UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No.

ROGER ANDREU, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b),

Plaintiffs,

v.

WASTE PRO OF FLORIDA, INC., a Florida corporation, JOHN J. JENNINGS, individually, and RUSSELL MACKIE, individually,

Defendants.		

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, ROGER ANDREU on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel and hereby files this Complaint against the Defendants, WASTE PRO OF FLORIDA, INC., JOHN J. JENNINGS, and RUSSELL MACKIE (collectively "Defendants") and alleges the following:

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff, ROGER ANDREU ("Plaintiff" or "Andreu"), is an individual, a citizen of the State of Florida, and a resident of Broward County, Florida.
- 2. Defendant, WASTE PRO OF FLORIDA, INC. ("WPF"), is a for profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida with its principal place of business in Seminole County, Florida and is a citizen of the State of Florida.
- 3. Defendant, JOHN J. JENNINGS ("Jennings"), is an individual, a citizen of the State of Florida, and a resident of Seminole County, Florida.

- 4. Defendant, RUSSELL MACKIE ("Mackie"), is an individual, a citizen of the State of Florida, and a resident of Broward County, Florida.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants in this action because the Defendants are citizens and residents of the State of Florida, transact business in the State of Florida and because the causes of action set forth in this Complaint arose in the State of Florida.
- 7. Venue is appropriate in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

ALLEGATIONS GIVING RISE TO ALL COUNTS

- 8. Since May 2014, Defendant WPF has been an "employer" as defined by the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., and an "enterprise engaged in commerce" because WPF, a waste disposal, collection, and transportation business, has regularly employed at least two employees who handle materials such as grapple trucks, rear loaders, and roll off trucks manufactured outside of Florida by Mack Trucks, Inc. and Kenworth, a Paccar Company, integral to WPF's commercial purpose and business and necessary for picking up/loading/collecting garbage, bulk waste, solid waste, recyclables, trash, and other waste products (hereinafter generally referred to as "waste products") from residential and commercial locations and transporting said waste products over local roads and interstate highways and delivering said waste products to landfills and other waste management facilities in Florida for disposal and incineration.
- 9. Since May 2014, Defendant WPF's gross volume of sales or business has exceeded \$500,000.00 annually.
- 10. From on or about October 2013 until his resignation on May 2, 2017, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants.
- 11. Plaintiff was a 'helper' for the first two months of his employment with Defendants. As a

2

- helper, Plaintiff rode on the back of Defendants' trucks and helped the truck driver pick up, transport, and deliver waste products in Broward County, Florida.
- 12. From on or about December 2013/January 2014 until on or about December 2015, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a bulk route and roll off truck driver in WPF's Pembroke Pines, Florida division.
- 13. From on or about December 2015/January 2016 until on or about September 2016, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a roll off, grapple, and rabbit truck driver in WPF's Pompano Beach, Florida division.
- 14. From on or about September 2016 until on or about May 2017, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as lead truck driver for WPF's Pompano Beach, Florida division.
- 15. While employed by Defendants as a truck driver, Plaintiff drove WPF's trucks containing waste products from residential and commercial locations to landfills and waste facilities for disposal and incineration.
- 16. Plaintiff drove WPF's trucks containing waste products primarily in Broward County, Florida, and occasionally in Palm Beach County, Florida.
- 17. Plaintiff never drove WPF's trucks outside of the State of Florida and never transported waste products across state lines.
- 18. At all times material, Jennings was Plaintiff's "employer" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) because Jennings managed, owned, and/or operated WPF and regularly exercised authority to: (a) hire and fire WPF's employees; (b) determine the work schedules of WPF's employees including Plaintiff; (c) set the rate of pay for WPF's employees including Plaintiff; and (d) control the finances and day to day operations of WPF.
- 19. At all times material, Mackie was Plaintiff's "employer" as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) because Jennings managed and/or operated WPF and regularly exercised authority to: (a) hire and

3

- fire WPF's employees; (b) determine the work schedules of WPF's employees including Plaintiff; (c) set the rate of pay for WPF's employees including Plaintiff; and (d) control the finances and day to day operations of WPF.
- 20. At all times material, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and Defendants' employees similarly situated to Plaintiff overtime wages in accordance with the FLSA.
- 21. At all times material, Defendants paid Plaintiff and Defendants' employees similarly situated to Plaintiff a day rate.
- 22. Despite paying Plaintiff and Defendants' employees similarly situated to Plaintiff a day rate, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and Defendants' employees similarly situated to Plaintiff overtime in accordance with the FLSA and 29 C.F.R. § 778.112.
- 23. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Defendant WPF kept daily time logs for Plaintiff.
- 24. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff clocked in and clocked out on a daily basis.
- 25. At all times material, Defendants signed off on Plaintiff's timesheets reflecting the total amount of hours worked by Plaintiff in a two-week period before Plaintiff was paid for those hours.
- 26. At all times material, Plaintiff's duties did not necessitate irregular hours of work.
- 27. At all times material, Defendants did not have a bona fide contract with Plaintiff in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 207(f).
- 28. At all times material, Defendants' employees regularly complained to Defendants and to each other about the long hours and lack of overtime pay.
- 29. Plaintiff has complied with all conditions precedent to bringing this suit.

