# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

#### SAMUEL & STEIN

David Stein (DS 2119) 38 West 32<sup>nd</sup> Street Suite 1110 New York, New York 10001 (212) 563-9884 dstein@samuelandstein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Jorge Luis Alvarado, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- vs. -

All Shore Paving Corp. and Jonathan Accardi,

Defendants.

DOCKET NO. 16-cv-6433

#### COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Jorge Luis Alvarado, by and through his undersigned attorneys, for his complaint against defendants All Shore Paving Corp. and Jonathan Accardi, alleges as follows, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff Jorge Luis Alvarado alleges on behalf of himself and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees of defendants All Shore Paving

Corp. and Jonathan Accardi, who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), that they are entitled to: (i) unpaid wages from defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay as required by law, and (ii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., because defendants' violations lacked a good faith basis.

2. Mr. Alvarado further complains that he is entitled to (i) back wages for overtime work for which defendants willfully failed to pay overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations; (ii) liquidated damages pursuant to New York Labor Law for these violations; and (iii) compensation for defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

#### THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Mr. Alvarado is an adult individual residing in Hempstead, New York.
- 4. Mr. Alvarado consents in writing to be a party to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); his written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

- 5. Upon information and belief, defendant All Shore Paving Corp. is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 3563 Lawson Ave., Oceanside, New York.
- 6. At all relevant times, defendant All Shore has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant All Shore has had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, defendant All Shore has used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and has employed at least two individuals who handled such goods and materials.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant All Shore has constituted an "enterprise" as defined in the FLSA.
- 10. Upon information and belief, defendant Jonathan Accardi is an owner or part owner and principal of All Shore, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.

- 11. Defendant Jonathan Accardi was involved in the day-to-day operations of All Shore and played an active role in managing the business.
- 12. For example, defendant Jonathan Accardi hired plaintiff and set his pay.
- 13. Defendants constituted "employers" of Mr. Alvarado as that term is used in the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Mr. Alvarado's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Mr. Alvarado's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 15. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because defendants' business is located in this district.

# COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Mr. Alvarado seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of a collective group of persons defined as follows:
  - All persons who are or were formerly employed by defendants in the United States at any time since November 8, 2013, to the entry of judgment in

this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt laborers within the meaning of the FLSA, and who were not paid overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

- 17. The Collective Action Members are similarly situated to Mr. Alvarado in that they were employed by defendants as non-exempt laborers, and were denied premium overtime pay for hours worked beyond forty hours in a week.
- 18. They are further similarly situated in that defendants had a policy and practice of knowingly and willfully refusing to pay them overtime.
- 19. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members perform or performed the same primary duties, and were subjected to the same policies and practices by defendants.
- 20. The exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but is known by defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

#### **FACTS**

- 21. At all relevant times herein, defendants owned and operated a paving company on Long Island.
- 22. Mr. Alvarado was employed at All Shore from approximately May 2013 through September 2016, although defendants were generally not operating during roughly the months of November through February each year.

- 23. Mr. Alvarado was employed as a laborer at All Shore.
- 24. Mr. Alvarado's work was performed in the normal course of defendants' business and was integrated into the business of defendants, and did not involve executive or administrative responsibilities.
- 25. At all relevant times herein, Mr. Alvarado was an employee engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined in the FLSA and its implementing regulations.
- 26. Mr. Alvarado's regular schedule was six days per week, every day except Sunday. He generally worked roughly 11 hours each day, for a total of approximately 66 hours per week, each week of his employment with defendants.
- 27. Defendants did not provide a time clock, sign in sheet, or any other method for employees to track their time worked.
- 28. Plaintiff was paid at a day rate throughout his employment.
- 29. When he was hired, he was paid \$110/day; he got several raises thereafter. After about one year, he got a \$10/day raise to \$120/day. After about another six months, he got a further \$20/day raise, to \$140/day. Finally,

several months thereafter he got a raise to \$150/day; that remained his rate of pay for the balance of his employment.

- 30. These amounts did not vary based on the precise number of hours that Mr. Alvarado worked in a day or week.
- 31. Mr. Alvarado was paid in cash throughout his employment, and he received no paystubs or wage statements of any sort with his pay.
- 32. Defendants failed to pay Mr. Alvarado any overtime "bonus" for hours worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.
- 33. Defendants' failure to pay Mr. Alvarado the overtime bonus for overtime hours worked was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.
- 34. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Alvarado with written notices providing the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act including, inter alia, defendants' contact information, his regular and overtime rates, and intended allowances claimed and failed to obtain his signatures acknowledging the same, upon his hiring or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act in effect at the time.

