1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	KEN ALEA, Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	EDWARD G. WEIL, Supervising Deputy Attorn DENNIS A. RAGEN, Deputy Attorney General,		
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100		
5			
6	Fax: (619) 645-2012		
7	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, Los Angeles City . EARL E. THOMAS, Chief of Criminal and Spec	•	
8	PATRICIA BILGIN, Assistant City Attorney, E	nvironmental Justice Unit	
9	<b>ELISE RUDEN</b> , Deputy City Attorney, Bar No. <b>VAUGHN MINASSIAN</b> , Deputy City Attorney,		
10	200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East Los Angeles, California 90012-4131		
11	Telephone: (213) 978-8080		
12	Fax: (213) 978-8111		
13	DAVID W. PAULSON, District Attorney of Sola CRISELDA B. GONZALEZ, State Bar No. 146	•	
14	<ul> <li>Senior Deputy District Attorney</li> <li>675 Texas Street, 4th Floor, Suite 4500</li> <li>Fairfield CA 94533-6396</li> </ul>		
15			
16	Telephone: (707) 784-6859 Fax: (707) 784-9001		
17			
18	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
19	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. RG 08407310	
20	ex rel. EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., Attorney General, CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, Los	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO	
21	Angeles City Attorney, DAVID W. PAULSON, Solano County District Attorney	DEFENDANT ASTROTURF, LLC	
22	Plaintiff,		
23	V.		
24	BEAULIEU GROUP, LLC, et al.		
25	Defendants		
26	Derendants		
27			
28		1	
		1	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDA	NT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310	

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1

On September 2, 2008, the People of the State of California ("People" or 1.1 2 "Plaintiffs"), by and through the Attorney General of the State of California ("Attorney 3 General"), the Los Angeles City Attorney and the Solano County District Attorney, filed a 4 complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of Proposition 65 and unlawful 5 business practices in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda. The People's Complaint 6 alleges that the named Defendants failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that their 7 artificial turf products (the "Products") contain lead, and that use of, and contact with, those 8 Products results in exposure to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer 9 and reproductive harm. The Complaint further alleges that under the Safe Drinking Water and 10 Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, also known as 11 "Proposition 65," businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before 12 exposing individuals to these chemicals, and that the Defendants failed to do so. The Complaint 13 also alleges that these acts constitute unlawful acts in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, 14 pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500 et seq. 15

16 1.2 AstroTurf, LLC, Crystal Products Co., Inc. d/b/a SYNLawn, UGTH Equipment,
17 LLC, General Sports Venue, LLC, and Synthetic Turf Resources, LLC ("Settling Defendants")
18 are among the Defendants named in the complaint.

19 1.3 Settling Defendants are alleged to be interrelated corporations that, separately and
20 together, employ more than 10 persons and employed ten or more persons at all times relevant
21 to the allegations of the complaint.

22

23

1.4 Settling Defendants manufacture, license, distribute and/or sell Products in the State of California and/or have done so in the past four years.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the People and the Settling
Defendants stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained
in the People's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts
alleged in the People's Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court

has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

The People and Settling Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and 3 1.6 final settlement of all claims relating to Covered Products (as that term is defined below) arising 4 from the failure to warn regarding the presence of lead in such Products. By execution of this 5 Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Settling 6 Defendants do not admit any violations of Proposition 65 or Business and Professions Code 7 sections 17200 et seq. or 17500, et seq. or any other law or legal duty. Except as expressly set 8 forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, 9 remedy, or defense the People and Settling Defendants may respectively have in any other or in 10 future legal proceedings unrelated to these proceedings. However, this Paragraph shall not 11 diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the parties under this 12 Consent Judgment, or the res judicata impacts of this Consent Judgment on other litigation 13 brought under Proposition 65 or the Business and Professions Code. 14

#### 15 2.

1

2

#### **DEFINITIONS**

16 2.1 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which the
17 Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment by the trial Court ("Effective Date").

18 2.2 Covered Products shall mean (a) the products listed in Exhibit A to this Consent
19 Judgment and (b) any other artificial turf products that Settling Defendants may manufacture or
20 sell after the Effective Date.

2.3 "Cushioning Products" shall mean any foam layering or other products that are
installed under the Covered Products but are not attached to the Covered Products by the
manufacturer.

24 2.4 "Infill Products" shall mean any granular product, including, without limitation,
25 crumb, tire crumb, pellets, sand, or synthetic sand, that is installed under, on, or in connection
26 with any Covered Product.

27 2.5 "Old Covered Products" shall mean Covered Products that were Sold In California
28 before the Effective Date of this Judgment.

2.6 "Sold in California" means any Covered Product that is sold in the State of
 California by Settling Defendants or by any, distributor, wholesaler or retailer that is authorized
 by Settling Defendants, to sell the Covered Products. For purposes of this Judgment, the date of
 sale shall be the later of the following: (a) the date of the sales contract; (b) the date that Settling
 Defendants transport or dispatch the Covered Product into California; (c) the date that Settling
 Defendants deliver, or cause the delivery of, the Covered Product to the installation site.

