## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GEORGE ASSAD, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	)
Plaintiff,	)
riamum,	) Case No
V.	)
	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
CALGON CARBON CORPORATION, J.	)
RICH ALEXANDER, RANDALL S.	) CLASS ACTION
DEARTH, WILLIAM J. LYONS, LOUIS S.	)
MASSIMO, WILLIAM R. NEWLIN, JOHN	)
J. PARO, JULIE S. ROBERTS, TIMOTHY	)
G. RUPERT, DONALD S. TEMPLIN,	)
KURARAY CO., LTD., KURARAY	)
HOLDINGS U.S.A., INC., and KJ MERGER	)
SUB, INC.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Plaintiff, by his undersigned attorneys, for this complaint against defendants, alleges upon personal knowledge with respect to himself, and upon information and belief based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation of counsel as to all other allegations herein, as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This action stems from a proposed transaction announced on September 21, 2017 (the "Proposed Transaction"), pursuant to which Calgon Carbon Corporation ("Calgon Carbon" or the "Company") will be acquired by Kuraray Co., Ltd. ("Kuraray Co."), Kuraray Holdings U.S.A., Inc. ("Parent"), and KJ Merger Sub, Inc. ("Merger Sub," and together with Kuraray Co. and Parent, "Kuraray").
- 2. On September 21, 2017, Calgon Carbon's Board of Directors (the "Board" or "Individual Defendants") caused the Company to enter into an agreement and plan of merger

(the "Merger Agreement") with Kuraray. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, if Calgon Carbon's stockholder approve the Proposed Transaction, they will receive \$21.50 in cash for each share of Calgon Carbon stock they own.

- 3. On October 27, 2017, defendants filed a Preliminary Proxy Statement (the "Proxy Statement") with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") in connection with the Proposed Transaction.
- 4. The Proxy Statement omits material information with respect to the Proposed Transaction, which renders the Proxy Statement false and misleading. Accordingly, plaintiff alleges herein that defendants violated Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act") in connection with the Proxy Statement.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein pursuant to Section 27 of the 1934 Act because the claims asserted herein arise under Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the 1934 Act and Rule 14a-9.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over defendants because each defendant is either a corporation that conducts business in and maintains operations within this District, or is an individual with sufficient minimum contacts with this District so as to make the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial portion of the transactions and wrongs complained of herein occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff is, and has been continuously throughout all times relevant hereto, the owner of Calgon Carbon common stock.

- 9. Defendant Calgon Carbon is a Delaware corporation and maintains its principal executive offices at 3000 GSK Drive, Moon Township, Pennsylvania 15108. Calgon Carbon's common stock is traded on the NYSE under the ticker symbol "CCC."
  - 10. Defendant J. Rich Alexander ("Alexander") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
- 11. Defendant Randall S. Dearth ("Dearth") is the Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Calgon Carbon.
  - 12. Defendant William J. Lyons ("Lyons") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
  - 13. Defendant Louis S. Massimo ("Massimo") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
  - 14. Defendant William R. Newlin ("Newlin") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
  - 15. Defendant John J. Paro ("Paro") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
  - 16. Defendant Julie S. Roberts ("Roberts") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
  - 17. Defendant Timothy G. Rupert ("Rupert") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
  - 18. Defendant Donald C. Templin ("Templin") is a director of Calgon Carbon.
- 19. The defendants identified in paragraphs 10 through 18 are collectively referred to herein as the "Individual Defendants."
- 20. Defendant Kuraray Co. is a Japanese entity, the beneficial owner of Parent and Merger Sub, and a party to the Merger Agreement.
- 21. Defendant Parent is a Delaware corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kuraray Co., and a party to the Merger Agreement.
- 22. Defendant Merger Sub is a Delaware corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parent, and a party to the Merger Agreement.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

23. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action on behalf of itself and the other public

stockholders of Calgon Carbon (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are defendants herein and any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity related to or affiliated with any defendant.

