# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

CRYSTAL BARTZ, Individually and on Behalf of)	Case No.: 18-cv-390
All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,	
v. )	
)	Jury Trial Demanded
HARRIS & HARRIS, LTD., d/b/a HARRIS &	
HARRIS OF ILLINOIS, LTD.,	
)	

Defendant.

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (the "FDCPA") and the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Chs. 421-427, Wis. Stats (the "WCA").

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendant directed its collection efforts into the District.

# PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Crystal Bartz is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).

4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a debt allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household purposes, namely a home internet services bill.

5. Plaintiff is also a "customer" as defined in the WCA, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that the debt Defendant sought to collect arose from agreements to defer payment.

# Case 2:18-cv-00390 Filed 03/12/18 Page 1 of 13 Document 1

6. Defendant Harris & Harris, Ltd. ("Harris") is a foreign corporation with its primary offices located at 111 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 400, Chicago, IL 60604. Harris operates under the fictitious name "Harris & Harris of Illinois, Ltd."

7. Harris is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.

8. Harris is engaged in the business of collecting debts owed to others and incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

9. Harris is licensed as a "Collection Agency" pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 218.04 and Wis. Admin. Code Ch. DFI-Bkg. 74.

10. Harris is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a and Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3).

# FACTS

11. On or about July 20, 2017, Harris mailed a collection letter to Plaintiff regarding an alleged debt owed to "Wisconsin Electric Power Company d/b/a WE Energies" ("WE Energies"). A copy of the letter is attached to this complaint as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

12. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.

13. Upon information and belief, the letter in <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by Harris to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

14. The debt referenced in <u>Exhibit A</u> was allegedly incurred to provide utility services to Plaintiff's residence. Thus, the debt was incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.

15. <u>Exhibit A</u> contains the following text:

Harris & Harris, Ltd., is a collection agency retained to collect your past due account(s) in the amount of \$788.36 owed to WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY/D/B/A WE ENERGIES. Please consider your options and contact us to resolve this matter. The service address(es) for this past due account(s) is listed below. Please note that our office reports some unpaid accounts to the credit bureaus.

16. Upon information and belief, WE Energies regularly reports delinquent accounts to credit reporting agencies before sending the accounts to debt collectors such as Harris.

17. Upon information and belief, WE Energies reported derogatory credit information regarding Plaintiff's WE Energies account to the credit reporting agencies before sending Plaintiff's account to Harris for collection.

18. Thus, the statements in <u>Exhibits A</u> to the effect that Harris "reports some unpaid accounts to the credit bureaus" is misleading to the unsophisticated consumer because it implies that Plaintiff may be able to avoid negative credit reporting by resolving the debt with Harris.

19. Despite such representations, resolving the alleged debt with Harris would not allow the consumer to avoid a negative report. The account has already been, and will continue to be, reported to credit bureaus as delinquent, notwithstanding whether Harris reports the account. Exhibit A.

20. The purpose of Harris's credit reporting statement is to deceive the unsophisticated consumer into believing that she still has time to pay the account before having it reflect negatively on her credit history.

21. A debtor that is indebted to several creditors may prioritize payments to creditors who have not yet reported their debts with the purpose of preventing these debts from being reported.

22. Negative items, such as delinquent accounts, both lower a consumer's credit score and generally remain on the consumer's "credit report" for seven years.

23. Falsely implying that an account will not be reported to a credit reporting agency as long as the debtor promptly pays is a tactic that preys upon the consumer's concern about his or her credit score. The consumer is likely to be deceived into paying, whether the debt is legitimate or not, to preserve his or her credit score.

24. The false, confusing, and misleading statement about reporting the account to credit bureaus in Exhibits A is a material false statement. *See Hahn v. Triumph P'ships LLC*, 557 F.3d 755, 757-58 (7th Cir. 2009).

25. Additionally, upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> was the first written communication Plaintiff received from Harris.

26. <u>Exhibit A</u> contains the debt validation notice that the FDCPA requires to be included with the initial written communication to the consumer under 15 U.S.C. § 1692g:

#### FEDERAL LAW

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

27. The statement that "our office reports some unpaid accounts to the credit bureas"

overshadows the validation notice because it does not indicate whether Harris may report the

debt prior to the expiration of the 30-day period.

28. Plaintiff was confused by Exhibit A, and was unsure whether her account had

been reported to credit reporting bureaus and whether Harris would report the debt prior to the

expiration of the 30-day period specified by the validation notice.

29. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused by <u>Exhibit A</u>, and would be unsure whether the account had been reported to credit reporting bureaus and whether Harris would report the debt prior to the expiration of the 30-day period specified by the validation notice.

30. Plaintiff had to spend time and money investigating <u>Exhibit A</u>.

31. Plaintiff had to take time to obtain and meet with counsel, including traveling to counsel's office by car and its related expenses, including but not limited to the cost of gasoline and mileage, to advise Plaintiff on the consequences of <u>Exhibits A</u>.

# The FDCPA

32. The FDCPA states that its purpose, in part, is "to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e). It is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there is a valid debt. *Mace v. Van Ru Credit Corp.*, 109 F.3d 338 (7th Cir. 1997); *Baker v. G.C. Services Corp.*, 677 F.2d 775, 777 (9th Cir. 1982); *McCartney v. First City Bank*, 970 F.2d 45, 47 (5th Cir. 1992). The FDCPA broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods; conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor; and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt; it also requires debt collectors to give debtors certain information. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692f and 1692g.

33. The Seventh Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of an "unsophisticated consumer." *Avila v. Rubin,* 84 F.3d 222, 227 (7th Cir. 1996); *Gammon v. GC Services, LP,* 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). The standard is an objective one—whether the plaintiffs or any class members were misled is not an element of a cause of action. *Bartlett v. Heibl,* 128 F.3d 497, 499 (7th Cir. 1997). "The question is not whether these plaintiffs were deceived or misled, but rather whether an unsophisticated consumer would have been misled." *Beattie v. D.M. Collections Inc.,* 754 F. Supp. 383, 392 (D. Del. 1991).

34. Because it is part of the Consumer Credit Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 *et seq.*, the FDCPA should be liberally construed in favor of the consumer to effectuate its purposes. *Cirkot v. Diversified Fin. Services, Inc.*, 839 F. Supp. 941, 944 (D. Conn. 1993).

The [Consumer Credit Protection] Act is remedial in nature, designed to remedy what Congressional hearings revealed to be unscrupulous and predatory creditor practices throughout the nation. Since the statute is remedial in nature, its terms must be construed in liberal fashion if the underlying Congressional purpose is to be effectuated.

N.C. Freed Co. v. Board of Governors, 473 F.2d 1210, 1214 (2d Cir. 1973).

35. Statutory damages are recoverable for violations, whether or not the consumer proves actual damages. *Baker*, 677 F.2d at 780-1; *Woolfolk v. Van Ru Credit Corp.*, 783 F. Supp. 724, 727 and n. 3 (D. Conn. 1990); *Riveria v. MAB Collections, Inc.*, 682 F. Supp. 174, 177 (W.D.N.Y. 1988); *Kuhn v. Account Control Tech.*, 865 F. Supp. 1443, 1450 (D. Nev. 1994); *In re Scrimpsher*, 17 B.R. 999, 1016-7 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1982); *In re Littles*, 90 B.R. 669, 680 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988), *aff'd as modified sub nom. Crossley v. Lieberman*, 90 B.R. 682 (E.D. Pa. 1988), *aff'd*, 868 F.2d 566 (3d Cir. 1989).

36. The FDCPA creates substantive rights for consumers; violations cause injury to consumers, and such injuries are concrete and particularized. *Bock v. Pressler & Pressler, LLP*, No. 11-7593, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81058 \*21 (D.N.J. May 25, 2017) ("through [s]ection 1692e of the FDCPA, Congress established 'an enforceable right to truthful information concerning' debt collection practices, a decision that 'was undoubtedly influenced by congressional awareness that the intentional provision of misinformation' related to such practices, 'contribute[s] to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy,"); *Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 \*8-13 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); *Lane v. Bayview Loan* 

*Servicing, LLC*, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 \*9-10 (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action for its violation, by definition Congress has created a legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); *Church v. Accretive Health, Inc.*, No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 \*7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); *see also Mogg v. Jacobs*, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at \*5 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

37. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) - 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").

38. The FDCPA prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt". 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

39. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

40. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits debt collectors from using "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

41. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g requires that debt collectors make certain disclosures, including disclosing that consumers have 30 days to "dispute" debts, which requires the debt collector to obtain verification of the debt, including, if applicable, a copy of a judgment against the debtor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4).

42. Under federal law, if an alleged debtor disputes a debt within 30 days of receiving the validation notice, the debtor is required to cease debt collection efforts until it has provided verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the debtor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).