7

16

17

18

19

3.

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: LEAD REDUCTION**

8 3.1 <u>Immediate Product Reformulation</u>. Immediately upon the Effective Date of this
9 Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall reduce the level of lead in the Covered Products
10 Sold in California from the current levels to a level no higher than 100 parts per million
11 ("Compliance Level") as determined pursuant to the testing protocol in Exhibit B.

3.2 <u>Further reductions in lead levels in Covered Products</u>. In addition to the
requirements of Paragraph 3.1, and effective June 15, 2010, the Covered Products shall meet the
following additional requirements (which shall be referred to as the "Further Compliance
Level"):

(a) No portion of the Covered Product may have lead levels in excess of 50 parts per million, except:

# (b) Field lines and markings (such as yard lines, goal lines and team names or logos) may not have lead levels in excess of 100 parts per million.

In the event that Settling Defendants' Covered Products violate the Further Compliance Level, 20Settling Defendants will (i) consult with their suppliers and technical consultants; (ii) attempt to 21 locate the source of the elevated lead seen the laboratory results; and (iii) provide the Plaintiffs 22 with a report on this investigation and a proposal to prevent the situation from occurring in the 23 future. On approval by the Attorney General, Settling Defendants will implement this proposal. 24 In the event that the Attorney General incurs laboratory costs in reviewing such a proposal, 25 Settling Defendants will reimburse the Attorney General for reasonable laboratory costs actually 26 incurred. 27

2

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS**

4.1 The People allege that warnings are necessary as to the Old Covered Products
because these products cause continuing exposures to lead. Without admitting such allegations,
Settling Defendants agree to implement the following program to provide clear and adequate
warnings to persons who come into contact with turf products that were installed before the
Effective Date of this Judgment.

Settling Defendants shall provide the mailed warnings and informational (a) 7 materials attached hereto as Exhibit C, in English and Spanish, to all parties who 8 purchased Old Covered Products for installation within the State of California on or after 9 April 1, 2004 for Astro branded products and November 1, 2006 for the remaining 10 Covered Products. Settling Defendants will send these mailed warnings within thirty days 11 after receiving instructions to do so from the Attorney General. 12 (b) Beginning thirty days after the Effective Date, and for a period of two years 13 14

thereafter, Settling Defendants will establish a web site that complies with the provisions of Exhibit C, which shall provide the following information, in English and Spanish, about its products:

• Range of lead content for each Covered Product

• The date lead was phased out of each Covered Product

• A warning informing consumers that the products in question contain lead, which is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm.

• Proposition 65 and other regulatory levels applicable to lead in consumer products.

- Links to specified sites
- Good maintenance practices to minimize lead transfer from Covered Products to consumers.
- Actions consumers can take to minimize lead transfer from Covered Products to consumers.

• Options for Lead Transfer Testing of Covered Products.

1	The initial design and content of the website, and any later changes to the website		
2	will be subject to the advance approval of Plaintiffs, which shall not be		
3	unreasonably withheld.		
4	If a trade association, a group of turf companies, or other responsible entities create		
5	a web site that is approved by Plaintiffs and satisfies the provisions of this		
6	Paragraph 4.1(b), Settling Defendants may comply with the terms of this Paragraph		
7	by placing a conspicuous link to that website on the websites maintained by		
8	AstroTurf and SYNLawn.		
9	5. <u>ADDITIONAL ACTIONS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS</u>		
10	5.1 Plaintiffs have agreed to accept the settlement payment set forth in Section 5.2 -		
11	5.4 below (Civil Penalties, Cy Pres, Other Payments) based on Settling Defendants'		
12	commitment to take additional actions. Specifically, Settling Defendants shall do the following:		
13	(1) <u>Replacing Certain Old Covered Products</u> . Settling Defendants shall		
14	replace any Old Covered Products in place as of the Effective Date and installed in		
15	the State of California after April 1, 2004 for Astro branded products and		
16	November 1, 2006 for the remaining Covered Products, if		
17	i. The Old Covered Product was (i) installed at a licensed day		
18	care facility, a school, a public playground, or a public playing field		
19	and (ii) has been in place for more than 3 years but not more than 8		
20			
21	ii. the Lead Transfer Testing conducted pursuant to Exhibit D		
22	shows Available Lead Levels in excess of 0.1 micrograms per		
23			
24	square centimeter;		
25	iii. The owner or operator of the day care center, school, playground or playing field makes written request to AstroTurf that		
26	the field be replaced, and this request is received by AstroTurf no		
27	later than February 1, 2012. Settling Defendants shall not be		
28			
	6		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310		

