

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

<p>Sonia Baez, Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-v-</p> <p>Karp Scarsdale, LLC, and The Ambassador of Scarsdale Management,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p><b>Civ. Action #:</b></p> <p><b><u>Complaint</u></b></p> <p><b>Date Filed:</b></p> <p><b>Jury Trial Demanded</b></p>
--	---

Plaintiff Sonia Baez (“Plaintiff,” or “Baez”), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC, her attorney, complaining of the Defendants Karp Scarsdale, LLC and The Ambassador of Scarsdale Management (collectively “Defendants”), respectfully alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants, individually and/or jointly, and pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216 (b), she is: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendants for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times her regular rate for such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. including 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b).
2. Plaintiff further complains pursuant to the New York Labor Law, that she is: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendants for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times his regular rate for such hours over forty in a week (ii) entitled to liquidated damages and attorneys' fees, pursuant to the New York Minimum Wage Act ("NYMWA"), N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., and the regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.
3. Plaintiff complains under Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23, on behalf of herself and a class of other similarly-situated current and former employees who were employed by Defendants as

manual workers, within the six-year period preceding the filing of this action to the date of disposition of this action, that she and they: 1) were employed by Defendants within the State of New York as manual workers; 2) entitled to maximum liquidated damages (for the period after April 9, 2011) and interest for being paid overtime wages and non-overtime wages later than weekly; and 3) entitled to costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to the New York Labor Law ("NYLL") §§ 191, 198, and the regulations thereunder; as well as an injunction prohibiting Defendants from continuing to violate the weekly payment requirement for manual workers set forth in NYLL 191.

4. Plaintiff and the class members are also entitled to recover compensation for not receiving notices and statements required by NYLL 195, under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law, and attorneys' fees pursuant to Section 198 of the New York Labor Law.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
6. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 2202.

#### **THE PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff Sonia Baez ("Plaintiff" or "Baez") is an adult, over eighteen years old, who currently resides in Westchester County in the State of New York.
9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Karp Scarsdale, LLC ("KS") was a New York for-profit Limited Liability Company.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant The Ambassador of Scarsdale Management (“ASM”) was a New York business entity.
11. Upon information and belief, Defendants KS and ASM owned and operated a large residential housing facility at 9 Saxon Wood Road, White Plains, NY 10605 where Plaintiff was employed by Defendants.
12. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendants KS and ASM individually and/or jointly controlled the employment of Plaintiff and was responsible for hiring, firing, scheduling, controlling, managing, supervising, and record-keeping as to Plaintiff’s employment, among other employment functions.
13. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed individually and/or jointly by Defendants.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

14. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants, individually and/or jointly, operated luxury residential housing units.
15. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants employed approximately 75 persons at any given time and over 100 persons during the class period.
16. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was individually and/or jointly, employed by Defendants as a manual worker performing a variety of functions within this capacity including all phases of food preparation and handling.
17. Plaintiff Baez was employed by Defendants, individually and/or jointly, from in or around June 2015 to on or about December 12, 2017.
18. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an hourly employee of Defendants, and was paid at a regular rate of about \$14 an hour but Plaintiff was not paid *any* wages for her overtime hours (hours over 40 in a week) worked during each pay period.

19. At all times relevant herein, Defendants had a policy and practice of automatically deducting from the wages of Plaintiff, the equivalent of 30 minutes of pay daily for lunch breaks. However, at all times relevant herein, due to the demands of her job, Plaintiff worked through her lunch break during most work-days and on other work days, Plaintiff ate lunch during a break of about 15-20 minutes. This unlawful practice of Defendants resulted in an underpayment of wages, including the underpayment of overtime wages (hours over 40 in a week) – Plaintiff is owed wages for about 2.5 or more hours each week during her employment with Defendants.
20. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked approximately 42.5 hours a week for Defendants and likely more; 5 days a week.
21. A more precise statement of the hours and wages will be made when Plaintiff Baez obtains the wage and time records Defendants were required to keep under the FLSA and NYLL. Accurate copies of Plaintiff's wage and time records that Defendants were required to keep pursuant to 29 USC 211, 29 CFR 516 and NYLL 195, 12 NYCRR 142.2-6, are incorporated herein by reference.
22. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff at a rate of at least 1.5 times her regular rate for hours worked in excess of 40 in a week, for each week during the period of her employment with Defendants.
23. At all times relevant herein, Defendants paid Plaintiff and the putative class members on a biweekly basis in violation of NYLL 191 (1)(a)(i).
24. At all times relevant herein, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1).
25. At all times relevant herein, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – the statements provided to Plaintiff did not contain all hours worked by Plaintiff, nor all rates of pay including Plaintiff's overtime rate of pay, among other deficiencies.
26. The violations Plaintiff complains of herein, also apply to and were suffered by the putative

class members.

27. At all times applicable herein, Defendants conducted business with vendors/entities/persons within the State of New York.
28. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendants utilized the instrumentalities of interstate commerce such as the United States mail, electronic mail, internet and telephone systems.
29. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendants utilized the goods, materials, and services through interstate commerce such as essential supplies and materials.
30. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendants conducted business with mortgage companies, banks, insurance companies, and internet/email service providers within and outside the State of New York.
31. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants failed to display federal and state minimum wage/overtime posters as required by the FLSA and NYLL.
32. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants, individually and/or jointly, failed to notify Plaintiff of her federal and state minimum wage and overtime rights and failed to inform Plaintiff that he could seek enforcement of such rights through the government enforcement agencies.
33. The “present” or the “present time” as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint was signed.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT - 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq. (Unpaid Overtime)**

34. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated who opt into this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 34 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

35. The named Plaintiff has consented to be part of this action by the filing of this action on her behalf and with his consent.
36. The FLSA cause of action is brought as a collective action on behalf of the named Plaintiff and all others who are/were similarly situated and who file consents to opt-in to the action.
37. The class of similarly situated individuals as to the FLSA cause of action is defined as current and former employees of Defendants who: 1) worked more than 40 hours in a week, within at least the three-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 2) were not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a week as also explained above.
38. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.
39. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
40. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated, were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA – 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq.
41. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly similarly-situated, were engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce and/or Defendants constituted an enterprise(s) engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a).
42. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendants transacted commerce and business in excess of \$500,000.00 annually or had revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500,000.00 annually.
43. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff, and all those similarly similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207.