- 35. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Alvarado with weekly records of his compensation and hours worked, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.
- 36. Upon information and belief, throughout the period of Mr. Alvarado's employment, both before that time (throughout the Collective Action Period) and continuing until today, defendants have likewise employed other individuals like Mr. Alvarado (the Collective Action Members) in positions at defendants' paving company that required little skill, no capital investment, and with duties and responsibilities that did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.
- 37. Defendants applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Collective Action Members, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to the payment of overtime.
- 38. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have worked in excess of forty hours per week, yet defendants have likewise failed to pay them overtime compensation of one-and-one-half times their regular hourly rate in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.
- 39. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not provided with required wage notices or

weekly wage statements as specified in New York Labor Law \$\$ 195.1, 195.3, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

40. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Alvarado and the Collective Action members, and through all relevant time periods, defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records or provide accurate records to employees, and failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA or New York Labor Law.

## COUNT I

#### (Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime)

- 41. Mr. Alvarado, on behalf of himself and all Collective Action Members, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 42. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Alvarado and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 43. At all relevant times, defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to their employees for hours they worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 44. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate their employees, including Mr. Alvarado and the

Collective Action Members, at a rate at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per workweek, defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

- 45. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.
- 46. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Alvarado and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

#### COUNT II

## (New York Labor Law - Overtime)

- 47. Mr. Alvarado repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 48. At all relevant times, Mr. Alvarado was employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

- 49. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Alvarado's rights by failing to pay him overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and its supporting regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142.
- 50. Defendants' failure to pay overtime was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 51. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Alvarado is entitled to recover from defendants his unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

#### COUNT III

## (New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)

- 52. Mr. Alvarado repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 53. At all relevant times, Mr. Alvarado was employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

- 54. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Alvarado's rights by failing to provide him with the wage notices required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act when he was hired, or at any time thereafter.
- 55. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Alvarado's rights by failing to provide him with weekly wage statements required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act at any time during his employment.
- 56. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage statements, Mr. Alvarado is entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$100 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$250 per day from February 27, 2015 through the end of his employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.
- 57. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage notices, Mr. Alvarado is entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$50 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$50 per day from February 27, 2015 to the termination of his employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Alvarado respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Mr. Alvarado and his counsel to represent the Collective Action members:
- b. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- c. An injunction against defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, and patterns set forth herein;

- d. A compensatory award of unpaid compensation, at the statutory overtime rate, due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- e. An award of liquidated damages as a result of defendants' willful failure to pay statutory overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- f. Liquidated damages for defendants' New York
  Labor Law violations;
- g. Statutory damages for defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- h. Back pay;
- i. Punitive damages;
- j. An award of prejudgment and postjudgment
  interest;
- k. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- Such other, further, and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 8, 2016

Director

David Stein

SAMUEL & STEIN

38 West 32<sup>nd</sup> Street

Suite 1110

New York, New York 10001

(212) 563-9884

Attorneys for Plaintiff



#### **CONSENT TO SUE**

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of All Shore Paving and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Con mi firma abajo, autorizo la presentación y tramitación de reclamaciones en mi nombre y de mi parte para impugnar el fallo de All Shore Paving y sus propietarios y afiliados a me pagan, entre otras cosas, el salario mínimo y pago de horas extras, requerida en el estado y / o la ley federal y también autorizan la presentación de este consentimiento en la demanda contra ese tipo de conducta, y el consentimiento para ser nombrado como demandante representante en esta acción para tomar decisiones en nombre de todos los demás demandantes en relación con todos aspectos de esta demanda. Se me ha proporcionado una copia de un acuerdo de retención con la firma de abogados de Samuel y Stein, y estoy de acuerdo en estar obligado por sus términos..

