MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

Plaintiff,

GONZALO CORNELIO BASURTO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

COMPLAINT

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

-against-

LIGHTHOUSE FISHMARKET INC. (D/B/A LIGHTHOUSE FISH MARKET & RESTAURANT) and MICHAEL CLOPTON,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Gonzalo Cornelio Basurto ("Plaintiff Basurto" or "Mr. Basurto"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against Lighthouse Fishmarket Inc. (d/b/a Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant), ("Defendant Corporation") and Michael Clopton, ("Individual Defendant"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

Plaintiff Basurto is a former employee of Defendants Lighthouse Fishmarket Inc.
 (d/b/a Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant) and Michael Clopton.

Defendants own, operate, or control a Fish market and seafood restaurant, located at
 2451 Frederick Douglass Blvd, New York, NY 10027 under the name "Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant".

ECF Case

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 2 of 18

3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendant Michael Clopton, serve or served as owner, manager, principal, or agent of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operates or operated the restaurant as a joint or unified enterprise.

4. Plaintiff Basurto was an employee of Defendants.

5. Plaintiff Basurto was employed as a food preparer at the restaurant located at 2451 Frederick Douglass Blvd, New York, NY 10027.

6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Basurto worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate minimum wage, overtime, and spread of hours compensation for the hours that he worked.

7. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked, failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.

8. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which he had to work over 10 hours a day.

9. Furthermore, Defendants repeatedly failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto wages on a timely basis.

10. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Basurto to all other similarly situated employees.

11. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Basurto and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.

12. Plaintiff Basurto now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards

- 2 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 3 of 18

Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*. ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 *et seq*. and 650 *et seq*. (the "NYLL"), and the "spread of hours" and overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (herein the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

13. Plaintiff Basurto seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Basurto's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

15. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a Fish market and seafood restaurant located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Basurto was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

16. Plaintiff Gonzalo Cornelio Basurto ("Plaintiff Basurto" or "Mr. Basurto") is an adult individual residing in Bronx County, New York.

17. Plaintiff Basurto was employed by Defendants at Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant from approximately January 2015 until on or about March 21, 2016.

- 3 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 4 of 18

18. Plaintiff Basurto consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

19. At all relevant times, Defendants own, operate, or control a fish market and seafood restaurant, located at 2451 Frederick Douglass Blvd, New York, NY 10027 under the name "Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant".

20. Upon information and belief, Lighthouse Fishmarket Inc. (d/b/a Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 2451 Frederick Douglass Blvd, New York, NY 10027.

21. Defendant Michael Clopton is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Michael Clopton is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Michael Clopton possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Basurto, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

22. Defendants operate a fish market and seafood restaurant located in the Harlem section of Manhattan in New York City.

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 5 of 18

23. Individual Defendant, Michael Clopton, possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, possesses ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation.

24. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.

25. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Basurto's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Basurto, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

26. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Basurto (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Basurto's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.

27. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Basurto and/or similarly situated individuals.

28. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant Michael Clopton operates Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of himself and/or fails to operate Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from himself, by among other things:

- a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
- b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records,
- c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,

- 5 -

- d) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit as the sole or majority shareholder,
- e) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
- f) intermingling assets and debts of his own with Defendant Corporation,
- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect his own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

29. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Basurto's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Basurto, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Basurto's services.

30. In each year from 2015 to 2016, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the restaurant on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

32. Plaintiff Basurto is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as a food preparer.

33. Plaintiff Basurto seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Gonzalo Cornelio Basurto

34. Plaintiff Basurto was employed by Defendants from approximately January 2015 until on or about March 21, 2016.

35. Defendants employed Plaintiff Basurto as a food preparer.

36. Plaintiff Basurto regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as food and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.

37. Plaintiff Basurto's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

38. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Basurto regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

39. From approximately January 2015 until on or about March 21, 2016, Plaintiff Basurto worked as a food preparer from approximately 8:00 a.m. until on or about 9:00 p.m., Monday through Sunday (typically 91 hours per week).

40. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Basurto his wages in cash.