**Relief Demanded**

44. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid overtime wage compensation, plus maximum liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

**AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NYLL 650 et Seq. and 12 NYCRR 142-2.2 etc. (Unpaid Overtime)**

45. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated as class members, and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 43 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

46. Plaintiff sues on her own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

47. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the overtime cause of action under the NYLL is defined as current and former hourly employees of Defendants who: 1) were employed by Defendants within the State of New York; 2) worked more than forty hours in a week, within at least the six-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 3) not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.

48. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.

49. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.

50. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

51. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – whether the putative class was paid at least 1.5 times the applicable regular rate for all hours in excess of forty in a week.

52. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.

53. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

54. The Defendants has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

55. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:

- (a) Whether, Defendants failed and/or refused to pay the Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of at least one and one half (1 ½) times their regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of forty each week within the meaning of New York Minimum Wage Act and the regulations thereunder – 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

56. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in court against corporate defendants and in light of the large number of putative class members.

57. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 and the regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142.

58. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed to pay and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than



1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

**Relief Demanded**

59. Due to Defendants' NYLL overtime violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid overtime wages, maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to NYLL § 663(1).

**AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(NYLL § 191, 198 - Untimely Wage Payments)**

60. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly-situated and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 59 above.

61. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the manual worker cause of action under the NYLL is defined as current and former employees who worked for the Defendants as manual workers within the State of New York and who: 1) were not paid their non-overtime and/or overtime wages weekly as also explained above, within at least the six-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint to the date of disposition of this action.

62. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.

63. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.

64. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

65. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – whether the putative class was paid wages weekly.

66. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
67. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
68. The Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
69. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:
  - (a) Whether, Defendants failed and/or refused to pay the Plaintiff and the putative class members their wages weekly, as required by NYLL 191(1)(a).
70. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate Defendants and in light of the large number of putative class members.
71. At all times relevant to this action, plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 190 et Seq., including NYLL 191(1)(a) and the regulations thereunder.
72. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed to pay and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, their wages including overtime and non-overtime wages weekly, in violation of the NYLL 191(1)(a).

#### **Relief Demanded**

73. Due to Defendant's NYLL 190 et Seq. violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, maximum liquidated damages (for the period after April 9, 2011), and interest on wages paid later than weekly, plus attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to NYLL § 198.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NYLL § 190, 191, 193, 195 and 198**

74. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated as class members, and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 73 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

75. Plaintiff sues on her own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

76. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the cause of action for NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3) violations is defined as current and former hourly employees of Defendants who: 1) were not provided with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), or 2) were not provided with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

77. The class includes but is not limited to employees who did not receive wage statements, employees who received wage statements but whose wage statements did not reflect all hours worked or all wages earned, and employees who did not receive the required wage notices setting forth the regular and overtime rate of pay, correct frequency of pay, among other deficiencies.

78. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.

79. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.

80. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

81. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – (a)

whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and (b) whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

82. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.

83. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

84. The Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

85. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:

(a) whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

86. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate Defendants and in light of the large number of putative class members.

87. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor law, §§ 190 et seq., including §§ 191, 193, 195 and 198.

88. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1) – Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this

violation, plus attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-b), as well as an injunction directing Defendants to comply with NYLL 195(1).

89. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1d), as well as an injunction directing Defendants to comply with NYLL 195(1).

### **Relief Demanded**

90. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law Article 6 violations including violation of sections 191, 193, 195 and 198, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

91. Declare Defendants, individually, and/or jointly, to be in violation of the Plaintiff's rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act, Article 6 of the New York Labor Law, the New York Minimum Wage Act, and the Regulations thereunder;

92. As to the **First Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly-situated as class members, who opt-in to this action, their unpaid overtime wages due under the FLSA, together with maximum liquidated damages, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b);

93. As to the **Second Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, their unpaid overtime wages due under the New York Minimum Wage Act and the Regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR §§ 142-2.2, together with maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to NYLL § 663;

94. As to the **Third Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, maximum liquidated damages (for the period after April 9, 2011) on all wages paid later than weekly, and interest, plus attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements pursuant to NYLL §§ 191, 198
95. As to the **Fourth Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.
96. Award Plaintiff and putative class members prejudgment interest on all monies due;
97. Award Plaintiff and all others similarly situated as class members where applicable, any relief requested or stated in the preceding paragraphs but which has not been requested in the WHEREFORE clause, in addition to the relief requested in the wherefore clause;
98. Award Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, such other, further and different relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**Dated: Queens Village, New York  
December 21, 2017**

Respectfully submitted,

Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC

/s/ Abdul Hassan

By: Abdul K. Hassan, Esq. (AH6510)  
215-28 Hillside Avenue, Queens Village, NY 11427  
Tel: 718-740-1000 - Fax: 718-740-2000  
E-mail: [abdul@abdulhassan.com](mailto:abdul@abdulhassan.com)  
ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Karp Scarsdale, One Other Accused of Denying Employees Overtime Wages](#)

---