- 24. This action is properly maintainable as a class action.
- 25. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. As of September 19, 2017, there were approximately 50,792,766.9282 shares of Calgon Carbon common stock outstanding, held by hundreds, if not thousands, of individuals and entities scattered throughout the country.
- 26. Questions of law and fact are common to the Class, including, among others: (i) whether defendants violated the 1934 Act; and (ii) whether defendants will irreparably harm plaintiff and the other members of the Class if defendants' conduct complained of herein continues.
- 27. Plaintiff is committed to prosecuting this action and has retained competent counsel experienced in litigation of this nature. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class and plaintiff has the same interests as the other members of the Class. Accordingly, plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
- 28. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for defendants, or adjudications that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of individual members of the Class who are not parties to the adjudications or would substantially impair or impede those non-party Class members' ability to protect their interests.
  - 29. Defendants have acted, or refused to act, on grounds generally applicable to the

Class as a whole, and are causing injury to the entire Class. Therefore, final injunctive relief on behalf of the Class is appropriate.

#### **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

#### Background of the Company

- 30. Calgon Carbon was founded in 1967 and it is a global leader in innovative solutions, high quality products, and reliable services designed to protect human health and the environment from harmful contaminants in water and the air. As a leading manufacturer of activated carbon, with broad capabilities in ultraviolet light disinfection, the Company provides purification solutions for drinking water, wastewater, pollution abatement, and a variety of industrial and commercial manufacturing processes.
- 31. The Company offers a diverse range of products, services, and equipment specifically developed for the purification, separation, and concentration, and filtration of liquids, gases, and other media through its reportable business segments: Activated Carbon and Service, Equipment, Consumer, and Other.
- 32. The Activated Carbon and Service segment manufactures and markets granular and powdered activated carbon for use in many distinct market applications that remove organic compounds from water, air, and other liquids and gases. The Service aspect of this segment consists of carbon reactivation and the leasing, installation, monitoring and maintenance of carbon adsorption equipment. Sales for the Activated Carbon and Service segment were \$454.6 million, \$486.5 million, and \$498.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively.
- 33. The Equipment segment provides solutions to customers' air, water, and other liquid purification problems through the design, fabrication, installation, and sale of equipment

systems that utilize one or more of the Company's enabling technologies: carbon adsorption, ultraviolet light, and advanced ion-exchange technologies. Sales for the Equipment segment were \$38.9 million, \$39.3 million, and \$45.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively.

- 34. The Consumer segment supplies activated carbon cloth for use in medical, military, and industrial applications. Sales for the Consumer segment were \$8.7 million, \$9.2 million, and \$11.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively.
- 35. Finally, the Company's Other segment is comprised of the results of a company that Calgon Carbon acquired in 2016. Specifically, on November 2, 2016, the Company completed the acquisition of the wood-based activated carbon, reactivation and mineral-based filtration media business of CECA, a subsidiary of Arkema Group ("CECA"). CECA manufactures and markets granular and powdered wood-based activated carbon, coal-based activated carbon reactivation, diatomaceous earth, and perlite filtration media for use in various distinct market applications. They are used primarily for decolorization, purification, decontamination, and filtration of liquids in various applications including food and beverage, industrial, fine chemicals and pharmaceuticals. With four manufacturing facilities located in France and two in Italy, the 2016 sales of CECA were \$12.1 million from its date of acquisition on November 2, 2016 through December 31, 2016.

#### The Proxy Statement Omits Material Information, Rendering It False and Misleading

- 36. Defendants filed the Proxy Statement with the SEC in connection with the Proposed Transaction.
- 37. The Proxy Statement omits material information with respect to the Proposed Transaction, which renders the Proxy Statement false and misleading.

- 38. The Proxy Statement omits material information regarding Calgon Carbon's financial projections, as well as the valuation analyses performed by the Company's financial advisor in connection with the Proposed Transaction, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley").
- 39. The disclosure of projected financial information is material because it provides stockholders with a basis to project the future financial performance of a company, and allows stockholders to better understand the financial analyses performed by the company's financial advisor in support of its fairness opinion. Moreover, when a banker's endorsement of the fairness of a transaction is touted to shareholders, the valuation methods used to arrive at that opinion as well as the key inputs and range of ultimate values generated by those analyses must also be fairly disclosed.
- 40. Although the Proxy Statement discloses certain of the Company's financial projections, it fails to disclose certain of the projections that Morgan Stanley actually used in its valuation analyses to support its opinion that the merger consideration is fair to the Company's stockholders. For example, in performing its valuation analyses, Morgan Stanley generally used two sets of projections of Calgon Carbon: one set was provided by Company management, and the other set were Wall Street consensus estimates (referred to in the Proxy as the "Street Case"). The Proxy Statement discloses some of the management projections, but completely fails to disclose to stockholders the Street Case projections that were relied upon by Morgan Stanley in its analyses. Because the Street Case projections were used by Morgan Stanley in its analyses, they must be disclosed to stockholders.
- 41. Further, in performing its Discounted Cash Flow Analysis, Morgan Stanley used the Company's projected unlevered free cash flows for years 2017 through 2021. According to