43. The debt collector need not verify the debt, however, as long as it ceases its debt collection efforts. *Jang v. A.M. Miller & Assocs.*, 122 F.3d 480 (7th Cir. 1997) (collection agency has the option to cease all collection activity or provide verification of the debt).

44. Under the plain language of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, a debt collector is not required to verify a debt unless the debtor disputes the debt within 30 days of receipt of the validation notice.

45. Whether or not the debtor requests verification of the debt, however, a debt collector's collection activities and communications during the 30-day period may not overshadow or be inconsistent with the disclosure of the consumer's right to dispute the debt or request the name and address of the original creditor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).

### The WCA

46. The Wisconsin Consumer Act ("WCA") was enacted to protect consumers against unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable business practices and to encourage development of fair and economically sound practices in consumer transactions. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(2).

47. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has favorably cited authority finding that the WCA "goes further to protect consumer interests than any other such legislation in the country," and is "probably the most sweeping consumer credit legislation yet enacted in any state." *Kett* v.

Community Credit Plan, Inc., 228 Wis. 2d 1, 18 n.15, 596 N.W.2d 786 (1999) (citations omitted).

48. To further these goals, the Act's protections must be "liberally construed and applied." Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1); *see also* § 425.301.

49. "The basic purpose of the remedies set forth in Chapter 425, Stats., is to induce compliance with the WCA and thereby promote its underlying objectives." *First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Nicolaou*, 113 Wis. 2d 524, 533, 335 N.W.2d 390 (1983). Thus, private actions under the WCA are designed to both benefit consumers whose rights have been violated and also competitors of the violators, whose competitive advantage should not be diminished because of their compliance with the law.

50. To carry out this intent, the WCA provides Wisconsin consumers with an array of protections and legal remedies. The Act contains significant and sweeping restrictions on the activities of those attempting to collect debts. *See* Wis. Stats. § 427.104.

51. The Act limits the amounts and types of additional fees that may be charged to consumers in conjunction with transactions. Wis. Stats. § 422.202(1). The Act also provides injured consumers with causes of action for class-wide statutory and actual damages and injunctive remedies against defendants on behalf of all customers who suffer similar injuries. *See* Wis. Stats. §§ 426.110(1); § 426.110(4)(e). Finally, "a customer may not waive or agree to forego rights or benefits under [the Act]." Wis. Stat. § 421.106(1).

52. Consumers' WCA claims under Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1) are analyzed using the same methods as claims under the FDCPA. Indeed, the WCA itself requires that the court analyze the WCA "in accordance with the policies underlying a federal consumer credit protection act," including the FDCPA. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1).

53. Further, the Wisconsin Supreme Court has held that WCA claims relating to debt collection are to be analyzed under the "unsophisticated consumer" standard. *Brunton v. Nuvell Credit Corp.*, 785 N.W.2d 302, 314-15. In *Brunton*, the Wisconsin Supreme Court explicitly adopted and followed the "unsophisticated consumer" standard, citing and discussing *Gammon v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). *Id.* 

54. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(g) states that a debt collector may not: "Communicate with the customer or a person related to the customer with such frequency of at such unusual hours or in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."

55. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(h) states that a debt collector may not: "Engage in other conduct . . . in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."

56. The Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, which is tasked with regulating licensed collection agencies, has found that "conduct which violates the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act" can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer. *See* Wis. Admin. Code DFI-Bkg 74.16(9) ("Oppressive and deceptive practices prohibited.").

### <u>COUNT I – FDCPA</u>

57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

58. <u>Exhibits A</u> includes a false, deceptive, and misleading representation that confuse and mislead the unsophisticated consumer to believe that WE Energies has not already been reporting Plaintiff's account to credit bureaus.

59. Harris' misrepresentation is material, as it would provoke the consumer into paying on false pretenses – that the payment would prevent the reporting of delinquent information about the WE Energies Account, when such reporting has already occurred.

60. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692g(b).

# <u>COUNT II – WCA</u>

61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

62. Harris is licensed as a Collection Agency by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Financial Institutions.

63. <u>Exhibits A</u> includes a false, deceptive, and misleading representation that confuse and mislead the unsophisticated consumer to believe that WE Energies has not already been reporting Plaintiff's account to credit bureaus.

64. Harris' misrepresentation is material, as it would provoke the consumer into paying on false pretenses – that the payment would improve or limit damage to their credit score.

