Cas	e 3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1	Filed 04/07/20 PageID.1 Page 1 of 59
Cas 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Steven Weinmann (SBN 190956) Steven. Weinmann@capstonelawyers.c Tarek H. Zohdy (SBN 247775) Tarek.Zohdy@capstonelawyers.com Cody R. Padgett (SBN 275553) Cody.Padgett@capstonelawyers.com Trisha K. Monesi (SBN 303512) Trisha.Monesi@capstonelawyers.com Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 Additional Counsel on Signature Page Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNITED STATE	com
		CTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Roby Partovich ("Plaintiff") brings this action for himself
 and on behalf of all persons ("Class Members") in the United States, and in the
 alternative on behalf of all persons in the state of California, who purchased or
 leased model year 2016 to 2020 Acura MDX or 2019-2020 RDX vehicles
 ("Class Vehicles").

Defendants American Honda Motor Company, Inc., ("Honda USA")
and Honda Motor Company, Ltd. ("Honda Japan") (collectively, "Honda" or
"Defendants") designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, sold, warranted,
and/or serviced the Class Vehicles. Plaintiff alleges as follows:

10

INTRODUCTION

3. This is a consumer class action concerning the misrepresentation of
material facts, the failure to disclose material facts, and safety concerns to
consumers.

14 4. Defendants manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold the Class
15 Vehicles without disclosing that the Class Vehicles' possessed a defect which
16 materially affects the ability of the vehicles to provide safe, reliable
17 transportation.

Plaintiff is informed and believe, and based thereon alleges, that the 5. 18 19 Class Vehicles contain design, manufacturing, and/or workmanship defects 20 which cause sudden, rapid deceleration, engine stalls, hesitation upon depressing the gas pedal, abrupt shutdowns and shifts into neutral while driving, especially 21 at highway speeds, due to miscommunication among the computers and software 22 which control the engine, throttle and transmission (the "Defect"). Upon 23 information and belief, the Engine Control Module ("ECM")¹ and the 24 Transmission Control Module ("TCM") are some of the components involved. 25 The Defect causes unsafe driving conditions and affects Plaintiff's and other 26 27

28

¹ The ECM may also be called the Powertrain Control Module ("PCM").

Page 1

drivers' ability to safely accelerate and maintain speeds while on roads,
 highways, and freeways.

3 6. The Defect is inherent in each Class Vehicle and was present at the
4 time of sale or lease to each Class Member.

7. With each Acura vehicle, Honda promises "Precision Crafted
Performance." The emphasis on driving performance is how Honda attempts to
distinguish its luxury brand, Acura, from competitors.

In service to the goal of premier performance, each of the Class 8 8. 9 Vehicles are equipped with a Drive-By-Wire Throttle System ("Throttle System"), which Honda describes as "giv[ing] racing-inspired pedal movement 10 11 and smooth, powerful response with just-right throttle sensitivity for most situations by replacing traditional cables with electronic components. Data is 12 instantly factored in from engine RPM, coolant temperature, road speed, 13 transmission status and more."² In order for the vehicle to operate correctly, as 14 intended, and as expected by a reasonable consumer, i.e. to accelerate when the 15 16 gas pedal is depressed without hesitation, stalling, etc., the powertrain components, including the Throttle System, ECM/PCM, and TCM, must all 17 communicate with each other seamlessly. Upon information and belief, they do 18 19 not.

9. Honda designed and manufactured the Class Vehicles, as well as the
electrical, throttle, engine, and transmission systems and the software which
controls these systems within the vehicles.

10. Within months of the sale of the first 2016 Acura MDX vehicles,
Honda received complaints from consumers about hesitation on acceleration,
stalling, and sudden shutdowns via its authorized dealers, as well as through
safety complaint reports made to the National Highway Traffic Safety

- 27
- 28

² <u>https://www.acura.com/performance#panel-heading-1</u>

Page 2

Administration ("NHTSA"), such as the following complaint (NHTSA ID 1

Number: 10745761) reported on August 3, 2015: 2

WHILE DRIVING, THE MDX SHIFTS INTO NEUTRAL AND CANNOT BE SHIFTED OUT OF NEUTRAL. THIS HAS OCCURRED ON THE HIGHWAY MULTIPLE TIMES. THE INFORMATION DISPLAY SHOWS FIRST EMISSIONS PROBLEMS, THEN TRANSMISSION PROBLEM. ACURA HAS A TSB OUT FOR THIS B15-034, BUT WON'T APPLY IT UNTIL THE PROBLEM APPEARS. HAVING YOUR VEHICLE SHIFT INTO NEUTRAL IN A HIGH SPEED ENVIRONMENT IS EXTREMELY DANGEROUS. TSB B15-034 NEEDS TO BE A RECALL BEFORE THEIR MDX SHIFTS INTO NEUTRAL DRIVING THE HIGHWAY ON AND THEY BECOME IMMOBILIZED AND PEOPLE ARE **KILLED** AS Α RESULT...9/22/15 *BF

12 13

14

15

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

11. Despite knowledge of the Defect and its dangerous associated safety risk, Honda failed to issue a comprehensive and effective recall, fix the vehicles, and continued to sell vehicles with the Defect.

16

12. The Defect presents a safety risk for Plaintiff, other owners and 17 lessees of Class Vehicles, and the general public because, upon information and 18 belief, when the vehicles suddenly decelerate or stop in the middle of the road, 19 they subject themselves and other vehicles to a high risk of collision and 20 personal injury.

21 In the United States, Honda provides warranty coverage for Class 13. 22 Vehicles under one or more warranties. Honda currently provides a New 23 Vehicle Limited Warranty, which covers vehicles under the Acura brand for 4 24 years or 50,000 miles and a Powertrain Limited Warranty for powertrain 25 components for 6 years or 70,000 miles.