the Proxy Statement: "The Management Case estimates [of unlevered free cash flows] through fiscal year 2021 were based on projections provided by Calgon Carbon's management. The Street Case estimates [of unlevered free cash flows] were based on the median Wall Street broker projections through fiscal year 2019 and which were then extrapolated forward based on Calgon Carbon's management's estimated revenue growth and estimated margin improvement rates for fiscal years 2020 and 2021."

- 42. The Proxy Statement, however, fails to (but must) disclose the Company's unlevered free cash flows for years 2017 through 2021, as used by Morgan Stanley in its analysis. The disclosure of these projections is necessary so that stockholders can understand the Company's long-term standalone prospects, and test the various assumptions that were selected by Morgan Stanley in its analysis.
- 43. Additionally, the Proxy Statement discloses certain Calgon Carbon management projections for non-GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) metrics, including EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income Per Share, but it fails to provide stockholders with the necessary line item projections for the metrics used to calculate these non-GAAP measures or otherwise reconcile the non-GAAP projections to the most comparable GAAP measures.
- 44. To avoid misleading stockholders with non-GAAP financial measures in business combinations such as the Proposed Transaction, publicly traded companies must provide a reconciliation of the differences between the non-GAAP financial measures with the most comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. Indeed, defendants acknowledge in the Proxy Statement that: "EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, operating income, cash flows from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP or as a

measure of a company's profitability or liquidity. Because EBITDA excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income, this measure may vary among companies, including Calgon Carbon. The EBITDA data presented below may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies." As such, stockholders are entitled to the line item projections used to calculate the Company's non-GAAP projections or a reconciliation of the non-GAAP projections to the most comparable GAAP measures.

- 45. With respect to Morgan Stanley's Discounted Cash Flow Analysis, the Proxy Statement fails to disclose: (i) the Company's projected Management Case and Street projections of unlevered free cash flows for years 2017 through 2021, and their respective line items, as used by Morgan Stanley in its analysis; (ii) the Street Case estimates based on the median Wall Street broker projections through fiscal year 2019, the extrapolated projections through 2021, and the estimated revenue growth and estimated margin improvement rates for fiscal years 2020 and 2021 provided by Calgon Carbon's management; (iii) the inputs and assumptions underlying the discount rate range of 8.4% to 10.1% used by Morgan Stanley in its analysis; and (iv) the perpetuity growth rate ranges implied by Morgan Stanley's analysis.
- 46. The omission of this material information renders the Proxy Statement false and misleading, including, *inter alia*, the following sections of the Proxy Statement: (i) "Certain Calgon Carbon Unaudited Prospective Financial Information;" and (ii) "Opinion of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC."
- 47. The above-referenced omitted information, if disclosed, would significantly alter the total mix of information available to the Company's stockholders.

#### **COUNT I**

## Claim for Violation of Section 14(a) of the 1934 Act and Rule 14a-9 Promulgated Thereunder Against the Individual Defendants and Calgon Carbon

- 48. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. The Individual Defendants disseminated the false and misleading Proxy Statement, which contained statements that, in violation of Section 14(a) of the 1934 Act and Rule 14a-9, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements therein not materially false or misleading. Calgon Carbon is liable as the issuer of these statements.
- 50. The Proxy Statement was prepared, reviewed, and/or disseminated by the Individual Defendants. By virtue of their positions within the Company, the Individual Defendants were aware of this information and their duty to disclose this information in the Proxy Statement.
- 51. The Individual Defendants were at least negligent in filing the Proxy Statement with these materially false and misleading statements.
- 52. The omissions and false and misleading statements in the Proxy Statement are material in that a reasonable stockholder will consider them important in deciding how to vote on the Proposed Transaction. In addition, a reasonable investor will view a full and accurate disclosure as significantly altering the total mix of information made available in the Proxy Statement and in other information reasonably available to stockholders.
- 53. The Proxy Statement is an essential link in causing plaintiff and the Company's stockholders to approve the Proposed Transaction.
- 54. By reason of the foregoing, defendants violated Section 14(a) of the 1934 Act and Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder.

