## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

STEWART ABRAMSON, individually and on behalf of a class of all persons and entities similarly situated,

Plaintiff

VS.

GERBER LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT-CLASS ACTION** 

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### **Preliminary Statement**

- 1. Plaintiff Stewart Abramson ("Plaintiff"), brings this action under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, a federal statute enacted in response to widespread public outrage about the proliferation of intrusive, nuisance telemarketing practices. *See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs.*, *LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 745 (2012).
- 2. "Month after month, unwanted robocalls and texts, both telemarketing and informational, top the list of consumer complaints received by" the Federal Communications Commission.<sup>1</sup>
- 3. The TCPA is designed to protect consumer privacy by prohibiting unsolicited, autodialed telemarketing calls to cellular telephones, unless the caller has the "prior express written consent" of the called party.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Omnibus TCPA Order, GC Docket 02-278, FCC 15-72, 2015 WL 4387780, ¶1 (July 10, 2015).

- 4. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Gerber Life Insurance Company ("Gerber Life") commissioned a pre-recorded telemarketing call to a cellular telephone number to Mr. Abramson for the purposes of advertising Gerber Life goods and services, which is prohibited by the TCPA.
- 5. Because the calls to the Plaintiff were transmitted using technology capable of generating thousands of similar calls per day, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a proposed nationwide class of other persons who were sent the same illegal telemarketing call.
- 6. A class action is the best means of obtaining redress for the Defendant's illegal telemarketing, and is consistent both with the private right of action afforded by the TCPA and the fairness and efficiency goals of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### **Parties**

- 7. Plaintiff Stewart Abramson is a Pennsylvania resident, and a resident of this District.
- 8. Defendant Gerber Life Insurance Company is a New York corporation with its principal place of business located at 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue in White Plains, NY 10605. Gerber Life engages in telemarketing nationwide, including into this District.

#### **Jurisdiction & Venue**

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("hereinafter referred to as CAFA") codified as 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(2). The matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, in the aggregate, exclusive of interest and costs, as each member of the proposed Class of at least tens of thousands is entitled to up to \$1,500.00 in statutory damages for each call that has violated the TCPA. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will likely result in at least one Class member from a different state.

- 10. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the Plaintiff's claims arise under federal law.
- 11. The Defendant regularly engages in business in this District, including making telemarketing calls into this District, as it did with the Plaintiff.
- 12. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, as the automated calls to the Plaintiff were made to this District. Furthermore, venue is proper because a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated in this District; the Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

#### TCPA and Automated Call Background

#### **The Telephone Consumer Protection Act**

13. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA to regulate the explosive growth of the telemarketing industry. In so doing, Congress recognized that "[u]nrestricted telemarketing . . . can be an intrusive invasion of privacy [.]" Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2(5) (1991) (codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227).

#### The TCPA Prohibits Automated Telemarketing Calls

14. The TCPA makes it unlawful "to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice … to any telephone number assigned to a … cellular telephone service." *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). The TCPA provides a private cause of action to persons who receive calls in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A). *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

- 15. According to findings by the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC"), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient.
- 16. The FCC also recognized that "wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used." *In re Rules and Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991*, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 F.C.C. Red. 14014, 14115 ¶ 165 (2003).
- 17. In 2013, the FCC required prior express written consent for all autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls ("robocalls") to wireless numbers and residential lines.

  Specifically, it ordered that:
  - [A] consumer's written consent to receive telemarketing robocalls must be signed and be sufficient to show that the consumer: (1) received "clear and conspicuous disclosure" of the consequences of providing the requested consent, i.e., that the consumer will receive future calls that deliver prerecorded messages by or on behalf of a specific seller; and (2) having received this information, agrees unambiguously to receive such calls at a telephone number the consumer designates.[] In addition, the written agreement must be obtained "without requiring, directly or indirectly, that the agreement be executed as a condition of purchasing any good or service.[]"

In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, 27 F.C.C. Rcd. 1830, 1844 (2012) (footnotes omitted).

#### **Factual Allegations**

- 18. Gerber Life provides life insurance contracts to consumers.
- 19. Gerber Life uses telemarketing to promote its products and solicit new clients.
- 20. Gerber Life's telemarketing efforts include the use of automated dialing equipment and pre-recorded messages to send automated calls.