26 Based on pre-production testing and design failure mode analysis, 14. 27 early complaints to dealers and warranty claims, replacement part orders, Service

Bulletins and complaints made to Defendant Honda USA and NHTSA,
 Defendants were aware of the Defect in Class Vehicles but continued to
 misrepresent the ability of the Class Vehicles to provide safe, reliable
 transportation, and further concealed the Defect and its effects from Plaintiff and
 other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles.

6 15. Because the Defect was present at the time of sale or lease of the
7 Class Vehicles and concealed from Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of
8 Class Vehicles, Honda was required to repair the Class Vehicles under the terms
9 of the warranties free of charge. Yet, on information and belief, Honda has
10 failed to permanently repair or replace the defective parts free of charge under
11 the warranties.

Knowledge and information regarding the Defect and the associated 16. 12 safety risk was in the exclusive and superior possession of Defendants and their 13 authorized dealers and was not provided to Plaintiff and other owners and/or 14 15 lessees of the Class Vehicles, who could not reasonably discover the Defect 16 through due diligence. Despite Defendants' knowledge, Honda continues to sell these defective vehicles, has failed to disclose the existence of the Defect to 17 directly to consumers, Plaintiffs and other owners and/or lessees of Class 18 19 Vehicles, has not issued a full, comprehensive and effective recall and has not remedied the Defect and/or compensated Class Vehicle purchasers, owners, or 20 21 lessees for this material defect.

17. The nature of the Defect is such that it manifests both within and
outside the warranty periods. Because knowledge and information about the
existence and scope of the Defect was within the exclusive and superior
possession of Defendants and their authorized dealers, Defendants concealed this
information in order to continue to sell more Class Vehicles and to wrongfully
transfer costs of repair or replacement to Plaintiff and other owners and/or

28

1 lessees of Class Vehicles.

18. No reasonable consumer expects to purchase or lease a vehicle that 2 contained a Defect which creates a safety hazard which causes the vehicle to fail 3 to accelerate, stall, throw itself into neutral or "limp mode," or shut down while 4 being driven. The Defect is material to Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees 5 of Class Vehicles because when they purchased or leased their Class Vehicles, 6 7 they reasonably expected that they would be able to press on the accelerator and have the vehicle respond appropriately without suddenly decelerating, going into 8 9 neutral, stalling, or shutdown completely. Had Defendants disclosed the Defect, Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles would not have 10 11 purchased or leased their Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for their Class Vehicles. 12

THE PARTIES

15 Plaintiff Roby Partovich

16 19. Plaintiff Roby Partovich is a California citizen who resides in17 Oceanside, California.

18 20. On or around December 17, 2018, Plaintiff Partovich purchased a
19 new 2019 Acura MDX from Ball Acura, an authorized Acura dealer in National
20 City, California.

21 21. Plaintiff Partovich purchased his 2019 Acura MDX vehicle
22 primarily for personal, family, or household use.

23 22. The safety and reliability of the vehicle were important factors in
24 Plaintiff Partovich's decision to purchase his vehicle. Before making his
25 purchase, Plaintiff Partovich reviewed the Acura brochure for the MDX as well
26 as the Monroney Sticker or "window sticker" which listed official information
27 about the vehicle. Plaintiff Partovich believed that the Acura MDX would be a

28

13

1 safe and reliable vehicle.

2 23. Honda's misstatements and omissions were material to Plaintiff
3 Partovich. Had Honda disclosed its knowledge of the Defect before Mr.
4 Partovich purchased his MDX, Plaintiff Partovich would have seen and been
5 aware of the disclosures. Furthermore, had he known of the Defect, Plaintiff
6 Partovich would not have purchased his vehicle or would have paid less for it.

7 24. On or around March 7, 2020, with approximately 6,200 miles on the odometer, while driving on the highway using the cruise control, the MDX 8 9 decelerated suddenly from 75 miles per hour to 45 miles per hour twice in the span of twenty minutes. On March 10, 2020, he took the vehicle to Hoehn 10 11 Acura, located in Carlsbad, California, a dealer authorized by Honda to perform repairs and services under the New Limited Vehicle Warranty and Powertrain 12 13 Warranty provided to Mr. Partovich when he purchased his car. He was told nothing was wrong with the car and no repairs were attempted or performed. 14

15 25. On or around March 14, 2020, with approximately 6,300 miles on
16 the odometer, Mr. Partovich's MDX suddenly decelerated to a stop without him
17 applying the brake while being driving at a very low speed in a parking lot. On
18 March 17, 2020, he took the vehicle to Hoehn Acura once more. He was again
19 told nothing was wrong with the car and no repairs were attempted or performed.

20 26. Following the dealership visit, Plaintiff Partovich continues to
21 experience the Defect.

22 27. At all times, Plaintiff Partovich, like all Class Members, has
23 attempted to drive his Acura MDX in a manner that was both foreseeable, and in
24 which it was intended to be used.

25 Defendants

26 28. Defendant American Honda Motor Company, Inc. is a corporation
27 organized and in existence under the laws of the State of California and

registered to do business in the State of California. Honda USA is headquartered 1 at 1919 Torrance Boulevard, Torrance, California 90501. Honda USA is 2 responsible for sales, marketing, service, distribution, import and export of 3 Honda and Acura branded products, including vehicles and parts, in California, 4 5 and in the United States. Honda USA is also the warrantor and distributor of Honda and Acura vehicles, including the Class Vehicles, in California and 6 throughout the United States. Honda USA has a division devoted to these 7 operations related to the Acura brand. 8

9 29. Defendant Honda Motor Company, Ltd. is a corporation founded in
10 1958 under the laws of Japan and headquartered in Tokyo, Japan. Honda Japan
11 manufacturers and distributes automobiles, as well as parts for Honda and Acura
12 branded vehicles, and is the parent company of Honda USA and all other Honda13 branded corporations headquartered California. Upon information and belief, the
14 design and manufacture of Class Vehicles, including their component systems
15 and any repairs or service necessary, is the primary focus of Honda Japan.