1	required to honor any requests for replacement of Old Covered		
2	Product that are received after that date.		
3	iv. The owner or operator shows proof of purchase and delivery		
4	of the Covered Product to the location at issue.		
5			
6	(2) <u>Maximum Expenditure</u> . Settling Defendants shall not be required to		
7	provide more than 20,000 square yards of turf in order to comply with the provisions of this Section $5.1(1)$ . Settling Defendents will monitor the number of		
8	provisions of this Section 5.1(1). Settling Defendants will monitor the number of		
9	qualifying requests for replacement, and if it appears that this quantity of turf will		
10	prove insufficient to achieve full compliance with those terms, Settling Defendants		
11	shall, after receiving written approval and direction from Plaintiffs, pro-rate the		
12	remaining funds among the remaining claimants.		
13	(3) <u>Quality</u> . The quality of the replacement turf that Settling		
14	Defendants provide pursuant to this section will be comparable to, or better than,		
15	the turf that is being replaced.		
16	5.2 <u>Civil Penalties</u> . On or before June 1, 2010, Settling Defendants shall pay a civil		
17	penalty of \$ 17,500 pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.7(b) and 25249.12. Pursuant to section 25249.12, 75% of these funds shall be remitted to the California Office of		
18	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% apportioned		
19	evenly among the Attorney General, the Los Angeles City Attorney, and the Solano County		
20	District Attorney.		
21			
22	5.3 <u>Cy Pres</u> . Settling Defendants shall make the following payments in lieu of penalties:		
23	(a) Settling Defendants shall pay \$ 60,000 to the California Public Health		
24	Trust. \$40,000 shall be paid within 30 days of the Effective Date and \$20,000 shall be paid on or		
25	before February 15, 2010. These funds shall be used, as the Trust directs after conferring with		
26	Plaintiffs, for some or all of the following:		
27	(1) To fund independent testing, which shall be conducted pursuant to		
28	(1) 10 1010 metpenden usung, when share of concerned parsault is 7		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310		

1	the protocol attached as Exhibit D (Lead Transfer Testing), of Old Covered		
2	Products currently installed and in place at licensed day care centers, schools, and		
3	public playing fields in California; and to fund efforts to promote consistent testing		
4	of Old Covered Products throughout California.		
5	(2) For research into Good Maintenance Practices, including the		
6	feasibility of applying stabilizers to Old Covered Products in order minimize lead		
7	transfer from those products.		
8	(3) To provide funding for an independent consultant, who will provide		
9	information to schools, municipalities and other locations in California where Old		
10	Covered Products are installed, regarding independent testing and Good		
11	Maintenance Practices for such products.		
12	(4) For other projects or grants for the purposes of reducing, or		
13	educating the public about, lead in consumer products.		
14	(5) Any process undertaken by the Public Health Trust to identify and		
15	choose the entity(ies) that will receive any grant to be awarded under this Judgment		
16	must be open to public scrutiny and subject to public notice and comment. Any		
17	use of funds must be approved by the Attorney General.		
18	(6) In order to minimize any duplication of effort, the Public Health		
19	Trust will coordinate the expenditure of funds received pursuant to this Judgment		
20	with any expenditures made pursuant to (i) judgments with other defendants in this		
21	case and (ii) judgments in other cases in which the Attorney General has alleged		
22	that lead in present in consumer products.		
23	(b) On or before October 1, 2009, Settling Defendants shall pay \$30,000 to the		
24	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), to be deposited into		
25	OEHHA' Proposition 65 Fund, to be used, on appropriation of the Legislature, to fund to		
26	fund a study or studies relating to potentially hazardous chemicals in Infill Products.		
27	OEHHA shall coordinate these studies with studies that it may conduct pursuant to SB		
28	1277 (Maldonado). 8		

5.4 <u>Other Payments</u>. Settling Defendants shall also make the following payments:

1

(a) Attorney General. On or before June 1, 2010, Defendant shall pay the sum 2 of \$17,500 to the Attorney General, to reimburse the fees and costs his office has expended with 3 respect to this matter. Funds paid pursuant to this paragraph shall be placed in an interest-bearing 4 Special Deposit Fund established by the Attorney General. These funds, including any interest, 5 shall be used by the Attorney General, until all funds are exhausted, for the costs and expenses 6 associated with the enforcement and implementation of Proposition 65, including investigations, 7 enforcement actions, other litigation or activities as determined by the Attorney General to be 8 reasonably necessary to carry out his duties and authority under Proposition 65. Such funding may 9 be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, 10 payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, travel, purchase of 11 written materials, laboratory testing, sample collection, or any other cost associated with the 12 Attorney General's duties or authority under Proposition 65. Funding placed in the Special 13 Deposit Fund pursuant to this paragraph, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and 14 exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office and in no manner shall supplant 15 or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget. 16

17 (b) <u>City Attorney and Solano County District Attorney</u>. On or before June 1,
18 2010, Settling Defendants shall make payments of \$ 10,000 to the Los Angeles City Attorney and
19 \$ 10,000 to the Solano County District Attorney to defray the attorneys' fees and costs these
20 offices have expended with respect to this matter.

(c) <u>Center for Environmental Health/Other Private Parties</u>. Within thirty days
of the Effective Date, and pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(j), Settling
Defendants shall pay \$25,000 to the Center for Environmental Health and Lexington Law Group.
These payments represent full compensation from the Settling Defendants for (i) the assistance
that CEH has provided to the Plaintiffs and (ii) the fees and costs that CEH has incurred with
respect to this matter.

