant times, the defendants' business is a hotel doing business as Ameritania at Times Square and located at 230 West 54th Street, New York, New York.

13. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Jay Podolsky was an owner, shareholder, officer, or manager of the defendants' business.

14. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Jay Podolsky was an individual who actively participated in the business of the defendants, exercised substantial control over the functions of the employees of the defendants, including the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, and acted directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer.

15. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Jay Podolsky had the capacity on behalf of the defendants to establish the wages and hours of the employees of the defendants.

16. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Stuart Podolsky was an owner, shareholder, officer, or manager of the defendants' business.

17. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Stuart Podolsky was an individual who actively participated in the business of the defendants, exercised substantial control over the functions of the employees of the defendants, including the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, and acted directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer.

18. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the defendant Stuart Podolsky had the capacity on behalf of the defendants to establish the wages and hours of the employees of the defendants.

Case 1:17-cv-00718 Document 1 Filed 01/30/17 Page 4 of 9

19. The defendants are associated and are joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to the employees of the defendants, have common policies and practices as to wages and hours, and share control over the defendants' employees.

20. The defendants employed the plaintiff approximately from 1998 until September 2016.

21. The defendants employed the plaintiff as a laundryman, construction worker, and porter.

22. The plaintiff worked for the defendants approximately between thirty-seven and one-half and forty-five hours per week and sometimes more. The plaintiff worked approximately forty-five hours per week during many workweeks when he worked six days per week.

23. The defendants paid the plaintiff approximately between \$10.00 and \$15.00 per hour since 2010.

24. The defendants paid the plaintiff by check.

25. The plaintiff and party plaintiffs worked more than forty hours each workweek, yet the defendants willfully failed to pay the plaintiff and party plaintiffs overtime compensation of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay.

26. Upon information and belief, while the defendants employed the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, the defendants failed to post or keep posted notices explaining the minimum wage rights of employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New York Labor Law, and the plaintiff and party plaintiffs were uninformed of their rights during such times.

27. Upon information and belief, while the defendants employed the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, the defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient records.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

28. The plaintiff, on behalf of the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 as if they were set forth again herein.

29. At all relevant times, the defendants, employers, employed the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, employees, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), (e)(1), and (g).

30. The business activities of the defendants are related and performed through unified operation or common control for a common business purpose and constitute an enterprise, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

31. The enterprise of the defendants employs employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or in handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(i).

32. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the enterprise of the defendants has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done not less than of \$500,000, exclusive of separate retail excise taxes, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. 203(s)(1)(A)(ii).

33. Therefore, upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the plaintiff and party plaintiffs have been employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A).

34. The defendants in many workweeks willfully and repeatedly violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 and 215(a)(2), by failing to pay the plaintiff and party plaintiffs at

Case 1:17-cv-00718 Document 1 Filed 01/30/17 Page 6 of 9

a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed more than forty hours in a workweek.

35. By 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the defendants are liable to the plaintiff and party plaintiffs for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation.

36. The defendants failed to post notices of the defendants' employees' rights under the law, required by 29 C.F.R. § 516.4.

37. Because of the defendants' failure to post notices of the defendants' employees' rights under the law, the plaintiff and party plaintiffs are entitled to the equitable tolling of their claims during the time that the defendants failed to post such notices.

38. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act within the meaning of the Portal-to-Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

39. The plaintiff and party plaintiffs are further entitled to recover of the defendants an equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: MINIMUM WAGE ACT

40. The plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 39 as if they were set forth again herein.

41. At all relevant times, the defendants, employers, employed the plaintiff, an employee, within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2(5)–(7), 190(2)–(3), and 651(5)–(6), and N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-3.2.

42. The defendants violated the plaintiff's rights by failing to pay or underpaying the plaintiff overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times the plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours the plaintiff worked more than forty per workweek, in violation of N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-1.4.

Case 1:17-cv-00718 Document 1 Filed 01/30/17 Page 7 of 9

43. The defendants' failure to pay the plaintiff the foregoing timely is a violation of section 191 of the New York Labor Law.

44. By N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 198(1-a) and 663(1), the defendants are liable to the plaintiff for unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation.

45. The plaintiff is further entitled to recover of the defendants liquidated damages pursuant to the Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-a), and the Minimum Wage Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 663(1).

46. The defendants failed to post notices of the defendants' employees' rights under the law, required by N.Y. Lab. Law § 661 and N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 141-2.3.

47. Because of the defendants' failure to post notices of the defendants' employees' rights under the law, the plaintiff is entitled to the equitable tolling of the plaintiff's claims during the time that the defendants failed to post such notices.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF: DECLARATORY RELIEF

48. The plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 47 as if they were set forth again herein.

49. Pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–02, and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the plaintiff may obtain declaratory relief.

50. The defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, Minimum Wage Act, section191 of the New York Labor Law, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

51. It is in the public interest to have these declarations of rights recorded.

52. A declaratory judgment serves the useful purpose of clarifying and settling the legal issues in this action.

53. A declaratory judgment would terminate and afford relief from uncertainty, insecurity, and controversy giving rise to this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

54. Wherefore, the plaintiff, on behalf of the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, prays this Court grant as relief:

a. designation of this action as a collective action in behalf of the party plaintiffs and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated putative party plaintiffs, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act in this action by filing individual consents to become party plaintiffs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and appointing the plaintiff and the plaintiff's attorneys to represent the party plaintiffs;

b. a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Minimum Wage Act, section 191 of the New York Labor Law, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act;

c. an award of unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, due under the Fair Labor Standards Act;

an award of liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29
 U.S.C. § 216(b);

e. an award of unpaid or underpaid overtime compensation, due under the Minimum Wage Act and section 191 of the New York Labor Law;

f. an award of liquidated damages pursuant to the Wage Theft Prevention Act,
N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-a), and the Minimum Wage Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 663(1);

g. if liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;

h. an award of prejudgment interest pursuant to the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, §§ 5001–02;

i. an award of postjudgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 and/or the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, § 5003;

j. an award of the attorney's fees, costs, and further expenses up to fifty dollars, of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 198(1)–(2) and 663(1); and

k. such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the plaintiff, on behalf of the plaintiff and party plaintiffs, demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

LAW OFFICE OF JUSTIN A. ZELLER, P.C.

.

By: ______ John M. Gurrieri jmgurrieri@zellerlegal.com Brandon D. Sherr bsherr@zellerlegal.com Justin A. Zeller jazeller@zellerlegal.com 277 Broadway, Suite 408 New York, N.Y. 10007-2036 Telephone: (212) 229-2249 Facsimile: (212) 229-2246 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Dated: New York, New York January 30, 2017

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ameritania at Times Square Hotel Facing Wage and Hour Class Action</u>