30. Defendants, through their various entities, design, manufacture,
market, distribute, service, repair, sell, and lease passenger vehicles, including
the Class Vehicles, nationwide and in California. Defendants work together on
the drafting and distribution of all technical bulletins regarding Acura vehicles to
Honda-authorized dealers, as well as in training Honda-dealer technicians in the
correct procedures to maintenance, service, and repair Acura vehicles.

31. At all relevant times, Defendants were and are engaged in the
business of designing, manufacturing, constructing, assembling, marketing,
distributing, and selling automobiles and motor vehicle components in San
Diego County, California and throughout the United States of America.

26

27

28

Page 7

Cas	e 3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.9 Page 9 of 59
1	
2	JURISDICTION
3	32. This is a class action.
4	33. Members of the proposed Class, which includes citizens of all 50
5	states, are citizens of states other than California, where Honda USA is
6	incorporated and headquartered.
7	34. On information and belief, aggregate claims of individual Class
8	Members exceed \$5,000,000.00 in value, exclusive of interest and costs.
9	35. Accordingly, jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28
10	U.S.C. § 1332(d).
11	VENUE
12	36. Defendants, through their businesses of marketing, distributing,
13	selling, and leasing the Class Vehicles, have established sufficient contacts in
14	this district such that personal jurisdiction is appropriate. Honda is deemed to
15	reside in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a).
16	37. Venue is proper in this Court because Plaintiff Partovich's
17	Declaration, as required under California Civil Code section 1780(d) but not
18	pursuant to Erie and federal procedural rules, reflects that a substantial part of
19	the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred, or a
20	substantial part of property that is the subject of this action is situated, in San
21	Diego County, California. It is attached as Exhibit 1.
22	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
23	38. Honda has thousands of authorized dealerships across the United
24	States and controls the distribution of automobiles, parts, services, and warranty
25	repairs of Acura-branded vehicles throughout the United States, all of which are
26	under Honda's control. Honda authorizes these distributors and dealerships to
27	sell Acura vehicles, parts, and accessories and to service and repair Acura
28	
	Page 8

vehicles using Acura parts. Its operating income through those distributors and
 dealerships are included in the \$143.1 billion in Honda revenue for the fiscal
 year ended March 31, 2019.³

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

39. Honda sells Acura vehicles to its authorized distributors and dealerships, which in turn sell those vehicles to consumers. After these dealerships sells cars to consumers, including the Plaintiffs and Class members, they purchase additional inventory from Honda to replace the vehicles sold, increasing Honda's revenues. Thus, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' purchase of Class Vehicles accrues to the benefit of Honda by increasing its revenues.

40. In a traditional setup for vehicles with gasoline engines, there are
physical connections between the gas pedal and the throttle body to limit or
expand the amount of air going into the engine. The more one presses the gas
pedal, the more air enters the engine, where sensors detect the air and increase
the throttle position. This then sends an instruction to the fuel injectors to
provide more fuel to the engine, which generates more power and ultimately,
accelerates the vehicle.⁴

41. By contrast, the Class Vehicles have Honda's Throttle System,
which is a throttle by wire system. Here, instead of cables, a sensor is attached
to the gas pedal and measures how far it has been depressed. That measurement
is sent to the throttle control computer, which then sends a command to the
throttle body to limit or expand the amount of air going into the engine. Both the
ECM and the TCM also send information to the throttle control computer, so that

23

³ See "Honda Revenue Grows in FY2019, Will It Sustain In FY 2020?," (May 15, 2019),

(May 15, 2019), https://www.forbes.com/sites/greatspeculations/2019/05/15/honda-revenuegrows-in-fy-2019-will-it-sustain-in-fy-2020/#50806615731b (last visited March 30, 2020).

⁴ See Dunn, Brent, "What is Throttle By Wire?", Autobytel.com, available
 at <u>https://www.autobytel.com/car-ownership/technology/what-is-throttle-by-</u>
 wire-122835/

the throttle can be adjusted during gear changes or change the way the throttle
 reacts when the gas pedal is pressed in order to accommodate fuel efficiency.⁵

42. If the throttle is stuck open, a vehicle can be thrown into neutral, or
a "limp mode" in order to prevent engine, transmission, or other vehicle damage.
In contrast, if the throttle does not respond to the command to open, it will fail to
allow more air into the engine.

43. Upon information and belief, the Class Vehicles suffer from a defect
in design, manufacture, and/or workmanship where the throttle receives
conflicting instructions from the gas pedal, the ECM, and/or the TCM. In such
situations, the throttle will malfunction, and either become stuck in position or
otherwise fail to follow the command of the driver. These situations cause the
Class Vehicles to hesitate, stall, shut down, go into limp mode, or decelerate
instead of accelerate at the command of the driver depressing the gas pedal.

14 44. Class Member complaints to NHTSA, cited *infra*, demonstrate the
15 unsafe and widespread nature of the Defect and Defendants' awareness that the
16 Defect existed before selling the Class Vehicles to Plaintiff and Class Members.

17

Honda Had Superior and Exclusive Knowledge of the Defect

45. Honda had superior and exclusive knowledge of the Defect and
knew or should have known that the Defect was not known or reasonably
discoverable by Plaintiff and Class Members before they purchased or leased the
Class Vehicles.

46. Plaintiff and Class Members are informed and believe and based
thereon allege that before they purchased or leased their respective Class
Vehicles, and since pre-production road testing of the 2016 MDX beginning in
late 2014, if not earlier, Honda knew about the Defect through sources not
available to consumers, including pre-release testing data, such as design mode

27 28

⁵ Id.

