IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAN 25 2018 JAMES W. MCCORMACK, CLERK

PLAINTIFF

DEP CLERK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

MADISEN BABINEAUX, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

vs.

No. 4:18-cv- 66 - BRW

ABSOLUTE CARE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Madisen Babineaux ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys Sean Short, Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action against Defendant Absolute Care Management Corporation ("Defendant"), she does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. This is a hybrid class and collective action brought by Plaintiff Madisen Babineaux, individually and on behalf of other Home Healthcare Workers employed by Defendant at any time within a three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint.

2. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee as a result of

This case assigned to District Judge <u>Wilson</u> and to Magistrate Judge _Kay

Page 1 of 17 Madisen Babineaux, et al. v. Absolute Care Management Corporation U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers lawful minimum and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA as described, *infra*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

5. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this Complaint.

6. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

7. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

8. Defendant does business in this district and a substantial part of the events alleged herein occurred in this District.

 The witnesses to minimum wage and overtime violations alleged in this Complaint reside in this District.

10. On information and belief, the payroll records and other documents related to the payroll practices that Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

III. THE PARTIES

11. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

12. Plaintiff Madisen Babineaux is a citizen and resident of Faulkner County.

13. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Home Healthcare Worker within the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

14. Plaintiff was paid an hourly rate.

15. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection and benefits provided under the FLSA and AMWA.

16. Defendant is a for-profit, domestic business corporation, providing inhome, non-medical services to individuals needing assistance with activities of daily living and personal services from 18 locations throughout Arkansas.

17. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of exercise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

18. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

19. Defendant's principal address is 1316 Stone Street, Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401.

20. Defendant's registered agent for service of process is Kelly Grojean, 1316 Stone Street, Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401.

21. Defendant was at all times relevant hereto Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the proposed classes, and is and has been engaged in interstate commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA and AMWA.

22. Within the past three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant continuously employed at least four employees, including Plaintiff.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

24. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Home Healthcare Worker at Defendant's Conway Agency location in Conway.

25. Defendant directly hired Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers, paid them wages and benefits, controlled their work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions, and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

26. Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers traveled to the homes of Defendant's clients to provide non-medical services such as bathing, dressing, pet care, and laundry.

27. Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers were classified as hourly employees and paid an hourly rate.

Case 4:18-cv-00066-BRW Document 1 Filed 01/25/18 Page 5 of 17

28. Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

29. It was Defendant's commonly applied policy to not pay Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers for all of the hours during which they were performing services at the homes of Defendant's clients and traveling between the homes of Defendant's clients.

30. As a result, Defendant did not pay Plaintiff or other Home Healthcare Workers a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week or one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate for all hours in excess of forty (40) in a week.

31. Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers were and are entitled to a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked under forty (40) per week and overtime compensation in the amount of one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a week.

32. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers overtime compensation for some hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, but refused to pay Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare Workers for all hours worked, even though Defendant was aware of those additional hours worked.

33. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid Plaintiff and other Home Healthcare workers violated the FLSA and AMWA.

V.REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Collective

34. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

Page 5 of 17 Madisen Babineaux, et al. v. Absolute Care Management Corporation U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00066-BRW Document 1 Filed 01/25/18 Page 6 of 17

35. Plaintiff brings her claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

36. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly Home Healthcare Workers employed by Defendant at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

A. Payment for all hours worked, including payment of a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked for Defendant and overtime premiums for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek; and

B. Liquidated damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

37. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has attached hereto as Exhibit "A" a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

38. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

39. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in that they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA;

B. They were paid hourly;

C. They recorded their time in the same manner; and

D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of denying pay for all hours worked, including overtime pay for some hours worked over forty (40) per work week.

40. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the FLSA Collective but believes that the group exceeds 800 persons.

41. In the modern era, most working-class Americans have become increasingly reliant on email and text messages, and generally use them just as often, if not more so, than traditional U.S. Mail.

42. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) Collective. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

43. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

44. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly Home Healthcare Workers who are/were employed by Defendant within the relevant time period within the State of Arkansas.

Case 4:18-cv-00066-BRW Document 1 Filed 01/25/18 Page 8 of 17

45. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed class, such as whether Defendant paid the members of the proposed class for all hours worked, including minimum wage and overtime in accordance with the AMWA.

46. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the proposed AMWA class.

47. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-202.

48. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the AMWA class but believes that the class exceeds 800 persons. Therefore, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

49. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

Case 4:18-cv-00066-BRW Document 1 Filed 01/25/18 Page 9 of 17

50. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because Defendant is based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

51. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.

52. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in that Plaintiff worked as an hourly employee for Defendant and experienced the same violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

53. Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

54. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate additional counsel.

55. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.

VI.FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Individual Claim for Violation of FLSA)

56. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

57. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty in one week and one and one-half times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of forty (40) per week. 29 U.S.C. § 206; 29 U.S.C. § 207.

58. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage and one and onehalf times her regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week, despite her entitlement thereto.

59. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

60. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

61. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of FLSA)

62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

63. Plaintiff asserts this claim on behalf of all Home Healthcare Workers employed by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative collective for unpaid minimum wages all hours worked up to forty (40) each week and unpaid overtime compensation for all the hours she and they worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

64. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

65. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 207 require employers to pay employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty in one week and one and one-half times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of forty (40) per week. 29 U.S.C. § 206; 29 U.S.C. § 207.

66. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated a lawful minimum wage and one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week, despite their entitlement thereto, and instead deliberately chose to only pay them for some of those hours.

67. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed overtime for the same reasons, the proposed collective is properly defined as follows:

All hourly-paid Home Healthcare Workers employed by Defendant within the past three years.

68. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

69. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

70. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

71. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

72. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, *et seq*.

73. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

74. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty in one week and to pay one and one-half times regular wages for all hours worked over forty hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

75. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff all wages owed, as required under the AMWA.

76. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to payment of a lawful minimum wage and overtime payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage and failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime premium.

Case 4:18-cv-00066-BRW Document 1 Filed 01/25/18 Page 13 of 17

77. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

78. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

79. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

80. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

81. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the proposed class, asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 *et seq*.

82. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-203(4).

83. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all wages owed, as required under the AMWA.

84. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and members of the proposed class to payment of a lawful minimum wage and overtime payments under the AMWA,

Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class a lawful minimum wage and failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class a lawful overtime premium.

85. Plaintiff proposes to represent the AMWA liability class of individuals defined as follows:

All hourly-paid Home Healthcare Workers employed by Defendant in Arkansas within the past three years.

86. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

87. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

88. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. EQUITABLE TOLLING

89. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

90. The applicable statute of limitations for Plaintiff's FLSA and AMWA causes of action should be tolled because strict application of the statute of limitations would be inequitable.

91. Defendant, as an employer with a duty to comply with the FLSA and AMWA and the means to do so, was and has at all relevant times been in a far superior position than Plaintiff to understand the FLSA and AMWA and apply it appropriately, and Defendant should not be permitted to benefit from this imbalance of power by the passage of time.

92. Further, FLSA regulations require that all employers display posters advising employees of their minimum wage and overtime pay rights. 29 C.F.R. § 516.4.

93. An employer's failure to post required FLSA notices regarding minimum wage and overtime provisions can toll the statute of limitations. *United States v. Sabhnani*, 566 F. Supp. 2d 139 (E.D.N.Y. 2008); *Henchy v. City of Absecon*, 148 F. Supp. 2d 435, 439 (D.N.J. 2001); *Kamens v. Summit Stainless, Inc.*, 586 F. Supp. 324, 328 (E.D. Penn. 1984).

94. Defendant failed to post all appropriate notices regarding the FLSA and AMWA.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Madisen Babineaux, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein as follows:

(a) That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the collective and class members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the collective and class members and all monies paid to them;

(b) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 *et seq.*;

(c) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* and the related regulations;

(d) Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;

(e) Judgment for damages for all unpaid regular and overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 *et seq.*;

(f) Judgment for damages for all unpaid regular and overtime compensation under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* and the related regulations;

(g) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. §201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 *et seq.*, in an amount equal to all unpaid regular and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the class during the applicable statutory period;

(h) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.*, and the relating regulations

(i) An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the class pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action; and (j) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and

proper.

Respectfully submitted,

MADISEN BABINEAUX, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

By:

n Shorf

Ark Bar No. 2015079 sean@sanfordlawfirm.com

U

Chris Burks Ark Bar No. 2010207 <u>chris@sanfordlawfirm.com</u>

and

Josh Sanford Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

Case 4:18-cv-00066-BRW Document 1-1 Filed 01/25/18 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) **CIVIL COVER SHEET** $4:/8 - c_V - 66 - BRW$ The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for t

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
MADISEN BABINEAUX, Individually and on Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated				ABSOLUTE CARE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Faulkner				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Cente 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211			er,	Attorneys (If Known)						
501-221-0088; josh@sar	fordlawfirm.com									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. Cl	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box f			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	incipal Place	PTF	DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and Principal Pla of Business In Another Stat			5	5	
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of KRUPTCY				
 CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 970 Ve	310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 315 Airplane Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Distributy 330 Federal Employers' Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 340 Marine Injury Product Liability 340 Marine Injury Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 355 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 362 Personal Injury- Medical Malpractice 385 Property Damage Product Liability 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 443 Housing/ Accommodations 530 General 444 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 530 Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 550 Civil Rights		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMICRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 H1A (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 		OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)				1		L			
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		pened or 5 Transfer spened Another (specify)	er District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidi Litigatio Direct F	on -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	201180 201	iuse:	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless d	iversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:										
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY		DOCKET NUMBER								
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE										

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Absolute Care Management Hit with Home Healthcare Worker's Wage and Hour Suit</u>