5.5 Each payment required by this Consent Judgment shall be made through the
delivery of separate checks payable to the applicable person, as follows:

(a) <u>Attorney General</u>. Payments due to the Attorney General shall be made
 payable to the "California Department of Justice," and sent to the attention of Robert Thomas,
 Legal Analyst, Department of Justice, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612.

(b) <u>City Attorney</u>. Payments due to the City Attorney shall be made payable to
the "Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney" and sent to: Patty Bilgin, Supervising Attorney,
Environmental Justice Unit, Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney 200 North Main Street, 500
City Hall East, Los Angeles, California 90012-4131

8 (c) <u>Solano County District Attorney</u>. Payments due to the Solano County
9 District Attorney shall be made payable to the "Office of the Solano County District Attorney"
10 and sent to Criselda B. Gonzalez, Senior Deputy District Attorney, Office of the Solano County
11 District Attorney, 675 Texas Street, 4th Floor, Suite 4500, Fairfield CA 94533-6396.

12 (d) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. Payments due to the
13 OEHHA shall be made payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and
14 sent to: Beverly Sloan, Senior Accounting Officer, Office of Environmental Health Hazard
15 Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95812-0410.

16 (e) <u>Center for Environmental Health/Lexington Law Group.</u> The payment due
17 to the Center for Environmental Health shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and
18 sent to: Mark N. Todzo, Lexington Law Group, LLP, 1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, CA
19 94122

20 (f) <u>Copies of checks</u>. Settling Defendants will cause copies of each and every
21 check issued pursuant to this Judgment to be sent to: Dennis A. Ragen, Deputy Attorney General,
22 110 West A. Street, Suite 1100, San Diego, California 92101

(g) Late Payment/Acceleration. If any payment required by Sections 5.2, 5.3
or 5.4 of this Judgment is not received by the due date, then Plaintiffs will provide Settling
Defendants ten (10) days' notice of default. If Settling Defendants fails to cure the default within
said ten (10) days, then at the option of the Attorney General, all unpaid balances due pursuant to
those sections shall be accelerated, and shall become immediately due and payable, with interest
thereon as specified in section 685.010 of the Code of Civil Procedure, commencing to accrue

on the entire remaining unpaid balance of any sum pursuant those sections, as of the first day 1 immediately after the ten-day delinquency that preceded the notice of default. Code of Civil 2 Procedure section 1013, and the extensions provided for therein, shall not apply to nor extend any 3 deadline referred to in this paragraph or in Sections 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 of this Judgment. If the 4 Attorney General declines to exercise and waives this optional acceleration as to any one or more 5 default(s) in payment, said waiver or waivers shall not constitute a waiver of this option in the 6 event of any other default. Defendants are permitted at their option to pre-pay any time the 7 remaining unpaid balance of any amount due in this judgment. 8

9

6.

#### MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written
agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court; by an order of this Court on noticed
motion from Plaintiffs or Defendant in accordance with law, for good cause shown; or by the
Court in accordance with its inherent authority to modify its own judgments.

6.2 Before filing an application with the Court for a modification to this Consent
Judgment, the party seeking modification shall meet and confer with the other party to
determine whether the modification may be achieved by consent. If a proposed modification is
agreed upon, then Settling Defendants and the Attorney General will present the modification to
the Court by means of a stipulated modification to the Consent Judgment.

19

7.

#### **ENFORCEMENT**

Plaintiffs may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this 7.1 20 Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any such 21 proceeding, Plaintiffs may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law 22 for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment and where said violations of this Consent 23 Judgment constitute subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws independent of the 24 Consent Judgment and/or those alleged in the Complaint, the Plaintiffs are not limited to 25enforcement of the Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, 26 penalties, or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other 27 laws. In any action brought by Plaintiffs or another enforcer alleging subsequent violations of 28

1

Proposition 65 or other laws, Settling Defendants may assert any and all defenses that are available, including the *res judicata* or collateral estoppel effect of this Consent Judgment.

3

4

5

8.

9.

#### AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

8.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorizedby the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into andexecute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

7

6

#### **CLAIMS COVERED**

Full and Binding Resolution. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding 8 9.1 resolution between the People and Settling Defendants, of any violation of Proposition 65., 9 Business & Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500, et seq. or any other statutory or 10 common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against 11 Settling Defendants for failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to lead 12 from the use of the Covered Products, or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged 13 in the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by Settling Defendants or by any entity 14 to whom Settling Defendants distribute or sells Covered Products, or any entity that sells the 15 Covered Products to consumers. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves 16 any issue now, in the past, and in the future, concerning compliance by any Settling Defendant, 17 its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, sister companies, affiliates, 18 franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees; its distributors, wholesalers, and retailers who 19 sell Covered Products; and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them, with the 20 requirements of Proposition 65 or Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 21 17500 et seq. arising from exposures to lead in or from the Covered Products. This Consent 22 Judgment does not resolve any claims that Plaintiffs may assert with respect to (i) products other 23 than the Covered Products, or (ii) chemicals other than lead. 24