Page 10

failure analysis. Honda also was provided notice by early consumer complaints
 to Honda and its dealers, testing conducted in response to those complaints, high
 failure rates and replacement part sales data, and other aggregate data from
 Honda dealers about the problem. Publicly available facts set forth *infra* further
 confirm Honda's knowledge.

6 47. Honda is experienced in the design and manufacture of consumer vehicles. As an experienced manufacturer, Honda conducts tests, including pre-7 sale durability testing, on vehicle components such as control modules in Class 8 9 Vehicles, to verify the parts are free from defect and align with Honda's specifications. Further, pre-production testing on vehicles and their components 10 11 is designed to be harsher than expected "real-world" driving experience of consumers. Such testing necessarily includes use of the gas pedal at various 12 13 speeds, including highway speeds. Thus, Honda knew or should have known that Class Vehicles experience a failure to acceleration, shifting in neutral, sudden 14 15 stalls, and shutdowns while being driven.

48. Additionally, Honda should have learned of this widespread defect
from the sheer number of reports received from dealerships and from customer
complaints directly to Honda. Honda's customer relations department collects
and analyzes field data including, but not limited to, repair requests made at
dealerships, technical reports prepared by engineers who have reviewed vehicles
for which warranty coverage is being requested, parts sales reports, and warranty
claims data.

23

24

49. Indeed, as of July 2015, a number of Class Members had already reported the Defect to various Acura authorized dealerships.

50. Honda analyzes and collects warranty and repair data submitted by
its dealerships in order to identify trends in Acura vehicles. It is Honda's policy
that when a repair is made under warranty, the dealership must provide Honda

with detailed documentation of the problem and the repair employed to correct it
 in order to be reimbursed. Dealerships have an incentive to provide detailed
 information to Honda, because they will not be reimbursed for any repairs unless
 the justification is sufficiently detailed.

5 51. Honda quietly issues notifications to its dealerships – but not
6 consumers – Technical Service Bulletins ("TSBs.") Through TSBs, Honda
7 provides directions to its authorized Acura dealerships for how to respond to
8 customer complaints and requests for repairs. TSBs are only issued after Honda
9 has discovered an issue, investigated, and designed a repair, mitigation, or other
10 response. The issuance of a TSB thus reflects months, or even a year's worth of
11 knowledge of a defect.

On July 8, 2015, Honda issued Service Bulletin 15-034, titled 12 52. 13 "Product Update: Vehicle shifts into Neutral and MIL Comes On with DTC P0657" that applied to the 2016 Acura MDX. This TSB stated that "[w]hile 14 15 driving, the vehicle shifts into Neutral, the transmission indicator comes and 16 DTC P0657 (actuator supply voltage circuit/open) is stored. The driver will not be able to select any other gear until the vehicle is turned off and restarted." The 17 TSB directed technicians to "[u]pdate the PGM-FI software and the TCM 18 19 software, clear any DTCs, and do the PCM idle learn procedure."⁶ On information and belief, the software update described in this TSB failed to 20 21 resolve the Defect.

53. On September 3, 2019, Honda issued Service Bulletin B18-045,
titled "Update: F-CAN Bus Connected Unit Check" that applied to the 2019
Acura RDX and 2019 Acura MDX Sport Hybrid. "F-CAN" refers to the Fast
Controller Area Network which passes information between computers such as
the ECM/PCM and other control modules. This TSB described some

- 27
- 28

⁶ "PGM-FI" stands for "programmed fuel injection." "DTCs" stands for "Diagnostic Trouble Codes." "PCM" stands for "Powertrain Control Module."

troubleshooting procedures for a loss of communications such that "certain 1 control units that may be reported as Not Available in the Status column even 2 though they are properly communicating." On information and belief, these 3 procedures failed to resolve the Defect. 4

5

7

24

25

26

27

28

On January 29, 2019, Honda recalled 2016-2018 Acura MDX 54. vehicles due to slow flow from the fuel pumps causing vehicle stalls. The repair 6 was to update the fuel injection engine control unit software ("FI-ECU"), and in some cases, replace the fuel pump. Upon information and belief, the updated FI-8 ECU software was included in 2019 and 2020 MDX models. On information 9 and belief, this repair failed to resolve the Defect. 10

11 55. The July 8, 2015 Service Bulletin 15-034, September 3, 2019 Service Bulletin B18-045, and January 29, 2019 recall of 2016-2018 Acura 12 MDX vehicles clearly show that Honda knew about the defect at least as early as 13 July 8, 2015, and likely months earlier since it take a large vehicle manufacturer 14 like Honda several months to formulate, draft and implement a Service Bulletin. 15

16 56. On information and belief, no Class Member has received a repair from Honda or any Honda authorized dealer which permanently resolves the 17 Defect. 18

19 57. Class Members have complained for years about the Defect and its associated safety risk, both before and after the recall. 20

Acura specific forums such as Acurazine.com have registered many 21 58. complaints from the owners and lessees of both MDX and RDX vehicles about 22 the Defect. 23