65. Harris violated the FDPCA.

66. Defendant violated Wis. Stat. §§ 427.104(1)(g) and 427.104(1)(h).

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

67. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a Class, consisting of (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin (b) who were sent a collection letter in the form represented by <u>Exhibit A</u> to the complaint in this action, (c) seeking to collect a debt for personal, family, or household purposes, (d) that was owed to WE Energies, (e) between March 12, 2017 and March 12, 2018, inclusive, (f) that was not returned by the postal service.

68. The Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, there are more than 50 members of the Class.

69. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the class, which common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members.

The predominant common question is whether the Defendant complied with the FDCPA and the WCA.

70. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. All are based on the same factual and legal theories.

71. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class members. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.

72. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute. Individual cases are not economically feasible.

# JURY DEMAND

73. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and against Defendant for:

- (a) actual damages;
- (b) statutory damages;
- (c) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and
- (d) such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: March 12, 2018

# ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ John D. Blythin John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105) Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944) Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673) Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892) 3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110

(414) 482-8000 (414) 482-8001 (fax) jblythin@ademilaw.com meldridge@ademilaw.com jfruchter@ademilaw.com bslatky@ademilaw.com

# **EXHIBIT A**

Case 2:18-cv-00390 Filed 03/12/18 Page 1 of 3 Document 1-1

# HARRIS &HARRIS

111 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD, SUITE 400 CHICAGO, IL 60604-4135

龖

#### 

# **COLLECTION NOTICE**

Date of Notice: July 20, 2017

Our Reference #: 7308

Creditor: WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER

COMPANY/D/B/A WE ENERGIES

# լիիսիկեսիսիսիներիներինեն,ինիսիներիներին

HARRIS & HARRIS OF ILLINOIS, LTD. 111 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD, SUITE 400 CHICAGO, IL 60604-4135

AMOUNT DUE: 

PAY THIS AMOUNT

793799 00000411

Page 1 of 1

#### WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY/D/B/A WE ENERGIES HAS PLACED YOUR ACCOUNT(S) FOR COLLECTION

Dear Crystal Bartz:

Harris & Harris, Ltd., is a collection agency retained to collect your past due account(s) in the amount of \$788.36 owed to WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY/D/B/A WE ENERGIES. Please consider your options and contact us to resolve this matter. The service address(es) for this past due account(s) is listed below. Please note that our office reports some unpaid accounts to the credit bureaus.

<u>C</u>	onvenient Payment Options Are Available	<u>e</u>
	<b>E</b>	C
Mail payment in enclosed envelope	Pay online: www.payharris.com	Call us: (866)850-4919

Hours of Operation (EST): Monday/Tuesday/Friday: 8:00am-5:00pm, Wednesday-Thursday: 8:00am-6:00pm

#### FEDERAL LAW

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Our Reference #: 7308	Total Amount Due: \$788.36
Creditor's Account Number	Balance
3927	\$788.36
5721	9788.50
	Creditor's Account Number

When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us to use information from your check to make a one-time electronic fund transfer from your account. In certain circumstances, such as for technical or processing reasons, we may process your payment as a check transaction.

#### Notice: See Reverse Side for Important Information

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector. Harris & Harris of Illinois, Ltd. is a collection agency.

#### 31187308 @asen2 & 18+ CV+ 00390 1 WEI led 03/ 12/ 18 8400 Page 200 f 435, (Bocument 1-1

#### Wisconsin Residents:

.

This collection agency is licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, www.wdfi.org.

**Electronic Payment Authorization.** By (1) calling Harris & Harris, Ltd. at (866)850-4919 or taking a call from us; (2) specifying the amounts and dates of payments you would like to make; (3) identifying the deposit account of yours you wish to use for making payments; and (4) electronically signing this Authorization, you authorize us to initiate payments from your account in the amounts and on the dates that you specify. You also authorize us to resubmit any unsuccessful payment or correct any erroneous payment. You understand that your bank may charge a fee for any unsuccessful payment and that we have no liability for any such fee. You may cancel this Authorization by calling us at (866)850-4919 or writing us at least three business days before you wish the cancellation to be effective. This Authorization in no way limits any right you may have under federal law to stop payment of a preauthorized electronic fund transfer by contacting your financial institution.