9.2 <u>Covered Entities</u>. Settling Defendants unconditionally guarantee that each other
Settling Defendant will fully comply with the applicable provisions of this Consent Judgment,
including the provisions of Sections 3 (Injunctive Relief: Lead Reduction) and 4 (Injunctive
Relief: Clear and Reasonable Warnings), and the applicable provisions of Section 5

(Additional Actions by Settling Defendants). If any such company fails to so comply with the
 applicable provisions of this Consent Judgment, then in addition to Plaintiffs' other remedies,
 Plaintiffs reserve the right to bring action, seeking penalties, injunctive and other relief, directly
 against such company to redress that company's non-compliance.

5 9.3 <u>Further Reservations:</u> Without limiting the rights reserved to Plaintiffs in the
6 preceding paragraphs, Plaintiffs also reserve the right to bring actions, seeking penalties,
7 injunctive and other relief, against the following persons:

(a) <u>Downstream Sellers</u>. Distributors, wholesalers, and/or retailers who, after the Effective Date of this Judgment: (i) sell Covered Products that contain lead levels in excess of the applicable levels set forth in Secton 2.1 of this Judgment (Injunctive Relief: Lead Reduction), or (ii) otherwise fail to comply with, or impede the efforts of others to comply with, the applicable terms of this Consent Judgment; and

(b) <u>Undisclosed Affiliates</u>. Any affiliate or subsidiary of Settling Defendants that is not bound by the terms of this Consent Judgment.

(c) <u>Not Applicable to Cushioning and Infill Products</u>. This Consent Judgment
does not apply to any Cushioning Products or Infill Products. Plaintiffs expressly reserve
the right to bring claims against Settling Defendants or any distributors, wholesalers, or
retailers of Cushioning Products or Infill Products, for any violation of Proposition 65, the
Unfair Competition Law or any other applicable law or regulation, arising from the sale,
use of, or exposure to any Cushioning Products or Infill Products.

21 10. ONGOING INVESTIGATION

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

10.1 Plaintiffs are conducting an ongoing investigation of lead and other chemicals in
artificial turf and related products sold by companies other than Settling Defendants. In
connection with this investigation, Settling Defendants will, upon reasonable notice, provide
plaintiff with information, product samples, and other information and materials within their
possession, custody or control, or that are readily available to them, relevant to such
investigation, except to the extent that such information is privileged or otherwise protected
from disclosure.

## 1 11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

-			
2	11.1 When any party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
3	notice shall be sent to the person and address set forth in this Paragraph. Any party may modify		
4	the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other party notice by		
5	certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect for any notice mailed at		
6	least five days after the date the return receipt is signed by the party receiving the change.		
7	11.2 Notices shall be sent by e-mail and by First Class Mail or overnight delivery to the		
8	following when required:		
9	For the Attorney General:		
10	Dennis A. Ragen, Deputy Attorney General		
11	California Department of Justice 110 West A. Street, Suite 1100		
12	San Diego, CA 92101 Dennis.Ragen@doj.ca.gov		
13	and simultaneously to:		
14	Robert Thomas, Legal Analyst,		
15	Department of Justice, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor,		
16	Oakland, CA 94612 Robert.Thomas@doj.ca.gov		
17	For the Los Angeles City Attorney		
18	Patty Bilgin, Supervising Attorney, Environmental Justice Unit		
19	Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney 200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East		
20	Los Angeles, California 90012-4131 Patty.Bilgin@lacity.org		
21	For the Solano County District Attorney		
22	Criselda B. Gonzalez		
23	Senior Deputy District Attorney		
24	Office of the Solano County District Attorney 675 Texas Street, 4th Floor, Suite 4500		
25	Fairfield CA 94533-6396 CGonzalez@SolanoCounty.com		
26			
27			
28			
	14		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310		

1	For the Center for Environmental Health
2	Mark N. Todzo Lexington Law Group, LLP
3	1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122
	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
4	11.3 Notices for the Settling Defendants shall be sent to:
5	Joann Brown Williams
6	General Counsel 2680 Lakeland Road
7	Dalton, Georgia 30721
8	(706) 876-5556 jwilliams@textilemanagement.com
9	11.4 <u>Written Certification</u> . Within 15 days of any completing any action required by
10	this Consent Judgment, and also on Plaintiffs' written request, Settling Defendants will provide
11	Plaintiffs with written certification that the required action has been completed.
12	12. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>
13	12.1 This Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion
14	
15	or as otherwise may be required or permitted by the Court. If this Consent Judgment is not
16	approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and may not be used by the Plaintiffs or
17	Settling Defendants for any purpose.
	13. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>
18	13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding
19	of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,
20	negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or
21	otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party
22	hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be
23	deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
24	14. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>
25	14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the
26	Consent Judgment, and to resolve any disputes that may arise as to the implementation of this
27	Judgment.
28	15