Jorge Luis Alvarado

Date: September 26, 2016

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Jorge Luis Alvarado, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated				All Shore Paving Corp. and Jonathan Accardi					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Nassau County, NY				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			Nassau County, NY		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE BULLING CO		AINTIFF CASES O		Nr.	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND IN	N CASES, USE TI OLVED.	HE LOCATION C	)r	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Attorneys (If Known)					
Samuel & Stein / 38 We NY 10001 / (212) 563-		1110 / New York,							
141 100017 (212) 300-	3004								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in ( and One Box fo		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	<b>3</b> Federal Question			PT	rf def			PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 0 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	<b>1</b> 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗆 2	Incorporated and P		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
					3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		<b>□</b> 6	<b>6</b>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	nlv)	roi	reign Country					
CONTRACT		ORTS		DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANK	RUPTCY	OTHER S	TATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury -	□ 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal ☐ 423 Withdr		☐ 375 False Cl.☐ 376 Qui Tan		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	0 Other	28 US		3729(a)	)	
<ul> <li>☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPERT	TY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			<ul> <li>☐ 820 Copyri</li> <li>☐ 830 Patent</li> </ul>	ghts	<ul> <li>430 Banks at</li> <li>450 Commer</li> </ul>		ng
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal			□ 840 Traden	nark	☐ 460 Deportat	tion	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR	SOCIALS	ECURITY	☐ 470 Racketed	er Influen Organizat	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	ГҮ 💆 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1	395ff)	☐ 480 Consum	er Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	□ 72·	Act 0 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black I	Lung (923) DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/Sa☐ 850 Securitie		odities/
<ul><li>☐ 190 Other Contract</li><li>☐ 195 Contract Product Liability</li></ul>	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	G 74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID T ☐ 865 RSI (40		Exchang  890 Other St		ctions
196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		1 Family and Medical	D 803 K31 (40	)3(g))	☐ 891 Agricult	ural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	O 79	Leave Act  O Other Labor Litigation			☐ 893 Environ		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		1 Employee Retirement		TAX SUITS	Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes ( or Def	U.S. Plaintiff endant)	☐ 896 Arbitrati ☐ 899 Adminis		ocedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			☐ 871 IRS—7	Third Party	Act/Revi	iew or Ap	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General			26 US	C 7609	Agency 1		of
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION  2 Naturalization Application			State Sta	tutes	
	☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe		5 Other Immigration	-				
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)								
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify)	r District	<ul><li>6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer</li></ul>	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct F	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	N		filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless dive	rsity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca Failure to pay ove	iuse: ertime							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DI	EMAND \$		ECK YES only i	if demanded in Yes	complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE/	2		DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE November 8, 2016		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	F RECORD	DOCKET				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Harry &	Uhm						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		
				JUDUL		1711 LO. JUL			

#### CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. I, David Stein , counsel for plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): T David Stein monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No 1.) 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? N/A (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain)

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Jorge Luis Alvarado, on behalf of himse persons similarly situated							
Plaintiff(s)	)						
V.	)	Civil Action No. 16-cv-6433					
All Shore Paving Corp. and Jonatha	an Accardi (						
	)						
Defendant(s)	)						
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
	re Paving Corp. aterview Road side, New York 11572						
A lawsuit has been filed against		ot counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you					
are the United States or a United States P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on	agency, or an officer or e the plaintiff an answer to	employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of st be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,					
David St Samuel 38 West	ein, Esq. & Stein 32nd Street, Suite 1110 k, NY 10001						
If you fail to respond, judgment You also must file your answer or motion		ed against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT					
Date:							
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

Civil Action No. 16-cv-6433

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ume of individual and title, if an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the ind	ividual at <i>(place)</i>				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		=		
			on (date)	; or	; or		
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	e		; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0 .		
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.				
Date:		_					
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Jorge Luis Alvarado, on behalf persons similarl		) ) )					
Plaintiff(s	(s)	)					
V.		Civil Action No. 16-cv-6433					
All Shore Paving Corp. an	nd Jonathan Accardi	) ) )					
	(s)	) )					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Jonathan Accardi 571 Waterview Road Oceanside, New York 11	572					
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.						
are the United States or a Unit P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ed States agency, or an offit t serve on the plaintiff an ar	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,					
	38 West 32nd Street, Suite New York, NY 10001	e 1110					
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT					
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk					

Civil Action No. 16-cv-6433

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ume of individual and title, if an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the ind	ividual at <i>(place)</i>				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		=		
			on (date)	; or	; or		
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	e		; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0 .		
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.				
Date:		_					
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title				
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FLSA Class Action Filed Against All Shore Paving Corp.