

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

<p>Adam Adams, Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  -v-  Pine Builders Corp.,  Defendant.</p>	<p><b>Civ. Action #:</b>  <b><u>COMPLAINT</u></b> <b>(Collective and Class Action)</b>  <b>Date Filed:</b>  <b>Jury Trial Demanded</b></p>
---	--

Plaintiff Adam Adams (“Plaintiff” or “Adams”), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC, his attorneys, complaining of the Defendant Pine Builders Corp. (“Defendant” or “Pine Builders”), respectfully alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated current and former employees who worked for the Defendant and who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216 (b), that he and they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times the regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. including 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b).
2. Plaintiff complains on behalf of himself and a class of other similarly situated current and former hourly employees who worked for the Defendant, pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23, that he and they are: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times the regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to costs and attorney’s fees, pursuant to the New York Minimum Wage Act (“NYMWA”), N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., (“NYLL”) including NYLL § 663, and the regulations thereunder – 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

3. Plaintiff and the class members are also entitled to recover compensation for not receiving notices and statements required by NYLL 195, under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law, and attorneys' fees pursuant to Section 198 of the New York Labor Law.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
6. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 2202.

### **THE PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff Adam Adams ("Plaintiff" or "Adams") is an adult, over eighteen years old, who currently resides in Queens County in the State of New York.
8. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Pine Builders Corp. ("Defendant" or "Pine Builders"), was a New York for-profit corporation with place of business located at 1339-41 Prospect Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11218.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

9. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant was engaged in the business of providing construction services and repairs. See <http://www.evenhar.net/>
10. At all times relevant herein, Defendant operated at several locations and employed approximately 40 employees at any given time and over 100 employees during the class period.

11. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from in or around February 2016 to on or about May 19, 2017.
12. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a laborer performing a variety of functions within this capacity including masonry, cleaning, demolition etc.
13. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was paid at a regular rate of \$18 an hour.
14. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was paid at his straight regular rate for all hours worked including his overtime hours (hours over 40 in a week). For example, for the biweekly pay period ending May 16, 2017, Plaintiff worked at least 103.17 hours and was paid \$18.00 an hour for each and all of these 103.17 hours worked, including his overtime hours. For the biweekly pay period ending May 31, 2016, Plaintiff worked at least 104 hours and was paid \$18.00 an hour for each and all of these 104 hours worked, including his overtime hours. These examples are reflective of Defendant's payment pattern throughout Plaintiff's employment.
15. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked approximately 45-55 hours a week and sometimes more, 6-7 days a week - Plaintiff's hours worked and wages paid will be refined after Defendant produces employment, time and wage records it was required to keep under the FLSA and NYLL.
16. Plaintiff incorporates herein, accurate records of his time, wages and employment that Defendant was required to keep pursuant to the FLSA and NYLL.
17. At all times relevant herein and for the time Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of one and one half times his regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week for each week in which such overtime was worked.
18. Upon information and belief, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all overtime hours worked

(hours over 40 in a week).

19. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1).
20. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class members with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).
21. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant had annual revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500, 000. Plaintiff references and incorporates herein, accurate copies of records of Defendant's business volume and revenues as well as business operations and commerce that Defendant was required to keep and maintain under the FLSA including under 29 CFR 516.
22. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant conducted business with companies outside the State of New York.
23. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Defendant and Plaintiff conducted business with insurance companies outside the State of New York.
24. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendant utilized the goods, materials, and services through interstate commerce such as construction materials, equipment, and tools.
25. At all times applicable herein, Defendant conducted business with vendors and other businesses outside the State of New York.
26. Defendant as a regular part of its business, makes payment of taxes and other monies to agencies and entities outside the State of New York.
27. Defendant as a regular part of its business, engaged in credit card transactions involving banks and other institutions outside the State of New York.
28. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendant utilized the

instrumentalities of interstate commerce such as the United States mail, electronic mail, the internet and telephone systems.

29. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to display federal and state minimum wage/overtime posters.
30. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of his federal and state minimum wage and overtime rights and failed to inform Plaintiff that he could seek enforcement of such rights through the government enforcement agencies.
31. The “present” or the “present time” as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint was signed.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT - 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq. (Overtime)**

32. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated who opt into this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 31 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.
33. The named Plaintiff has consented to be part of this action by the filing of this action on his behalf and with his consent.
34. The FLSA cause of action is brought as a collective action on behalf of the named Plaintiff and all others who are/were similarly situated and who file consents to opt-in to the action.
35. The class of similarly situated individuals as to the FLSA cause of action is defined as current and former employees of Defendant, and who 1) worked more than forty hours in a week, within at least the three-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 2) were not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.

36. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.
37. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
38. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA – 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq.
39. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly similarly-situated, were engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce and/or Defendant constituted an enterprise(s) engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a).
40. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant transacted commerce and business in excess of \$500,000.00 annually or had revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500,000.00 annually.
41. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff, and all those similarly similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207.