	15. <u>EXECUTION IN COUNTERE</u>	
- 2	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	onsent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
3	means of facsimile, which taken toget	her shall be deemed to constitute one document.
. 4	IT IS SO ORDERED and ADJUDGED:	*
. 5	DATED:	
6	DATED.	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	DATED: August 13, 2009	EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
. 9		Attorney General J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ
10		Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX
		Senior Assistant Attorney General EDWARD G. WEIL
12		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13		By: Jumb An Vagn
14		DENNIS A. RAGEN Deputy Attorney General
15	2	For Plaintiffs People of the State of California
16	DATED: August $\frac{12}{2}$ , 2009	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH
17		Los Angeles City Attorney EARL E. THOMAS,
18		Chief of Criminal and Special Litigation ELISE A. RUDEN
19		Deputy City Attorney VAUGHN MINASSIAN
20		Deputy City Attorney
21		By: Alla
22		PATRICIA/BILGIN / ,
23		Assistant-City Attorney, U Environmental Justice Unit
24		
25	DATED: August, 2009	DAVID W. PAULSON, District Attorney of Solano County
		District Attorney of Solatio County
26		By:
27		CRISELDA B. GONZALEZ Deputy District Attorney
- 28	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS T	O DEFENDANT ASTROTURF - Case No. RG 08407310
• .		

.

1 2	15. <u>EXECUTION IN COUN</u>	this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
2 3	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED and ADJUI	-
5		
6	DATED:	
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
ļ		
8	DATED: August, 2009	EDMUND G. BROWN, JR. Attorney General
9		J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Chief Assistant Attorney General
10		KEN ALEX Senior Assistant Attorney General
[1]		EDWARD G. WEIL Supervising Deputy Attorney General
[2		
13		By: DENNIS A. RAGEN
14 15		Deputy Attorney General For Plaintiffs People of the State of California
16	DATED: August, 2009	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH
17		Los Angeles City Attorney EARL E. THOMAS, Chief of Criminal Sussial Lititation
18		Chief of Criminal and Special Litigation ELISE A. RUDEN
[9]		Deputy City Attorney VAUGHN MINASSIAN
20		Deputy City Attorney
21		By:
22		PATTY BILGIN Assistant City Attorney,
23		Environmental Justice Unit
24		
25	DATED: August <u>/3</u> , 2009	DAVID W. PAULSON, District Attorney of Solano County
26		
27		By: Cill B. Hongeby
		CRISELDA B. GONZALEZ Deputy District Attorney
28		•

DATED: August 13, 2009 ASTROTURF, LLC 1 2 By: 3 Its: President 4 DATED: August <u>13</u>, 2009 CRYSTAL PRODUCTS CO., INC. d/b/a SYNLAWN 5 Bv 6 7 Its: Pres 8 DATED: August 13, 2009 UGTH EQUIPMENT, LLC 9 By: m 10 Its: Memben 11 DATED: August 13, 2009 SYNTHETIC TURF RESOURCES, LLC 12 By: ` 13 Its: Presi 14 DATED: August <u>13</u>, 2009 GENERAL SPORTS VENUE, LLC 15 By: \_/ 16 Its: Secretary TREasurer 17 18 19  $\mathbf{20}$ 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF - Case No. RG 08407310

1	<u>Exhibit A</u>	
1	Covered Products	
-3		
4	A 1 – AstroTurf Styles sold into California	
5	A 2 - Crystal Styles sold into California	
6	A 3 - SYNLawn Styles sold into California	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21 22		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310	
I		

## AstroTurf Styles Sold Into California

5/2004 - 7/2009			
4212	P0001		
A0001	P3038		
A5513	PB03		
AT38	PF01		
BCB10	PG32		
BL10	RP02		
CC2	RP04		
DB40	RP07		
E120T	RTT01		
E200	SD01		
E360	SPG1		
E500	SPG2		
E610H	SR01		
E620H	SR21		
E640H	ST65		
E740	TELN		
E750	TMINV		
E840H	TPN		
E840T	WG1		
E955H	WG2		
ET100			
FSF3			
G130			
G220			
G2625			
G3225			
G4019			
GC42			
GDXPE			
GM48			
GXD32			
LAN43			
LL1			
LS01			
LS05			
LS06			
LS21			
LSX3			
LX60			
MG1			
MG2			
MG3			
NGC42			
NGC52	Exhibit A- 1		