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sh	neet and the inforr	nation contained he	erein neither replace	nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided
				nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided nited States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating
the civil docket sheet.	(SEE INSTRUCT	IONS ON THE REVI	ERSE OF THE FORM.	)

Place an X in the appropriate	Box: Green Bay Di	vision	<u>ب</u>	Milwaukee Division	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CRYSTAL B	ARTZ		DEFENDANTS HARRIS & H	ARRIS, LTD.	
(E (c) Attorney's (Firm Name Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP,	Address, and Telephone Number) 3620 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI 53110 e (414) 482-8001-Facsimile	ukee	NOTE: IN LAND	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ( CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	,
II. BASIS OF JURISD			TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Part)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) <b>PTF DEF</b> incipal Place <b>4 4</b>
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Partie	es in Item III)	en of Another State	of Business In A	
IV NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Fo	oreign Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	310 Airplane       362         315 Airplane Product       Liability       365         320 Assault, Libel &       368         330 Federal Employers'       Liability         340 Marine       PERSC         345 Marine Product       370         Liability       371         350 Motor Vehicle       380         355 Motor Vehicle       380         355 Motor Vehicle       385         360 Other Personal       Injury         CIVL RIGHTS       PRISO         441 Voting       510         443 Housing/       Hab         Accommodations       535         444 Welfare       535         445 Amer. w/Disabilities -       540         Employment       550	Personal Injury -       62         Med. Malpractice       62         Personal Injury -       63         Product Liability       63         Asbestos Personal       64         Liability       66         DNAL PROPERTY       64         Other Fraud       65         Truth in Lending       71         Other Personal       71         Property Damage       72         Product Liability       73         NER PETITIONS       74         Motions to Vacate       75         Sentence       75         General       74         Death Penalty       40         Mandamus & Other       44         Civil Rights       46	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other <b>LABOR</b> 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> 62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigration Actions	<ul> <li>↓ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158</li> <li>↓ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157</li> <li>▶ PROPERTY RIGHTS</li> <li>↓ 820 Copyrights</li> <li>↓ 830 Patent</li> <li>↓ 840 Trademark</li> <li>▶ SOCIAL SECURITY</li> <li>↓ 861 HIA (1395ff)</li> <li>↓ 862 Black Lung (923)</li> <li>↓ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</li> <li>↓ 865 RSI (405(g))</li> <li>▶ FEDERAL TAX SUITS</li> <li>↓ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)</li> <li>↓ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>810 Selective Service</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>892 Economic Stabilization Act</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>894 Energy Allocation Act</li> <li>900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
☑ 1 Original □ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from 3 Remand ate Court 3 Appellat	te Court Reo	pened anothe (specifi		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute und 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq Brief description of cause: Violation of Fair Debt Collection			ai statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		_	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	3		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE March 12, 2018		NATURE OF ATTORNEY			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #A	моимт Ca <del>se 2:18-cv-00</del> 39	PPLYING IFP D Filed <del>03/12/</del>	18 Page 1 of 2	<b>Docume</b> nt 1-2	DGE

# **INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

**II.** Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

**III.** Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

**IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

 

 VI.
 Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes

 unless diversity.
 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

	) ) )
CRYSTAL BARTZ	ý
<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>	)
v.	) Civil
	)
	)
HARRIS & HARRIS, LTD., d/b/a HARRIS &	)
HARRIS OF ILLINOIS, LTD.	)
Defendant(s)	)

### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

HARRIS & HARRIS, LTD. c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 8040 EXCELSIOR DRIVE, SUITE 400 MADISON, WI 53717

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: John D. Blythin Ademi & O'Beilly, LLP

Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP 3620 East Layton Avenue Cudahy, WI 53110

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT

Action No. 18-cv-390

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-390

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

This summons and the attached complaint for (name of individual and title, if any):

nersonally served	the summons and the attached com	plaint on the individual at (place).	
		prunt on the mervicual at (place).	
		on (date)	; or
$\Box$ I left the summons	and the attached complaint at the ir	dividual's residence or usual place of a	abode with (nan
	, a p	erson of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
$\Box$ I served the summo	ons and the attached complaint on (n	name of individual)	
	•	ehalf of (name of organization)	
who is designated by ia	tw to accept service of process on b		
		_on (date)	_; or
$\Box$ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
□ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is t	rue.	
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is t	rue.	
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is t		
	of perjury that this information is t	rue. Server's signature	
	of perjury that this information is t		
	of perjury that this information is t	Server's signature	
	of perjury that this information is t	Server's signature	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Harris & Harris Facing FDCPA Suit in Wisconsin</u>