41. From approximately January 2015 until on or about March 21, 2016, Defendants paid Plaintiff Basurto \$600 per week.

42. Plaintiff Basurto's pay did not vary even when he was required to start earlier or work a longer day than his usual schedule.

43. For example, Defendants required Plaintiff Basurto to start work one hour prior to his scheduled start time on Sundays, and did not pay him for the additional time he worked.

44. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Basurto any breaks or meal periods of any kind.

45. Plaintiff Basurto was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.

- 7 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 8 of 18

46. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Basurto regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.

47. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Basurto an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

48. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Basurto, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Basurto's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

49. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Basurto (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying them appropriate minimum wage, spread of hours pay, and overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.

50. Plaintiff Basurto was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.

51. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff Basurto not receiving payment for all his hours worked, and resulting in Plaintiff Basurto's effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.

52. Defendants habitually required Plaintiff Basurto to work additional hours beyond his regular shifts but did not provide him with any additional compensation.

53. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

54. Plaintiff Basurto was paid his wages in cash.

- 8 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 9 of 18

55. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.

56. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Basurto (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Basurto properly for his full hours worked.

57. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.

58. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Basurto and other similarly situated former workers.

59. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Basurto and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).

60. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Basurto and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the

- 9 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 10 of 18

physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

61. Plaintiff Basurto brings his FLSA minimum wage, overtime compensation, and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").

62. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Basurto and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA, and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA.

63. The claims of Plaintiff Basurto stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

64. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

65. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Basurto's employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class Members), controlled the terms and conditions

- 10 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 11 of 18

of their employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.

66. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

67. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).

68. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

69. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

70. Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

71. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

72. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.

73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

74. Plaintiff Basurto (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

- 11 -

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE ACT

75. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

76. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Basurto's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Basurto, controlled the terms and conditions of his employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for his employment.

77. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiff Basurto less than the minimum wage.

78. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Basurto the minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

79. Plaintiff Basurto was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

80. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

81. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.

82. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Basurto overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

- 12 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 13 of 18

83. Plaintiff Basurto was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER OF THE NEW YORK COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

84. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

85. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Basurto one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff Basurto's spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 146-1.6.

86. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Basurto an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Basurto's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.

87. Plaintiff Basurto was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

88. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

89. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Basurto with a written notice, in English and in Spanish or Mixtec (Plaintiff Basurto's primary languages), containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place

- 13 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 14 of 18

of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).

90. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Basurto in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

91. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

92. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Basurto with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).

93. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Basurto in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE TIMELY PAYMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

94. Plaintiff Basurto repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 15 of 18

95. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Basurto on a regular weekly basis, in violation of NYLL §191.

96. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Basurto in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Basurto respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

(a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;

(b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members;

(c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members;

(d) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Basurto's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

(e) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members;

(f) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;

(g) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an

- 15 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 16 of 18

amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

(h) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Basurto;

(i) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Basurto;

(j) Declaring that Defendants violated the spread-of-hours requirements of the NYLL and supporting regulations as to Plaintiff Basurto;

(k) Declaring that Defendants violated the timely payment provisions of the NYLL as to Plaintiff Basurto;

(l) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Basurto's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

(m) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the New York Labor Law and spread of hours wage order were willful as to Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members;

(n) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable

(o) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);

(p) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage, overtime compensation, and spread of hours pay shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to

- 16 -

Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 17 of 18

NYLL § 198(3);

(q) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and postjudgment interest as applicable;

 (r) Awarding Plaintiff Basurto and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;

(s) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and

(t) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Basurto demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York

June 1, 2018

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael Faillace Michael Faillace [MF-8436] 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff Case 1:18-cv-04889 Document 1 Filed 06/01/18 Page 18 of 18

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

BY HAND

May 21, 2018

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre:

Gonzalo Cornelio Basurto

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Legal Representative / Abogado:

Signature / Firma:

Date / Fecha:

<u>21 de mayo de 2018</u>

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lighthouse Fish Market & Restaurant in NY Accused of Labor Law Violations