Crystal Styles Sold Into California			
2000 - 2009			
Bermuda I	E820		
Bermuda II	E830		
C1000	E840		
C2000	E955H		
C3000	FSF3		
C4000	G110		
C5000	G120		
Concepts	G130		
Designer Choice	G410		
Duro Turf	G430		
Heather Point	G450		
Legend	GM48		
Leisure Turf	LS01		
Marine Carpet	LS02		
Master Turf	LS03		
Natural Weave	LS21		
Palace Gates	LS31		
Precepts	LSX1		
ST18	LSX2		
ST24	LSX3		
ST48	PE40		
Turflawn	PE50		
ST35	PF01		
	PS100		
AT26	PS400		
AT38	PS700		
E100	RP01		
E200	RP02		
E300	RP03		
E355	RP04		
E360	RP06		
E400	RP07		
E500	SPFT		
E550	SW38		
E610	TL100		
E620	TL120		
E630	TL80		
E640	TP28		
E710	TP38		
E730	TP40		
E740 E750	TP41 TP42		
E750 E800	TP50		
E810	Exhibit	ľ	

Exhibit .	A-2
-----------	-----

### SYNLawn Styles Sold Into California

11/2006 - 7/2009

BG28 basix by synlawn 28 BG30 basix by synlawn 30 BL10 basix by synlawn 10 BL100 basix by synlawn 100 BL20 basix by synlawn 20 BL30 basix by synlawn 30 BL40 basix by synlawn 40 BL60 basix by synlawn 60 BL70 basix by synlawn 70 BL80 basix by synlawn 80 SA100 SYNAugustine 100 SA130 SYNAugustine 130 SA140 SYNAugustine 140 SA230 SYNAugustine 230 SA240 SYNAugustine 240 SA330 SYNAugustine 330 SA340 SYNAugustine 340 SB100 SYNBlue 100 SB200 SYNBlue 200 SC100 SYNFringe 100 SC200 SYNFringe 200 SC210 SYNFringe 210 SD200 SYNBermuda 200 SD210 SYNBermuda 210 SD300 SYNBermuda 300 SD310 SYNBermuda 310 SF100 SYNFescue 100 SF110 SYNFescue 110 SF120 SYNFescue 120 SF214 SYNFesuce 214 SF220 SYNFescue 220 SF222 SYNFescue 222 SF310 SYNFescue 310 SF320 SYNFescue 320 SF340 SYNFescue 340 SF355 SYNFescue 355 SG100 SYNGreen 100 SG110 SYNGreen 110 SG134 SYNGreen 134 SG138 SYNGreen 138 SG150 SYNGreen 150 SG200 SYNGreen 200 SG300 SYNGreen 300

SG320 SYNGreen 320 SG322 SYNGreen 322 SG324 SYNGreen 324 SM110 SYNMarathon 110 SM112 SYNMarathon 112 SM114 SYNMarathon 114 SM120 SYNMarathon 120 SM130 SYNMarathon 130 SM210 SYNMarathon 210 SM220 SYNMarathon 220 SM222 SYNMarathon 222 SM230 SYNMarthon 230 SM240 SYNMarathon 240 SM310 SYNMarathon 310 SM312 SYNMarathon 312 SM320 SYNMarathon 320 SM330 SYNMarathon 330 SM355 SYNMarathon 355 SP300 SYNPlay 300 SP320 SYNPlay 320 SR100 SYNRye 100 SR200 SYNRye 200 SS300 SYNSod 300 ST100 SYNTipede 100 ST110 SYNTipede 110 ST120 SYNTipede 120 ST200 SYNTipede 200 ST220 SYNTIpede 220 ST320 SYNTipede 320

**Exhibit A-3** 

1			
2	Exhibit B		
3	<b>Testing Protocol for Use in Determining Lead Levels</b>		
4			
5	1. Testing Protocol for Use in Determining Available Lead in Wipe Samples.		
6	Each wipe will be prepared for analysis by acid digestion in accordance		
7	with EPA Method 3050B. The digestate will be analyzed using ICP spectrometry in accordance with EPA Method 6010c or alternatively EPA		
8	Method 6020A.		
9	2. Testing of Turf		
10	2. <u>Testing of Turf</u> :		
11	If turf is tested pursuant to Section 3.1 of this judgment, sample preparation and analysis will be in accordance with EPA Method 3050B. The digestate		
12	will be analyzed using ICP spectrometry in accordance with EPA Method 6010c or alternatively EPA Method 6020A.		
13			
14			
15 16			
16 17			
17 18			
10 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	22		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310		

1	<u>Exhibit C</u>	
2		
3		
4	Dear Customer:	
5 6	Our records show that you purchased [AstroTurf, SYNLawn] products during the past years. This letter is written to inform you that certain [AstroTurf, SYNLawn] products contain lead. Specifically, the following Astroturf products contained lead at levels in excess of 100 parts	
7	per million (ppm):	
8	[List Products]	
9	WARNING – The artificial turf products listed above contain lead, which is a chemical known to the state of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm.	
10		
11	Lead was phased out of these products on the following dates:	
12	Product Date	
13	[Insert Product Name and Dates]	
14	The following products have never contained lead in excess of 100 ppm.	
15	[Insert Product Names]	
16 17	Good maintenance practices can reduce exposures to lead from these products. These practices include the following:	
18	a. Keeping turf fields well-maintained and groomed and reducing surface dust and	
19	particles that could be ingested b. Students and players should wash their hands after playing on a field.	
20	c. Food, beverages and other ingestible items should not be allowed on the field	
21	d. Equipment and clothing used when playing on the turf should be cleaned after use.	
22	For other information about this issue, please check the following links:	
23		
24	A program for testing exposures from turf products that are installed at day care facilities,	
25 26	schools, public playgrounds, and public playing fields is now being administered by [Text to be provided by Attorney General]. In order to participate in program, please contact: [Text and further detail as to the program to be provided by the Attorney General.]	
27	[Settling Defendants will consult with the Attorney General prior to finalizing the inserts to this letter.]	
28	23	