- 21. Gerber Life engages in use of this equipment because it allows for thousands of automated calls to be placed at one time, but its sales representatives, who are paid based on sales they complete, or on an hourly basis, only talk to individuals who pick up the telephone.
- 22. On January 10 and 11, 2018, the Plaintiff received a pre-recorded telemarketing call on his cellular telephone number (412) 362-XXXX.
- 23. The telemarketing call began with a distinctive click and pause after the Plaintiff answered.
- 24. A pre-recorded message then played advertising an insurance opportunity for people over fifty years of age.
- 25. These facts, as well as the geographic distance between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, as well as the fact that this call was part of a nationwide telemarketing campaign demonstrate that the call was made using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") as that term is defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).
- 26. In fact, the use of a pre-recorded message is itself indicative of an ATDS, as it would be illogical to hand-dial a telephone call only to play a pre-recorded sales message.
- 27. In order to investigate the calling party, who wasn't identified in the pre-recorded message, the Plaintiff responded to the prompt from the recorded message.
- 28. On each call, the Plaintiff received a scripted sales pitch about life insurance and the telemarketer eventually sold him a Gerber Life insurance policy.
- 29. Confirming that the pre-recorded telemarketing calls were made to promote Gerber Life, the Plaintiff received an e-mail from <a href="mailto:info@family.gerberlife.com">info@family.gerberlife.com</a>, after each call.
  - 30. That e-mail address belongs to the Defendant.

5

- 31. The Plaintiff also received another call on January 12, 2018 that delivered an apparently identical pre-recorded message, but the caller hung up on the Plaintiff before completing the application process.
- 32. The two calls that the Plaintiff was able to connect to Gerber Life came from the Caller ID numbers 412-777-5611 and 412-777-8587.
- 33. When placing a phone call to either of those numbers, a message that the number is not in service is played.
- 34. It is believed that these Caller ID numbers were manipulated to make the call appear as if it were coming from a local number to increase the likelihood that it would be answered.
- 35. Manipulating the Caller ID number for the pre-recorded calls is itself further indication that an ATDS was used to make these calls.
- 36. Prior to these unsolicited calls, the Plaintiff has never done any business with Gerber Life.
- 37. Gerber Life did not have the Plaintiff's prior express written consent to make these calls.
- 38. Plaintiff and the other call recipients were harmed by these calls. They were temporarily deprived of legitimate use of their phones because the phone line was tied up, they were charged for the calls and their privacy was improperly invaded.
- 39. Moreover, these calls injured plaintiff because they were frustrating, obnoxious, annoying, were a nuisance and disturbed the solitude of plaintiff and the class.

#### Class Action Statement Pursuant to LCvR 23

- 40. As authorized by Rule 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 23(A) of the Local Rules for the Western District of Pennsylvania, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all other persons or entities similarly situated throughout the United States.
  - 41. The class of persons Plaintiff proposes to represent include:

All persons within the United States to whom: (a) Gerber Life and/or a third party acting on their behalf, made one or more non-emergency telephone calls; (b) that could have promoted Gerber Life's products or services; (c) to their cellular telephone number; (d) using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice; and (e) at any time in the period that begins four years before the date of the filing of this Complaint to trial.

- 42. Excluded from the Class are the Defendant, any entities in which the Defendant have a controlling interest, the Defendant's agents and employees, any Judge to whom this action is assigned, and any member of the Judge's staff and immediate family.
- 43. The proposed Class members are identifiable through phone records and phone number databases, which are with the Defendant or their agents.
- 44. The automated technology used to contact the Plaintiff is capable of contacting hundreds of thousands of people a day, and so the potential Class members number in the thousands, at least. Individual joinder of these persons is impracticable.
  - 45. Plaintiff is a member of the Class.
- 46. There are questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and to the proposed Class, including but not limited to the following:
  - a. Whether the Defendant used a pre-recorded message to make the calls at issue;

- b. Whether the Defendant placed telemarketing calls without obtaining the recipients' valid prior express written consent;
- whether the Defendant's violations of the TCPA were negligent, willful, or knowing; and
- d. Whether the Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to statutory damages because of Defendant's actions.
- 47. Plaintiff's claims are based on the same facts and legal theories as the claims of all class members, and therefore are typical of the claims of class members, as the Plaintiff and class members all received telephone calls through the same or similar dialing system and pre-recorded message on a cellular telephone line.
- 48. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class, he will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class, and he is represented by counsel skilled and experienced in class actions, including TCPA class actions.
- 49. In fact, the Plaintiff has foregone a simpler path to recovery by filing this matter as a putative class action, as opposed to an individual claim.
  - 50. The actions of the Defendant are generally applicable to the Class and to Plaintiff.
- 51. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and a class action is the superior method for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The only individual question concerns identification of class members, which will be ascertainable from records maintained by Defendant and/or their agents.

- 52. The likelihood that individual class members will prosecute separate actions is remote due to the time and expense necessary to prosecute an individual case, and given the small recoveries available through individual actions.
- 53. Plaintiff is not aware of any litigation concerning this controversy already commenced by others who meet the criteria for class membership described above.