**Relief Demanded**

42. Due to Defendant's FLSA violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendant, their unpaid overtime wage compensation, plus maximum liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

**AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NYLL 650 et Seq. (Unpaid Overtime)**

43. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as class members, and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41 above as if set forth

fully and at length herein.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

44. Plaintiff sues on her own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
45. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the overtime cause of action under the NYLL is defined as current and former employees of Defendant, and who: 1) were employed by Defendant within the State of New York; 2) worked more than forty hours in a week, within at least the six-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 4) not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.
46. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
47. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.
48. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
49. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – whether the putative class was paid at least 1.5 times the applicable regular rate for all hours in excess of forty in a week.
50. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
51. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
52. The Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

53. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:

(a) Whether, Defendant failed and/or refused to pay the Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of at least one and one half (1 ½) times their regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of forty each week within the meaning of New York Minimum Wage Act and the regulations thereunder – 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

54. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate defendant and in light of the large number of putative class members.

55. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 and the regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142.

56. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed to pay and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

### **Relief Demanded**

57. Due to Defendant's NYLL overtime violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendant, their unpaid overtime compensation, maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to NYLL § 663(1).



**AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NYLL § 190, 191, 193, 195 and 198**

58. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as class members, and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 57 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

59. Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

60. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the cause of action for NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3) violations is defined as current and former New York employees of Defendant who: 1) were not provided with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), or 2) were not provided with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

61. The class includes but is not limited to employees who did not receive wage statements for each and all weeks during their employment, employees who received wage statements but whose wage statements did not reflect all hours worked or all wages earned, and employees who did not receive the required wage notices setting forth the regular and overtime rate of pay among other information.

62. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.

63. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.

64. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

65. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – (a)

whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and (b) whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

66. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
67. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
68. The Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
69. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:
  - (a) whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).
70. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate defendant and in light of the large number of putative class members.
71. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor law, §§ 190 et seq., including §§ 191, 193, 195 and 198.
72. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1) – Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seek to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-b), as

well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).

73. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seek to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-d), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).

#### **Relief Demanded**

74. Due to Defendant’s NYLL 190 et Seq. violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, are entitled to recover from Defendant, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

75. Declare Defendant (including its overtime wage payment policy and practice) to be in violation of the rights of Plaintiff and those similarly-situated, under the FLSA and New York Labor Law – 12 NYCRR § 142, and enjoin Defendant from engaging in such violations.
76. As to the **First Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated who opt-in to this action, their unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA, together with maximum liquidated damages, costs and attorney’s fees pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b);
77. As to the **Second Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, their unpaid overtime compensation due under the New York Minimum Wage Act and the Regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, together with maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs and attorney’s fees pursuant to NYLL § 663;
78. As to the **Third Cause of Action**, award of Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class

members, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

79. Award Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated where applicable, any relief requested or stated in the preceding paragraphs but which has not been requested in the WHEREFORE clause or "PRAYER FOR RELIEF", in addition to the relief requested in the wherefore clause/prayer for relief;

80. Award Plaintiff and all those similarly situated such other, further and different relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**Dated: Queens Village, New York  
June 10, 2017**

Respectfully submitted,

Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC

/s/ Abdul Hassan

Abdul K. Hassan, Esq. (AH6510)

215-28 Hillside Avenue

Queens Village, NY 11427

Tel: 718-740-1000

Fax: 718-355-9668

Email: [abdul@abdulhassan.com](mailto:abdul@abdulhassan.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiff*

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
Adam Adams,
Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC- 215-28 Hillside Avenue, Queens Village, NY 11427 Tel: 718-740-1000 Fax: 718-740-2000

DEFENDANTS
Pine Builders Corp.,
County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.
Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
Citizen of This State
Citizen of Another State
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country
PTF DEF
Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State
Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State
Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
CONTRACT
REAL PROPERTY
PERSONAL INJURY
CIVIL RIGHTS
PRISONER PETITIONS
FORFEITURE/PENALTY
LABOR
IMMIGRATION
BANKRUPTCY
SOCIAL SECURITY
FEDERAL TAX SUITS
OTHER STATUTES

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District
6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
29 USC 216 - FLSA
Brief description of cause:
Unpaid Overtime

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:
CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.
DEMAND \$
CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY
(See instructions):
JUDGE
DOCKET NUMBER

DATE: 06/10/2017
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: /s/ Abdul K. Hassan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Abdul Hassan, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason **Damages and fees could exceed 150K.**

**DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

None

**RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

**NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)**

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
  - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO
  - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? \_\_\_\_\_

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

**BAR ADMISSION**

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes  No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain)  No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Abdul Hassan

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Adam Adams,
Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Pine Builders Corp.,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Pine Builders Corp.
C/O NYS Secretary of State
41 State Street
Albany, NY 12231

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC
215-28 Hillside Avenue
Queens Village, NY 11427
Tel: 718-740-1000

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Laborer Claims Pine Builders Corp. Owes Unpaid Overtime](#)

---