1	<u>Exhibit D</u>				
2	Lead Transfer Testing				
3	Lead Transfer Testing shall be conducted as follows:				
4	Materials				
<ul> <li>5</li> <li>6 The materials used for sampling shall be lead free</li> </ul>					
7	1. Wipes				
8	Premoistened GhostWipes <sup>tm</sup> 15x15 cm				
9	2. Delineations of area to be sampled				
10	A cleanable template composed of thin plastic or metal, with inner dimensions of				
11	the 10x50 cm rectangular area to be wiped.				
12					
13 14					
15					
16	Alternatively, masking tape and measuring tape will be used to delineate the 10x50 rectangular area to be wiped. The distance between the perimeter of the				
17	<ul> <li>inner and outer rectangles of the template or masking tape should be at least 5 cm.</li> <li>4. Gloves</li> <li>Disposable; plastic or rubber.</li> </ul>				
18					
19					
20 21	5. Sealable plastic bags, marker pen				
21 22	Sampling Locations				
23	Divide the total artificial turf area into 5 contiguous sections of equal areas.				
24	This can be done by calculating the total area, dividing by 5 to determine the area of each sampling location and then marking off the area to be sampled.				
25 26	Three sampling locations should be in the center of their respectively marked sections. Two sampling locations should be near the perimeter of the field in their respectively marked sections.				
27 28	Illustrations with various geometries are given in Attachment D-1.				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310				

1	Plastic weights, chalk or string can be used to mark sample area boundaries. A measuring wheel, chain, laser tape rule, or conventional tape rule may be helpful, especially with complex geometries that might be found in a landscape application.				
2					
3					
4 5	Sampling one v	For each field set of samples, there will be a total of 7 bags: five bags with vipe in each bag, plus two bags with one blank wipe each.			
6	1.	Locate and delineate the areas to be wiped.			
7 8	2.	Wearing a new pair of gloves, remove a new wipe from its packaging and unfold it to its full dimensions.			
9	3.	A total of 5 strokes are made over the 10x50 cm area.			
10 11		Firmly and evenly press across the width of the wipe during sampling. Each stroke will start at one end and proceed to the other end of the 50 cm			
12		length.			
13		Wipe with 2 linear strokes over the 50 cm length of the delineated area in the same direction.			
14 15		Fold the wipe with the exposed side in, orient the wipe with the 15 cm crease at the leading edge, and wipe with 3 linear strokes over the 50 cm length of the delineated area in the other direction.			
16 17	4.	Refold the wipe with the exposed sides in to form a square, and place it in a new plastic bag. Seal and label the bag.			
18 19	5.	Discard the gloves. Clean the template if one was used. Discard the masking tape, if used.			
20	6.	For each field set of samples, the sampling method blanks shall consist of two unused wipes with packaging removed, each in an individual bag. If			
21 22		the amount of Pb in a blank wipe does not reasonably closely match its paired mate, or if both blank wipes are above an expected background			
23		level, the sampling for that field set must be redone on areas not previously wiped.			
24					
25	Lab Analys	is			
26	Lab Analysis will conducted according to Exhibit B - 1				
27					
28		25			
		CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310			

I			
1	Calculations		
2 3	Assuming the results for the two blank wipes for the field set meet the conditions in Sampling 6, average the two results.		
4	The lead per square centimeter per stroke for each section of a field is		
5	represented by		
6	(ug lead on a wipe - average ug lead on the blank wipes for that field set) / (500cm <sup>2</sup> * 5 strokes) = ug lead /cm <sup>2</sup> /stroke		
7 8	The average (mean) for the field of artificial turf is the sum of the ug lead /cm <sup>2</sup> / stroke result for each of the 5 sections, divided by 5.		
9	Consultation		
10	Consultation		
11	The contractor selected to perform Lead Transfer Testing pursuant to this Judgment may provide additional written instructions to the personnel who will be		
12	conducting the Lead Transfer Testing. This contractor shall meet and confer with representatives selected by Plaintiffs and Settling Defendant prior to initiating the		
13	first round of testing.		
14	The resulting lead levels shall be deemed to be the Available Lead Level pursuant to this Judgment. These procedures and methods are meant only for use in this Judgment as a		
15	method of determining when removal of an existing field is appropriate. They have not been approved by the Plaintiffs or Settling Defendants as appropriate for making		
16 17	exposure calculations or estimates pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. or any other law or regulation.		
17			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
20			
28			
	26		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ASTROTURF – Case No. RG 08407310		