55. Because of the false and misleading statements in the Proxy Statement, plaintiff and the Class are threatened with irreparable harm.

#### **COUNT II**

#### Claim for Violation of Section 20(a) of the 1934 Act Against the Individual Defendants and Kuraray

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. The Individual Defendants and Kuraray acted as controlling persons of Calgon Carbon within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the 1934 Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their positions as officers and/or directors of Calgon Carbon and participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations and/or intimate knowledge of the false statements contained in the Proxy Statement, they had the power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements that plaintiff contends are false and misleading.
- 58. Each of the Individual Defendants and Kuraray was provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the Proxy Statement alleged by plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause them to be corrected.
- 59. In particular, each of the Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company, and, therefore, is presumed to have had the power to control and influence the particular transactions giving rise to the violations as alleged herein, and exercised the same. The Proxy Statement contains the unanimous recommendation of the Individual Defendants to approve the Proposed Transaction. They were thus directly involved in the making of the Proxy Statement.
  - 60. Kuraray also had direct supervisory control over the composition of the Proxy

Statement and the information disclosed therein, as well as the information that was omitted and/or misrepresented in the Proxy Statement.

- 61. By virtue of the foregoing, the Individual Defendants and Kuraray violated Section 20(a) of the 1934 Act.
- 62. As set forth above, the Individual Defendants and Kuraray had the ability to exercise control over and did control a person or persons who have each violated Section 14(a) of the 1934 Act and Rule 14a-9, by their acts and omissions as alleged herein. By virtue of their positions as controlling persons, these defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the 1934 Act. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff and the Class are threatened with irreparable harm.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment and relief as follows:

- A. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining defendants and all persons acting in concert with them from proceeding with, consummating, or closing the Proposed Transaction;
- B. In the event defendants consummate the Proposed Transaction, rescinding it and setting it aside or awarding rescissory damages;
- C. Directing the Individual Defendants to disseminate a Proxy Statement that does not contain any untrue statements of material fact and that states all material facts required in it or necessary to make the statements contained therein not misleading;
- D. Declaring that defendants violated Sections 14(a) and/or 20(a) of the 1934 Act, as well as Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder;
- E. Awarding plaintiff the costs of this action, including reasonable allowance for plaintiff's attorneys' and experts' fees; and

F. Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: November 2, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF ALFRED G. YATES, JR., P.C.

#### **OF COUNSEL:**

#### RIGRODSKY & LONG, P.A.

2 Righter Parkway, Suite 120 Wilmington, DE 19803 Telephone: (302) 295-5310 Facsimile: (302) 654-7530

#### RM LAW, P.C.

1055 Westlakes Drive, Suite 300

Berwyn, PA 19312

Telephone: (484) 324-6800 Facsimile: (484) 631-1305

#### By: /s/ Alfred G. Yates, Jr.

Alfred G. Yates, Jr. (PA17419) Gerald L. Rutledge (PA62027) 300 Mt. Lebanon Boulevard, Suite 206-B Pittsburgh, PA 15234 Telephone: (412) 391-5164

Telephone: (412) 391-5164 Facsimile: (412) 471-1033 Email: yateslaw@aol.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### Case 2:17-cv-01433-A.IS. Document 1-1. Filed 11/02/17 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

## I. (a) PLAINTIFFS GEORGE ASSAD

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Middlesex County, MA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Alfred G. Yates, Jr. — Law Offices of Alfred G. Yates Jr. PC 300 Mt. Lebanon Blvd, Suite 206-B, Pittsburgh, PA 15234 (412) 201-5164

**DEFENDANTS**CALGON CARBON CORPORATION, J. RICH ALEXANDER, RANDALL S. DEARTH, WILLIAM J. LYONS, LOUIS S. MASSIMO, WILLIAM R. NEWLIN, JOHN J. PARO, [continued...]