Page 13

59. He	ere is a sample of complaints on just one thread regarding the loss
	e accelerating in 2019 RDX vehicles 7 :
-	
■ 07-23-2018, 06:21 AM	#1 Limp mode 2019 A-Spec
2019aspec Registered Member Thread Starter Join Date: Jul 2018	I was driving on the highway about 75mph and I was trying to pass someone. Then my engine cutout and the engine light came on. I was unable to accelerate I believe it's called "limp" mode . I had to pull over, shut the car off and turn it back on and everything is fine.
Age: 44 Posts: 16	Has as anyone had this issue? I use premium gas, and the car has 1500 miles on it.
Likes: 0	
08-08-2018, 08:20 PM	#12
billyt1963 Registered Member	Sorry to resurrect this thread, but
Join Date: Mar 2011 Location: TN Age: 56 Posts: 300	i had had this experience tonight. I have had the car a little over a week, about 800 mile s so far. I turned right on red and someone was headed my way pretty quick. I gunned the engine and thought I saw a little black smoke out the back. Next thing I knew there was no acceleration and the check engine light was flashing. I got to where I could pull over safely, turned the car off, let it set a minute, then started back up.
Likes: 175 Received 55 Likes on 38 Posts	Ran fine after that. A couple of things I noticed. The RPMs seemed to be above 6000 and it was almost like I tried the hard
	acceleration etween shifts. I was in sport mode when this happened.
	My dealer is about 150miles away, so I am just going to watch what is happening.
	Just wanted to let folks here know in case it happens some more.
	Billy Forgot to mention, I have a SH AWD, Advance.
	Last edited by billyt1963; 08-08-2018 at 08:22 PM. Reason: More information
•	🕽 Reply 🖒 Like
■ 10-08-2018, 07:01 AM	#48
Ffsphynx	#48 Quote:
Registered Member Join Date: Jul 2018 Posts: 25 Likes: 0 Received 4 Likes on 3 Posts	Originally Posted by KK689 Sorry to resurrect this thread. But I had the same thing happen to me yesterday. Went to pass a car on the interstate after driving for 200 miles and the check engine light started flashing and went into limp mode. After I pulled over and tried to get going again the car wouldn to go faster than 10 mph so I had it towed to a Acura dealership. Did anyone get any news for a fix? I have a 2019 Advance with 3K miles
	If you turn off car, turn back on, it will work again with no problems. No need for tow job. You need to call corporate, and open a case number on this. It needs to be documented. I recently got my car back from them. Same thing happened, but they get no codes. They try to resimulate it, but with my car they couldn't.
	There was not any codes in system either. This happened to me 2 times, and they got the car right after it happened for the second time. Im still waiting for this to happen again, and we are under a verbal agreement that I record it, just so they can see it too. The more people who call into corporate who are having this problem, the more they will try to fix this. Corporate with then set you up with an appointment directly with a dealer and they track any findings.
	Last edited by Ffsphynx; 10-08-2018 at 07:05 AM.
•	II Reply
	acurazine.com/forums/3g-rdx-problems-fixes-458/limp-mode- 802/

Case 3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.16 Page 16 of 59

1	■ 12-02-2018, 11:22 PM	#59
2	DrWoo Registered Member	Limp mode hit me tonight. Similar situation as others report: on interstate about 70 mph, hit the gas to get past another car, and it just lost power and the engine light started blinking. Pulled over to shoulder, shut it down, restarted, and it was fine after that. I have over 8000 miles and have driven many highway miles,
3		but this is the first time this happened. Advance in Comfort mode with 93 octane gas.
4		
5	Join Date: Jul 2018	
6	Age: 61 Posts: 266 Likes: 15	
7	Received 70 Likes on 50 Posts	🗊 Repiy 🕼 Like
8		
9	■ 12-03-2018, 10:54 AM mch	#60 Limped mode Thanksgiving travel same as everyone else while trying to overtake at 70MPH. 7xxx Miles
9	Registered Member Join Date: Jun 2018	on advance in comfort. Also 93 Octane gas. Such a bummer to have this in my mind now every time want to pass someone. Not sure how I will feel or should feel about one lane road overtaking.
10	Age: 34 Posts: 22 Likes: 15 Received 5 Likes on 3 Posts	
11		🗊 Reply) 🕼 Like
12		
13	60. R	eviews on Edmunds.com of the MDX also report issues with
	acceleration.	eviews on Edinardsteom of the METT also report issues with
15		
16	★★★☆☆ This S Carlos, 08/27/2016	UV almost got us hurt
17	SH-AWD 4dr SUV AWD	(3.5L 6cyl 9A)
18	55 of 59 people found this	review helpful
19	We got a new 2016	MDX and before it hitting 3 months, our new MDX almost got my whole family hurt (or
20		orst). While driving on the freeway it just suddenly stop while I was changing lane. ind us managed to stop and avoid hitting us. I tried to restart the engine and when it
21	did, it move very slow and roughly to the shoulder of the freeway. After restarting the engine, ALL THE WARNING LIGHTS came ON including Break System Failure or Malfunction. It scared my whole family and it was the most horrible UNSAFE DRIVE HOME for hours worrying if we still have break system working. Dropped of the MDX at our local dealership and until now (about 9 days now) still trying to figure things out We feel like this vehicle is just so UNSAFE now and it basically traumatized my whole family being in this MDX. Will review lemon law and make sure we don't become the CRASH TEST DUMMIES for this. And I hate hearing them say "Oh it must be because of the computers and technology"! We got	
22		
23		
24		
25	this vehicle becaus	e of the SAFETY AND TECHNOLOGY advertised by Acura.
26		
27		
28		
		Page 15
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.17 Page 17 of 59

★☆☆☆☆ Transmission problems already!

Disappointed buyer, 03/05/2018 SH-AWD w/Technology Package 4dr SUV AWD (3.5L 6cyl 9A)

37 of 40 people found this review helpful

I purchased a new, 2017 MDX SH AWD with technology package in February 2018 from Frankel Acura in Maryland and this has been my experience: The salesperson, Mark was helpful. The car had 378 miles on it when I purchased it. Immediately after driving off the lot, I noticed the timing of the transmission seemed to be off. I was pressing the gas, however the car was hesitating and then it would shift into 1st or 2nd gear, but the shifting was rough, enough to jerk you forward when the car finally moved. When I took the car to Frankel Acura for diagnostics and repair, I was told the vehicle was operating properly. I was also told that I would have to deal with the issue until Acura issued a software update for the transmission. The dealership offered no further action regarding the transmission. I was also told that once the car learned my driving habits, the transmission shifting might get better. Needless to say, I am disappointed in the performance of the vehicle and the dealership.