#### **Legal Claims**

# Count One: Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations from all previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, to the cellular telephone numbers of Plaintiff and members of the Class using an ATDS.
- 56. As a result of Defendant's and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf's violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff and members of the Class presumptively are entitled to an award of \$500 in damages for each and every call made to their cellular telephone numbers using an ATDS and/or artificial or prerecorded voice in violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 57. If the Defendant's conduct is found to be knowing or willful, the Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of up to treble damages.
- 58. Plaintiff and members of the Class are also entitled to and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant' behalf from violating the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for

9

emergency purposes, to any cellular telephone numbers using an ATDS and/or artificial or

prerecorded voice in the future.

**Relief Sought** 

For himself and all class members, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

A. Certification of the proposed Class;

B. Appointment of Plaintiff as representative of the Class;

C. Appointment of the undersigned counsel as counsel for the Class;

D. A declaration that Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other related

entities' actions complained of herein violate the TCPA;

E. An order enjoining Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other related

entities, as provided by law, from engaging in the unlawful conduct set forth herein;

F. An award to Plaintiff and the Class of damages, as allowed by law;

G. Leave to amend this Complaint to conform to the evidence presented at trial; and

H. Orders granting such other and further relief as the Court deems necessary, just,

and proper.

Plaintiff request a jury trial as to all claims of the complaint so triable.

Plaintiff, By Counsel,

Dated: January 24, 2018 By: /s/ Clayton S. Morrow

Clayton S. Morrow

Email: csm@consumerlaw365.com

Morrow & Artim, PC 304 Ross Street, 7th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 209-0656

### 

Anthony Paronich Email: anthony@broderick-law.com BRODERICK & PARONICH, P.C. 99 High St., Suite 304 Boston, Massachusetts 02110 Telephone: (508) 221-1510 Subject to Pro Hac Vice

### Case 2:18-cv-00104-CRE\_Document 1-1\_Filed 01/24/18 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) FLAINTIFFS				DEFENID ANDE				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
STEWART ABRAMSON  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Morrow & Artim, PC 304 Ross Street, 7th Floo Pittsburgh, PA 15219, (41	or	r)		Attorneys (If Known)	OF LAIN	S INVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCI	PAL PARTIES	S (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗆	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 Another State	
			W. C.	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗆	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) PRTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 460 Other Civil Rights 411 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR'  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  70 Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	RTY	DRFEITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881  0 Other  LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	422 A   423 V   2   PRO   820 C   840 T   840 T   862 B   863 E   865 R   FED   867 T   870 T   871 E   871	ppeal 28 USC 158 Vithdrawal 8 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights	375 False Claims Act   376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))   400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   x890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   893 Environmental Matters   895 Freedom of Information Act   896 Arbitration   899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	coved from 3 e Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Telephone Consu	Appellate Court tute under which you ar imer Protection Act			r District	Litigatio		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	This is a putative	IS A CLASS ACTION	N DI	e sending of text mes EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00	ssages		to have violated the TCPA by if demanded in complaint: b: X Yes	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOC	KET NUMBER _		
DATE 01/23/2018  FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	JUDGE		мас. л	UDGE	

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A
This case belongs on the ( O Erie O Johnstown O Pittsburgh) calendar.
<ol> <li>ERIE CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.</li> </ol>
2. JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the resides in County.
4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that theresides inCounty.
PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)
1. This case is related to Number Short Caption
2. This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.
DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES: CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related.  HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.
PARTC
<ol> <li>CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).</li> <li>Antitrust and Securities Act Cases</li> <li>Labor-Management Relations</li> <li>Habeas corpus</li> <li>Civil Rights</li> <li>Patent, Copyright, and Trademark</li> <li>Eminent Domain</li> <li>All other federal question cases</li> <li>All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest</li> <li>Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.</li> <li>Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education),</li></ol>
I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct  Date: January 23, 2018
ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Penns	sy	lvania
---------------------------	----	--------

Western Dist	Western District of Pennsylvania			
STEWART ABRAMSON	) ) )			
Plaintiff(s)	)			
V.	Civil Action No. ) )			
GERBER LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY	)			
Defendant(s)	, )			
SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) GERBER LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY 1311 MAMARONECK AVENUE, SUITE 350 WHITE PLAINS, NY 10605				

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

> Clayton S. Morrow Morrow & Artim, PC 304 Ross Street, 7th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (name ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if an	ny)					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	<u> </u>					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)				who is			
	designated by law to	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
		on (date)	; or					
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e		; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00	<u> </u>			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		_						
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Print Save As... Reset

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Gerber Life Insurance Facing TCPA Suit Over Alleged Robocalls</u>