FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDAEP 18 PH 2: 33

FT. MYERS DIVISION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOSE ALVARADO, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

VS.

CASE NO.

J. MIKE GUITARD PAINTING, INC., A Florida Profit Corporation,

Defendant.

2:17-cv-516-Ftm-99Cm

#### **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, JOSE ALVARADO, on behalf of himself and other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant, J. MIKE GUITARD PAINTING, INC., (hereinafter "Defendant") and states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### <u>PARTIES</u>

3. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Lee County, Florida.

- 4. At all times material hereto Defendant, J. MIKE GUITARD PAINTING, INC., is a Florida Profit Corporation with a principle place of business located at 5401 Taylor Road, Suite 2, Naples, FL 34109 and was engaged in business in Collier County, Florida.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant was the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
  - 7. Defendant was, and continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce.
- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
  - 14. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were non-

exempt employees of Defendant, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

15. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 16. From 2006, Defendant hired Plaintiff to work as a nonexempt painter and agreed to pay Plaintiff \$15.50 per hour.
- 17. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.
- 18. From at least August 9, 2014 and continuing through August 23, 2016, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.
- 19. Specifically, Defendant paid Plaintiff in cash for hours worked over forty (40) and failed to pay Plaintiff time and one-half for the hours over forty (40) in a workweek.
- 20. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. § 207 from at least August 9, 2014 and continuing through August 23, 2016 in that:
  - a. Plaintiff was not paid for all hours worked;
  - b. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendant;

- c. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
- d. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 21. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent him in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

## COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 22. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 —21 as if incorporated herein.
- 23. From at least August 9, 2014 and continuing through August 23, 2016, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week and was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.
- 24. Rather, throughout his employment Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff, and all employees similarly situated, overtime wages for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.
- 25. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 26. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 27. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory

rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.

- 28. Defendant has failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 29. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
- 30. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 31. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.
- 32. Based upon information and belief, Defendant has failed to properly pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, proper overtime wages at time and a half their regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendant as follows:

a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour and minimum wage provisions of the FLSA;

- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to him for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing him to send Notice of this action, pursuant to 216(b), to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 7th day of September 2017,

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689 Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

FILED

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose or initiating the civil ut	eret siteet. John markor.	HONS ON NEXT FACE O	r more						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  JOSE ALVARADO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS J. MIKE GUITARD	failt se	P. 18. PM	2: 32		
				CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT  County of Residence OF BUILD SECTION FLORIDA  (INTERT ANTI-FCASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERK Cape Coral, Florida 3390	E LAW FIRM,. P.A., 4		d. S.,	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  DEF  Titizen of This State  1 1 0 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 0 4 0 4					DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		<b>D</b> 5	<b>□</b> 5
<u> </u>	_			en or Subject of a  oreign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		<b>17</b> 6	<b>1</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	'(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)							
ETERNICONTRACTURES	CONTRACTOR OF THE TO	RTS	T PO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other	☐ 423 With 28 U	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 30 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act  152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'  Liability  ☐ 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER'  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability	'		820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark		## 460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   893 Environmental Matters   895 Freedom of Information Act		
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability  196 Franchise	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -		0 72 0 74 0 75	LABOR  10 Fair Labor Standards Act  20 Labor/Management Relations  40 Railway Labor Act  51 Family and Medical Leave Act	SOCIAL SECURITY  □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))				
	Medical Malpractice	I PRIONNED DETERMO		90 Other Labor Litigation	penen	T. TAV CHIPTO	3 896 Arbitra		an and time
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	#PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus:  □ 463 Alion Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General		91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUTS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		☐ 899 Administrative Procedure  Act/Review or Appeal of  Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of  State Statutes		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement		** IMMIGRATION  62 Naturalization Application  65 Other Immigration  Actions					
	• •	Remanded from (Appellate Court			r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Fair Labor Standa	ards Act, as amend	re filing (led 29 U	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat I.S.C. §201., et seq.		versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	DIN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION		N D	DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  IF ANY  (See instructions):  JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE	1-1	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
09/07/2017		/s/ Bill B. Berke							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	40UNT	ADDI VING IED		HIDGE		MAG IUIT	)GE		

2:17-CV-516-Ftm-99 CM

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>J. Mike Guitard Painting Can't Cover Up Unpaid OT Claims</u>