★☆☆☆☆ Paid over 50 grand for a LEMON

Dr. Khan, 07/06/2017 SH-AWD w/Technology Package 4dr SUV AWD (3.5L 6cyl 9A)

41 of 46 people found this review helpful

Please read about the problems with transmission. Our transmission went out at 3000+ miles on city driving. On calling we were told by the dealer they have no loaner cars due to airbag recall issues.
Suddenly stalls and almost got my wife into a terrible accident. P0730 code came on and we are unable to use this expensive car after just 3000 miles. Buy at your own risks. Sales team was excellent but the Cerritos Acura dealership was rude once we sought help for this terrible manufacturing defect in transmission.

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- ★☆☆☆☆ 2017 MDX transmission safety nightmare
- One Driver, 07/13/2017 4dr SUV (3.5L 6cyl 9A)

21 of 25 people found this review helpful

21 My brand new MDX almost killed me yesterday. It drove jerky from day one. It is not just "me getting used to a 9-speed transmission" like the dealer is telling me. It does shift jerky and frequently slows down 22 independent of what I am doing on the gas or brake. Will occasionally jerk strongly and repeatedly like it is about to stall when driving 35mph for several miles with no need for gears to shift at all. I took it back to 23 dealer within 2-3 weeks of purchase. They claimed could find nothing wrong. It continued as before and before I could get back to the dealer a week or so later this happened: Stuck in stop and go highway traffic 24 for half hour. Every press on the gas was herky jerky but suddenly when traffic finally started to move freely, a press on the gas produced nothing but RPM's revving. I took my foot off the gas and tried again 25 2-3 times. I put on my flashers and barely rolled to the shoulder through the swerving traffic. I turned off the car and restarted to make my way jerkily back home. Second trip to dealer is so far producing the 26 same bs that they can find no problem. I just found several stories online of same problem. Unless they fix 27 this I am not driving this death machine.

28

In addition, Honda monitors customers' complaints made to
 NHTSA. Federal law requires automakers like Honda to be in close contact with
 NHTSA regarding potential automobile defects, including imposing a legal
 requirement (backed by criminal penalties) compelling the confidential
 disclosure of defects and related data by automakers to NHTSA, including field
 reports, customer complaints, and warranty data. *See TREAD Act*, Pub. L. No.
 106-414, 114 Stat.1800 (2000).

Automakers have a legal obligation to identify and report emerging 8 62. 9 safety-related defects to NHTSA under the Early Warning Report requirements. Id. Similarly, automakers monitor NHTSA databases for consumer complaints 10 11 regarding their automobiles as part of their ongoing obligation to identify potential defects in their vehicles, including safety-related defects. Id. Honda 12 13 USA is Honda Japan's agent to interface with NHTSA to monitor complaints, respond to inquiries, conduct recalls, and assist NHTSA with investigations. 14 Thus, Honda knew or should have known of the many complaints about the 15 16 Defect logged by NHTSA Office of Defect Investigation (ODI), and the content, consistency, and large number of those complaints alerted, or should have 17 alerted, Honda to the Defect. 18

19 63. The following are examples of over 60 complaints from owners and
20 lessees of the Class Vehicles concerning the Defect available through NHTSA's
21 website, www.safercar.gov. Spelling and grammar mistakes appear as in
22 original.

23	a. DATE OF INCIDENT: June 21, 2016
24	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: July 3, 2016
25	NHTSA/ODI ID: 10882333
26	VEHICLE: 2016 Acura MDX SUMMARY: WE BOUGHT A BRAND NEW 2016 ACURA MDX
20	
27	MODEL AND WITHIN FEW MONTHS, STARTED HAVING
20	TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS. THE CAR WOULD START
28	
	Page 17

