FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA **ORLANDO DIVISION**

2017 SEP 25 PM 2: 02

ROBERT BAEHRLE, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 6:17cv1686-orl-41GJK

VS.

H.A.T SERVICE CORPORATION, A Florida Profit Corporation,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, ROBERT BAEHRLE ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and other similarly situated employees, brings this collective action for unpaid overtime compensation, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 216(b) (the "FLSA") against H.A.T. SERVICE CORPORATION (the "Company"), a Florida Corporation, and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages and for declaratory relief, under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., hereinafter called the "FLSA") to recover overtime, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs and relief as available under Florida common law.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and the FLSA and supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court because the violations of the FLSA occurred in this Judicial District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as route salesman for an ice cream company and performed related activities for Defendant in Orange County, Florida.

5. Defendant, H.A.T. SERVICE CORPORATION, is a Florida corporation licensed to do business in Florida and operates and conducts business in, among others, Orange County, Florida, and is therefore within the jurisdiction of this Court.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 6. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 7. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1337 and the FLSA.
- 8. At all material times relevant to this action, the Company was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§203(r) and 203(s).
- 9. Defendant is a distributor of ice cream products in and around Orange County and surrounding counties. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant had gross revenues of at least \$500,000.00 annually and employed employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Defendant's employees handle and otherwise work on goods that have been moved in or produced for commerce. For example, employees employed by Defendant handle or work on goods that have been moved or produced for commerce such as ice cream, paper goods, and computers which originated from outside the state of Florida.
- 10. As a route salesman who never left the state of Florida, Plaintiff was not exempt from overtime requirement of the FLSA.
- 11. Defendant is a distributor of ice cream products throughout most of central and southern Florida.
- 12. The job title "route salesman" is deceiving. Defendant's route salesmen do not actually "sell" Defendant's products. In reality, route salesmen spend the overwhelming majority of their time servicing accounts by replenishing inventory.
 - 13. Plaintiff is not exempt from overtime as s route salesman because he performed

duties that included driving a truck, delivering ice cream products, placing orders for customers, stocking shelves, and clocking in and out on his hand-held computer, among other non-exempt tasks.

- 14. By Plaintiff's estimates, he routinely worked more than 45 hours or more in a work week.
- 15. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 16. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.
- 17. Plaintiff was never paid at one and one half times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty.
- 18. Upon information and belief, many of the records, to the extent that any exist concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff, are in the possession, custody and control of the Defendant.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not rely on any Department of Labor Wage and Hour Opinions or the advice of an attorney in creating its pay policies.
- 20. Defendant knew or should have known with reasonable diligence that its conduct violated the Fair Labor Standards Act.
 - 21. All conditions precedent to this action have been met or have been waived.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 22. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-21 above.
- 23. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
 - 24. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours

but was not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.

- 25. As a route salesman Plaintiff did not qualify for an exemption from the wage and overtime obligations imposed by the FLSA.
- 26. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 27. Defendant was aware Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).
- 28. Defendant did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 29. Defendant failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 30. Defendant's conduct was willful and in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
 - 31. Defendant willfully violated the FLSA.
 - 32. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.
 - 33. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by him but Defendant failed to pay him, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

COUNT II - COLLECTIVE ACTION RECOVERY OF OVERTIME

- 34. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-21 above.
- 35. At all times material, Defendant employed numerous other non-exempt employees who worked as route salesmen and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty

(40) per week.

36. Throughout their employment, those route salesmen similarly situated to Plaintiff

were subject to the same unlawful pay practices.

37. Defendant failed to pay those individuals, who are similarly situated to Plaintiff,

one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in

each week, in violation of the FLSA.

38. Defendant's failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required

overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.

39. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendant's unlawful acts, individuals

similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and

attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that similarly situated employees have judgment entered

against Defendant for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay

for the hours worked over forty for which Defendant failed to pay them, liquidated damages,

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court

deems to be just and appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated this day 21st of September, 2017.

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman

MARC R. EDELMAN, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 0096342

Morgan & Morgan, P.A.

201 N. Franklin Street, #600

Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone 813-223-5505

Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: Medelman@forthepeople.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

kk	(
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ROBERT BAEHRLE, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated				DEFENDAN H.A.T SERVIC A Florida Profi	CE CC			TION,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.									
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Marc R. Edelman, Esq. 201 N. Franklin Street Morgan & Morgan Tampa, FL 33602 813-223-5505				Attomeys (If Kn	iown)								
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP O		RINCI	PA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box I				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF en of This State I					ncipal Place	PTF	Ì	DEF 1 4	
U.S. Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	Citiz	en of Another State	Incorporated and P of Business In A									
				en or Subject of a reign Country			5	O 6					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly) DTS	See La Ro	ORFEITHRE/PENAL	π v ∞l	esternis d	RAN	KRUPTGV	C. OTHER	STATI	18 18 34	S . E . 23 M	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 326 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 3440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Arner. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	RYY	ORFEITURE/PENAL 5 Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC 60 Other 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 15 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement 12 Income Security Act 13 Manual Manual Manual 14 Manual Manual 15 Naturalization Appli 15 Other Immigration Actions	e 881	422 / 423 \ 423 \ 423 \ 820 830 840 861 862 863 864 865 871 871	Appe Without 28 U PEF Copy Paten Trade TAB HIA (Black DIWO SSID ER/ Taxes or De (RS-	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 ITVERIGHTS rights t smark SEGURITIVE 1(1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	375 False C 376 Qui Ta 3729(i 400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consus 490 Cable/ 850 Securit 890 Other: 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedo Act 899 Admin Act/Re	Claims A m (3 I U a)) Acapport sst and Ban erce ation weer Influ to Organi mer Cres Sat TV tics/Con nige Statutor litural A non of Inf ation distractive ey Decisi tutionali tutionali	ct SC ionmicking acace zatio dit amod it amod rets Matt	ed and ons lities/ cions ters ation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Start FLSA 29 U.S.C. 20 Unpaid Overtime CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court attite under which you a 216(b) ause: IS A CLASS ACTIO	are filing (pened A	nother	red from District	ss di	6 Multidistr Litigation versity): HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n comp			
IF ANY DATEO - 01-17	(See instructions):	JUDGE SIGNATORY OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD	Ø		- /	t NUMBER _	n In				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		-///		Marc		<u> (</u>	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7 000	5—			
DECEIPT # AI	MOLINIT	MODI VING IED		IIID	CF			MAG IIII	OGE				

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Route Driver Seeks Allegedly Unpaid Overtime Wages from Ice Cream Company