Case 6:17-cv-00578-RBD-TBS Document 1 Filed 04/03/17 Page 1 of 8 PageID 1

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

GUILHERME AMORIM, on his own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT, and GILSON RODRIGUES, individually,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, GUILHERME AMORIM, on his own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through their undersigned counsel, and hereby files this Complaint against the Defendants, GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT, and GILSON RODRIGUES, individually, (hereinafter "Defendants"), and allege as follows

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action by the Plaintiff against his former employer for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). Plaintiff seeks overtime damages, reasonable attorney's fees, declaratory relief, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the "FLSA").

2. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

2017 APR - 3 PM 12: 19

US CISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORID ORLANDO, FLORIDA

Case No.: 6.17-CV-578-ORE 37-TBS

3. This action is intended to include each and every "hourly paid employee" who worked for the Defendants at any time within the past three (3) years.

JURISDICTION

4. This action arises under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §210, et.

seq. The Court has jurisdiction over the FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

VENUE

5. The venue of this Court over this controversy is proper based upon the claim arising in Orange County, Florida.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff was an hourly paid employees and performed related activities for Defendants in Orange County, Florida.

7. Defendant, GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT, is a Brazilian restaurant.

8. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, is the President, Registered Agent, General Manager, and Owner of the company.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated employees, worked as "hourly paid employees" for Defendants and performed related activities.

10. Plaintiff worked in this capacity from approximately December 2013 through August 2016.

11. Plaintiff and those similarly situated were hourly paid employees.

12. During the relevant time period (December 2014 and continuing), Plaintiff was paid by the "tip credit" (less than minimum wage plus tips).

13. Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees, routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as part of their regular job duties.

14. Despite working more than forty (40) hours per week, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees, overtime compensation at a rate of no less than time and one-half their regular rate of pay for *all* hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

15. Plaintiff and those similarly situated were eligible for overtime provided they worked more than forty (40) hours per week.

16. Defendants' failure and/or refusal to properly compensate Plaintiff and others similarly situated at the rates and amounts required by the FLSA were willful.

COVERAGE

17. At all material times relevant to this action (2014–2017), Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203 (s).

18. At all material times relevant to this action (2014–2017), Defendants made gross earnings of at least \$500,000 annually.

19. At all material times relevant to this action (2014–2017), Defendants accepted payments from customers based on credit cards issued by out-of-state banks.

20. At all material times relevant to this action (2014–2017), Defendants routinely ordered materials or supplies from out of state, (i.e., restaurant supplies and equipment, food, beverages, etc.).

21. At all material times relevant to this action (2014–2017), Defendants had two (2) or more employees engaged in commerce, handling or otherwise working on materials which have been moved in or produced for commerce, (i.e., restaurant supplies and equipment, food, beverages, etc.).

22. At all material times relevant to this action (2014 - 2017), Plaintiff was individually engaged in commerce during his employment with Defendants, by working with food supplies and equipment from out-of-state.

23. At all material times relevant to this action (2014 - 2017), Defendants used U.S. Mail to send and receive correspondence to and from other states.

24. At all times relevant to this action (2014 - 2017), Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiff and others similarly situated performed services for Defendants for which no provisions were made by Defendants to properly pay Plaintiff and others similarly situated for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

25. Plaintiff and the class members performed the same or similar job duties as one another in that they provided restaurant related services for Defendants.

26. Further, Plaintiff and the class members were subjected to the same pay provisions in that they were not compensated at time-and-one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a work week.

27. Thus, the class members are owed overtime wages for the same reasons as Plaintiff.

28. Defendants' failure to compensate employees for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week as required by the FLSA results from a policy or practice of failure to assure that hourly employees were paid for all overtime hours worked based on the Defendants' failure to credit hourly employees with all hours worked.

29. This policy or practice was applicable to Plaintiff and the class members.

30. Application of this policy or practice does not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit, rather the same policies or practices which resulted in the non-payment of overtime to Plaintiff apply to all class members.

31. Accordingly, the class members are properly defined as:

All servers who worked for Defendants, GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT, and GILSON RODRIGUES, within the state of Florida within the last three years.

32. Defendants knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime compensation with respect to Plaintiff and the class members.