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.19 Page 19 of 59
1 2 3 4 5 6	STALLING IN THE MIDDLE OF THE ROAD AND COULD NOT ACCELERATE TO PICK UP SPEED. THIS HAPPENED IN A MAJOR HIGHWAY WHEN IT SUDDENLY STALLED AND COULD NOT PICK UP SPEED. FELT LIKE HAVING NO CONTROL OF CAR SPEED. THE D LETTER ON DASHBOARD STARTED FLASHING AND ALSO SHOWED BLIND SPOT MONITOR REPORT FAILURES. TOOK THE CAR TO DEALER FIRST TIME AND THEY COULD NOT FIND ANYTHING AND SAID IT SHOULD NOT HAPPEN AGAIN. THE SECOND TIME
7	HAPPENED IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. TOOK IT TO
8	DEALER AND HE SAID ITS FIXED AND KEPT CAR FOR FEW DAYS. WITHIN VERY FEW DAYS THE PROBLEM HAPPENED
9	AGAIN. APART FROM THIS, THE CAR WHEN PUT IN DRIVE
9 10	FROM PARK WOULD START GOING BACKWARDS WITH LITTLE UPHILL WHICH ALMOST GOT ME IN AN ACCIDENT
11	ON THE HIGHWAY. I FELT VERY UNSAFE TO DRIVE THE
12	CAR ON A HIGHWAY DUE TO THESE SAFETY CONCERNS AS THERE WAS A POTENTIAL FOR ACCIDENTS AND INJURY TO
13	SOME ONE. WE DEMONSTRATED THIS DEALER THE THIRD
13	TIME. WE CALLED CLIENT SERVICES OF ACURA
	MANUFACTURER AND OPENED A CASE. THE REGIONAL MANAGER MENTIONED THEY WILL LOOK INTO THE
15	ISSUES. WE HAD A LONG ROAD TRIP PLANNED AND WE
16	WERE DEPENDENT ON THIS CAR AS IT WAS A FAMILY CAR
17	BUT FELT VERY UNSAFE. THE CLIENT SERVICES OF ACURA HAD COMPLETE LACK OF EMPATHY AND WAS UNWILLING
18	TO DO ANYTHING. HE KEPT STRESSING THAT WE NEED TO
19	PICK UP THE CAR AND RETURN THE LOANER CAR
20	ALTHOUGH WE HIGHLIGHTED THE SAFETY CONCERNS. WE
20	ARE COMPLETELY DISAPPOINTED WITH ACURA AND STILL FEEL UNSAFE WITH THE CAR NOT KNOWING WHAT WILL
	HAPPEN NEXT.
22	
23	b. DATE OF INCIDENT: March 15, 2020 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: March 17, 2020
24	NHTSA/ODI ID: 11318496
25	VEHICLE: 2017 Acura MDX
26	SUMMARY: WE WERE DRIVING 70MPH ON THE INTERSTATE I10 HEADED TO NEW ORLEANS WHEN OUR
27	VEHICLE SUDDENLY AND WITHOUT WARNING LOST
28	ACCELERATION THE DASHBOARD LITE UP LIKE A
20	De == 10
	Page 18 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.20 Page 20 of 59
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHRISTMAS TREE TELLING ME TO PULL OVER IMMEDIATELY AND THEN ELECTRONIC PARKING BRAKE ERROR, TRANSMISSION ERROR AND AN EMISSIONS ERROR ALL AT ONCE. THIS WAS A VERY DANGEROUS INCIDENT AND WE SOMEHOW MANAGED TO GET TO THE SMALL SIDE OF THE ROAD ON THIS BUSY INTERSTATE. IT SCARED THE BOTH US! THE MDX WOULD NOT GO INTO DRIVE OR NEUTRAL? WHILE WAITING FOR THE TOW TRUCK WE ALMOST WERE SIDE SWIPED. THIS CAR WAS PURCHASED FOR THE SAFETY FEATURES AND IT HAS REALLY CAUSED MY WIFE AND I TO LOST ALL FAITH AND NOT CANNOT DEPEND ON IT. OUR VEHICLE HAS 44K ON IT AND IT WAS EVEN A ACURA CERTIFIED PRE-OWNED INSPECTED VEHICLE. I HAVE LEARNED THERE IS A CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT FOR THIS SAME TYPE OF INCIDENT AND MODEL OF ACURA. THIS CAR IS DANGEROUS AND ACURA BETTER OWN UP TO THIS FAULTY VEHICLE. THIS NEEDS TO BE RECALLED!
13	RECALLED:
14	c. DATE OF INCIDENT: September 2, 2015 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: September 8, 2015
15	NHTSA/ODI ID: 10762375
16	VEHICLE: 2016 Acura MDX SUMMARY: ON 9/3/15, I WAS PULLING INTO MY GARAGE
17	WHEN ALL THE WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASHBOARD
18	WENT OFF – TRANSMISSION, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DETECTION LIGHT ETC. SINCE I WAS OUT OF TIME THE
19	NEXT MORNING, I PUT IT IN REVERSE AND DROVE
20	DIRECTLY TO THE DEALER. THE CAR WAS IN "LIMP MODE" AND I COULD ONLY DRIVE 35-40 MPH.
21	
22	I SHOWED THE TECH IN THE SERVICE DEPT. ALL THE WARNING LIGHTS GOING OFF, AND HE SAID HE HAD
23	NEVER SEEN ANYTHING LIKE THIS BEFORE. HE WROTE UP
24	A WORK ORDER WITH THE FOLLOWING INFO: INSPECT FOR CHECK ENGINE LIGHT ON, CHECK ENGINE LIGHT
25	FLASHING AND EVERY WARNING LIGHT IN THE VEHICLE
26	IS ON, WOULD NOT ACCELERATE OVER 35 MPH.
27	THE FOLLOWING DAY I WAS CONTACTED BY THE SERVICE
28	DEPT. AND WAS TOLD THEY COULD NOT FIND A PROBLEM;
	Page 19
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
I	