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Allegheny County, PA

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE:

Attorneys (If Known)

(412) 331-3104					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government	vernment 2 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		
1 idititi	(O.D. GOVERNMENT)	vo. u 1 u 197	CHIZALOT HIS SEARC	of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
	•		Foreign Country	5 D 5 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Place on "X" in One Box On	(v)			
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure	1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	1 367 Health Care/			☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	1 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury		☐ 820 Copyrights	3 430 Banks and Banking
151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		☐ 830 Patent	1 450 Commerce
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal	i	3 840 Trademark	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT		☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	☐ 751 Family and Medical	ì	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	Leave Act		893 Environmental Matters
	Medical Malpractice	r	790 Other Labor Litigation	ATTENDED AT THAT COMMON	895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act  896 Arbitration
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	399 Administrative Procedure
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Or Derendant)  1 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General			☐ 950 Constitutionality of
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	1	State Statutes
	Employment	Other:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	1	1
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	465 Other Immigration	ł	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions		ŀ
	1 448 Education	555 Prison Condition			
		560 Civil Detainee -		· ·	
		Conditions of Confinement		1	i
	<u> </u>	Commencia		<u> </u>	<u></u>
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	**				
			4 Reinstated or 5 Transfe		
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	Direct File
	Cite the IIC Civil Ste	tute under which von are	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state		
	1 15 U.S.C. 88 78n	(a), 78t(a), and SEC	Rule 14a-9, 17 C.F.R. 240	).14a-9	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca				
	Violations of Sec	tions 14(a) and 20(a)	of the Securities Exchang	e Act of 1934	
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
<del></del>			DENTITIO		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	J, F.R.CV.F.		JURY DEMAND	; A10 D10
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)				
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
11/02/2017		/s/ Alfred G. Yat	⊌S, Jſ.		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT# Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

#### **DEFENDANTS** [...continued]

JULIE S. ROBERTS, TIMOTHY G. RUPERT, DONALD S. TEMPLIN, KURARAY CO., LTD., KURARAY HOLDINGS U.S.A., INC., and KJ MERGER SUB, INC.

# JS 44A REVISED June, 2009 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A
This case belongs on the ( O Erie O Johnstown O Pittsburgh) calendar.
<ol> <li>ERIE CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of saccounties.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.</li> </ol>
3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that theresides inCounty.
4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that the resides inCounty.
PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)
1. O This case is related to Number . Short Caption
2. O This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.
DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:
another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transaction as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.
PARTC
I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).
1. O Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2. O Labor-Management Relations
3. O Habeas corpus
4. O Civil Rights 5. O Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6. © Eminent Domain
7. All other federal question cases
8. All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
9. O Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.  10. O Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education),  V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment  Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types),  Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine  Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)
I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct
/s/Alfred G. Yates, Jr.
Date: 11/2/2017
አጥጥ/ የአመር አጥ ተለከ

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

#### **CERTIFICATION OF PLAINTIFF**

- I, George Assad ("Plaintiff"), hereby declare as to the claims asserted under the federal securities laws that:
  - 1. Plaintiff has reviewed the complaint and authorizes its filing.
- 2. Plaintiff did not purchase the security that is the subject of this action at the direction of Plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in any private action.
- 3. Plaintiff is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the class, either individually or as part of a group, and I will testify at deposition or trial, if necessary. I understand that this is not a claim form and that I do not need to execute this Certification to share in any recovery as a member of the class.
- 4. Plaintiff's purchase and sale transactions in the Calgon Carbon Corporation (NYSE: CCC) security that is the subject of this action during the class period is/are as follows:

#### **PURCHASES**

SAL	ES
-----	----

Buy Date	Shares	Price per Share	Sell Date	Shares	Price per Share
8/7/15	800	\$15.54			

Please list additional transactions on separate sheet of paper, if necessary.

5. Plaintiff has complete authority to bring a suit to recover for investment losses on behalf of purchasers of the subject securities described herein (including Plaintiff, any co-owners, any corporations or other entities, and/or any beneficial owners).

- 6. During the three years prior to the date of this Certification, Plaintiff has not moved to serve as a representative party for a class in an action filed under the federal securities laws.
- 7. Plaintiff will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond Plaintiff's *pro rata* share of any recovery, except such reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class as ordered or approved by the Court.

State ASSAD

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this \_\_\_ day of November, 2017.

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Calgon Carbon Corporation</u>, <u>Others Facing Stockholder's Securities Lawsuit</u>