33. Defendants did not act in good faith or reliance upon any of the following in formulating its pay practices: (a) case law; (b) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*; (c) Department of Labor Wage & Hour Opinion Letters; or (d) the Code of Federal Regulations.

34. During the relevant period, Defendants violated § 7(a)(1) and § 15(a)(2), by employing individuals in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA as aforesaid, for one or more workweeks without compensating such employees for their work at a rate of at least the time and one-half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week.

35. Defendants have acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiff and the class members in accordance with the law.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION AGAINST GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a <u>GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT</u>

36. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1 - 35 above as if fully set forth herein.

37. Plaintiff and those similarly situated to him worked for Defendant at various times from 2014 – 2017 as hourly employees for Defendant's businesses located at 8191 Vineland Avenue, Orlando, Florida 32821.

38. Throughout Plaintiff's employment, the Defendant repeatedly and willfully violated Section 7 and Section 15 of the FLSA by failing to compensate Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees, at a rate not less than one and one-half the regular rate at which they were employed for work weeks longer than forty (40) hours.

39. Specifically, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees worked multiple weeks in excess of forty (40) hours a week, yet were not compensated for all

work in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which they were employed.

40. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff and others similarly situated to him, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

COUNT II RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION AGAINST GILSON RODRIGUES. INDIVIDUALLY

42. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1 - 35 above as if fully set forth herein.

43. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, is the owner, General Manager and Registered Agent of Defendant, GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT.

44. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, is the acting manager who acted with direct control over the work, pay, and job duties of Plaintiff and all other similarly situated individuals.

45. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff and all other similarly situated individuals.

46. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, supervised and controlled Plaintiff's, as well as that of all other similarly situated individuals, work schedule or conditions of employment.

47. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, determined Plaintiff's rate and method of payment.

48. Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, maintained employment records.

49. As such, Defendant, GILSON RODRIGUES, is charged with responsibility for violations of Plaintiff's rights and the rights of and all other similarly situated individuals to overtime and resulting damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees, demands judgment against Defendant, GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT, and GILSON RODRIGUES, individually, for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by them for which Defendants did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated this 31st day of March, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Carlos V. Leach, Esq. F. B. No.: 540021 MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 20 N. Orange Ave., 16th Floor Post Office Box 4979 Orlando, Florida 32802-4979 Telephone: (407) 420-1414 Facsimile: (407) 425-8171 Email: <u>Cleach@forthepeople.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conterence of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS GUILHERME AMORIM, on his own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated				DEFENDANTS GMR INTERNATIONAL CUISINE, INC. d/b/a GILSON'S BRAZILIAN RESTAURANT, and GILSON RODRIGUES, individually				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange County (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Carlos V. Leach, Esq., M Street, N.E., Suite 4200, (404) 965-8811; Fax: (40	P.O. Box 57007 Atlan	ta, GA 30343-1007	; Tel:	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff				
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State I I Incorporated or Principal Place I 4 I of Business In This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			en of Another State		orporated <i>and</i> Pr of Business In A	nother State	
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)								
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PRTS PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care' Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Tuth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO: Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights	Y □ 62 □ 69 xTY Ø 71 □ 72 □ 74 NS □ 79 NS □ 79	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Date 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRI 422 Appeal 28 423 Wihdraw: 28 USC 1 PROPERTY 820 Copyright 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SEC 861 HIA (1392) 862 Black Lun 863 DIWC/DI 864 SSID Title 865 RS1 (405()) FEDERAL T 870 Taxes (U.: or Defend 871 IRSThin 26 USC 7	USC 158 al 57 RIGHTS s s URITY 5ft) ug (923) WW (405(g)) e XVI g)) AX SUITS S. Plaintiff lant) rd Party	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
		Remanded from (Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop		r District	6 Multidistri Litigation	ict	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 U.S.C. & 2160	tute under which you a	re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional stat		ŋy:		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of co unpaid overtime of			<u> </u>				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D Q	EMANDS UNKNO		CK YES only i / DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E (S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	\in	\sum	DOCKET N	UMBER		
DATE 03/31/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	Folkler (HE REFORD				
RECEIPT # AN	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	DGE	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Gilson's Brazilian Restaurant, Owner Served with FLSA Lawsuit</u>