4

COUNT I VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT ("FLSA") Against All Defendants

- 30. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges all of the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint as if set forth herein at length.
- 31. This is an action to recover unpaid overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*
- 32. This case is brought as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as it is believed that the Defendants have employed several other similarly situated employees like Plaintiff who have not been paid overtime and/or minimum wages for work performed in excess of 40 hours per week within the three- year period immediately preceding the date of this Complaint's filing.
- 33. From May 9, 2014 until May 2, 2017, Plaintiff worked an average of sixty-two (62) hours per week for Defendants and was paid an average of \$22.58 per hour but was never paid at a rate not less than one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for any hours worked in excess of 40 hours in one week as required by the FLSA.
- 34. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff asked Defendant Mackie about being paid overtime compensation. In response to Plaintiff's overtime inquiry, Mackie told Plaintiff that employees in Plaintiff's line of business were paid a day rate instead of traditional overtime wages and that "it is what it is, that's how it works".
- 35. Defendants knew or had reason to believe that Plaintiff regularly worked more than 40 hours per week without being paid the proper overtime rate.
- 36. Defendants willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff's overtime wages as required by the FLSA because Defendants knew of the overtime requirements of the FLSA and recklessly failed to investigate whether Defendants' payroll practices were in accordance with the FLSA.
- 37. At present, Defendants remain owing Plaintiff overtime wages for the following time

period Plaintiff was employed by Defendants: May 9, 2014 – May 2, 2017.

38. Plaintiff is entitled to: (i) time-and-a-half overtime pay and (ii) liquidated damages

pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.

39. Plaintiff seeks recovery of damages as referenced above and further seeks interest, costs,

and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment in favor of

Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of Plaintiff's unpaid overtime

compensation to be proven at trial and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages,

Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b);

interest; and any such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

40. Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED this 9th day of May, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Marc E. Rosenthal, Esq.

ROSENBERG CUMMINGS & EDWARDS PLLC

Counsel for Plaintiff

802 NE 20th Avenue

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33304

(954) 769-1344

Marc@RosenbergCummings.com

Florida Bar Number: 117561

S JS 44 (Rev. 2/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Roger Andreu, individual under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)	,	-			da, Inc., a Florida corpo ussell Mackie, individu	oration, John J. Jennings, ally
	of First Listed Plaintiff Brow		orida	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	Seminole County, Florida
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Add	dress, and Telephone Number)	,				E THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT
Marc Edward Rosenthal, E Rosenberg Cummings & E 802 NE 20th Ave. Fort La 1954) 769-1344	Edwards PLLC			Attorneys (If Known)		
(d) Check County Where Action	n Arose:	MONROE 6 BRO	WARD (D PALM BEACH D MAR	RTIN 🗆 ST. LUCIE 🗆 INDI.	AN RIVER
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a	Party)		P	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of I	Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	_
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities □ Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability ERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability EISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot	- 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 225 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security ct IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization pplication 63 Habeas Corpus-Alien etainee 65 Other Immigration ctions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIW C/DIW W (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
⊿ 1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court (see	iled- VI below)	Reop	stated or 5 another (specific	• /	rict 7 Judge from
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions	Re-filed Case □ DGE	YES 🗷	J NO b) Relat	ed Cases ☐ YES ② NO DOCKET NUMBER	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTI VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	diversity): ON Failure to pay overting LENGTH OF TRIAL via	me in violation of the days estimated	of the F		e)	if demanded in complaint:
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOWI		SIGNATURE OF AT			DATE May 9, 2	
		g W1			FICE USE ONLY	
				AMOUNT	RECEIPT #	IFP

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ROGER ANDREU, individually and on beha others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C. § 2	
Plaintiff(s)	
V.	Civil Action No.
WASTE PRO OF FLORIDA, INC., a Florida corporation, JOHN J. JENNINGS, individually, and RUSSELL MACKIE, individually,	
Defendant(s))
SU	MMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	JOHN J. JENNINGS 100 AMBERWOOD COURT LONGWOOD, FLORIDA 32779
are the United States or a United States agence P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the partner of Civil Procedure. The an whose name and address are: Marc Edward Rosenberg C 802 NE 20th A	ammons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you by, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. laintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of swer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, Rosenthal, Esq. ummings & Edwards PLLC Avenue ale, Florida 33304
If you fail to respond, judgment by do You also must file your answer or motion wit	efault will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. the court.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)		
received by me on (date)			
☐ I personally served t	the summons on the individual at	(place)	
1 3		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons a	t the individual's residence or us		_
		of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	e individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summon	ns on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law to ac	ccept service of process on behalf	f of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summe	ons unexecuted because		; or
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	s true.	
:		Server's signature	
:		Server's signature Printed name and title	
:		-	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	Souther	S District of Florida
ROGER ANDREU, individually and others similarly situated under 29 U)))
Plaintiff(s))
v.		Civil Action No.
WASTE PRO OF FLORIDA, INcorporation, JOHN J. JENNINGS, i RUSSELL MACKIE, indivi	ndividually, and)))
Defendant(s))
	SUMMONS 1	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	WASTE PRO OF C/O MALENIE VI 2101 W. SR 434 SUITE 315 LONGWOOD, FL	ELEZ
A lawsuit has been filed agai	inst you.	
are the United States or a United State P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. whose name and address are: Marc Rose 802 N	tes agency, or an of on the plaintiff an a	Edwards PLLC
If you fail to respond, judgm You also must file your answer or mo		be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if any)		
eceived by me on (date)			
☐ I personally served	d the summons on the individual at	(place)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us		_
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who re-	sides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	e individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, who i
designated by law to	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)	·
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; 01
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information is	s true.	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Employee Dumps Wage Class Action on Waste Pro of Florida