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.21 Page 21 of 59
1 2	THERE WERE NO LIGHTS ON, THEY EVEN DROVE IT FOR 40 MILES. THE SERVICE DEPT. SAID THEY ARE ONLY ALLOWED TO HOLD THE CAR FOR FIVE DAYS.
3	TODAY, 9/8/25 (sic) I PICKED UP THE CAR. THE DEALER'S
4	INVOICE READS: CAUSE: LIGHT ON – 1235050 DIAGNOSTIC
5	TROUBLE CODES/PROGRAMMED FUEL INJECTION (PGM-FI) – RETRIEVE CODES WITH THE HDS, READ DATA, 74 – WA3.
6	INSPECTED FOR CHECK ENGINE LIGHT ON, FOUND DTC
7	P0303 (CYLINDER # 3 MISFIRE) RECORDED FREEZE DATA AND CLEARED CODES, AT TIME OF THE INSPECTION
8	VEHICLE WAS RUNNING PERFECTLY, TEST DROVE
9	VEHICLE TWICE ON EXTENDED TEST DRIVES AND ENGINE
10	RAN PERFECTLY, NO DTCS ARE RESET ANO PROBLEM IS PRESENT AT THIS TIME.
11	
12	SINCE THE SERVICE DEPT. COULD NOT FIND THE PROBLEM AND FIXT IT, THIS MEANS THE CAR HAS NOT BEEN
13	REPAIRED AND I AM DRIVING A DEFECTIVE CAR. THE
14	NEXT TIME THE WARNING LIGHTS GO ON, I COULD BE
15	TRAVELLING ON A CROWDED FREEWAY AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED (WITH MY FAMILY INSIDE), THE CAR GOES INTO
_	"LIMP MODE – 35 MPH AND AN ACCIDENT OCCURS. THIS IS
16	A SAFETY ISSUE. HOW CAN ACURA MANUFATURE A \$50,000.00 CAR AND THEIR DEALERSHIP NOT BE ABLE TO
17	FIND THE PROBLEM AND REPAIR IT?
18	d. DATE OF INCIDENT: October 22, 2015
19	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: November 25, 2015
20	NHTSA/ODI ID: 10807071
21	VEHICLE: 2016 Acura MDX SUMMARY: I GOT MY 2016 ACURA MDX IN JULY. SINCE
22	THEM, I AM HAVING TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS. OFF-THE-
23	LINE SHIFTS ARE ERRATICS, DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH
24	THROTTLE I GIVE THE ENGINE. GIVE IT 3/4 THROTTLE AND ACCELERATION IS GREAT, BUT MILEAGE SUFFERS BADLY.
25	GIVE IT ANY LESS THROTTLE AND ACCELERATION IS
26	MEAGER, PLUS THE ENGINE REVS VERY HIGH BETWEEN SHIFTS.
27	
28	ONE TIME, I WAS PULLING AWAY FROM A STOPLIGHT
	Page 20
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Cas	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.22 Page 22 of 59
1 2 3 4	AFTER SLOWING TO NEARLY A FULL STOP (THE LIGHT JUST CHANGE AS I APPROPACHED), I PRESSED 1/2 WAY ON THE ACCELERATOR AND THE ENGINE BOGGED TO NEARLY ZERO RPMS. I THOUGHT IT DIED. I LET UP AND PRESSED DOWN AGAIN AND IT RETURNED TO NORMAL.
5 6 7 8	MERGING ON THE HIGHWAY IS ALSO VERY DANGEROUS AND SCARY. AS I HIT THE GAS TO ACCELERATE ON THE ON-RAMP, THE ENGINE HESITATES SO BADLY, I NEARLY RUN OUT OF RAMP. PASSING ON THE HIGHWAY IS THE SAME, UNLESS I PRESS THE THROTTLE TO THE FLOORBOARD.
9 10 11 12 13	ANOTHER TIME, I FLOORED THE THROTTLE ON THE HIGHWAY WHILE GOING 50 MPH, TO PASS A CAR. THE TRANSMISSION DOWN SHIFTED THEN REVVED UP TO 5,000 RPM AND STAYED THERE, EVEN AFTER I TOOK MY FOOT OFF THE GAS PEDAL! I HAD TO FLOOR THE PEDAL AGAIN TO COAX THE TRANS TO UP-SHIFT.
14 15 16	I COMPLAINED TO ACURA HQ. THEY TOLD ME TO TALK TO MY DEALER. I SPOKE TO MY DEALER, AND THEY SAID THAT'S JUST HOW THE TRANSMISSION IS.
17 18 19	SO DISAPPOINTED WITH THE CAR. I SUSPECT BAD PROGRAMMING IN THE TRANSMISSION ON ACURA'S PART. THEY NEED TO FIX THIS PROBLEM BEFORE SOMEONE DIES IN A HIGHWAY TRAFFIC ACCIDENT.
20 21 22	e. DATE OF INCIDENT: July 29, 2019 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: August 1, 2019 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11240989
22 23 24	VEHICLE: 2019 Acura RDX SUMMARY: I WAS ACCELERATING HARD ONTO A HIGHWAY ON RAMP WHEN THE CHECK ENGINE LIGHT TURNED ON AND THE CAR WENT INTO "LIMP" MODE. I
25 26 27	DROVE AT 40 MILES PER HOUR TO THE NEXT EXIT AND TURNED OFF THE CAR AS INSTRUCTED ON THE MANUAL. THE CAR RETURNED TO NORMAL OPERATION AFTERWARDS ALTHOUGH SPECIAL CARE WAS TAKEN TO MINIMIZE STRONG ACCELERATION.
28	Page 21 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.23 Page 23 of 59
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 f. DATE OF INCIDENT: October 25, 2019 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: October 16, 2019 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11271078 VEHICLE: 2019 Acura RDX SUMMARY: ON WEDNESDAY, OCT 16, 2019, I WAS DRIVING THIS RDX FOR ABOUT 2 HOURS, AND WAS GOING SOUTH ON N CAROLINA RT #171 IN A STEADY RAIN ON A FLAT ROAD. I DROVE BEHIND A 18 WHEEL LOG TRUCK FOR 10-15 MINUTES AT 50-55 MPH BEFORE CONFIRMING THERE WAS NO VEHICLES COMING THE OTHER WAY. WHEN IT WAS CLEAR FOR 1-2 MILES, I FLOORED THE ACCELERATOR TO PASS THE LOG TRUCK. THE RDX LEAPED FORWARD AT FIRST, BUT HALFWAY PAST THE LOG TRUCK THE VEHICLE
10	LOST POWER AND SLOWED TO 35-40 MPH OR SO (MAYBE
11	SLOWER, AS I AM GUESSING I WAS NOT LOOKING AT THE DASH).
12	I WAS SCARED THAT I COULD NOT COMPLETE MY PASS OF
13	THE TRUCK. FORTUNATLEY, AFTER 5-10 SECONDS, THE
14	TRUCK DRIVER NOTICED SOMETHING WAS AMISS, AND HE SLOWED DOWN TO LET ME PASS AT MY SLOWER SPEED. I
15	PULLED OFF AND WAS GRATEFUL FOR TWO THINGS. FIRST
16	THAT NO ONE WAS COMING THE OTHER WAY, AS A HEAD ON COLLISION COULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE. SECOND,
17	THAT THE TRUCK SLOWED TO PERMIT ME TO PULL OFF ON
18	THE RIGHT SHOULDER. THIS TOOK PLACE ABOUT 220PM.
19 20	AFTER PULLING TO THE SHOULDER, AND LEAVING THE
20 21	RDX RUNNING, I WAITED FOR SEVERAL MINUTES, AND TRIED TO PULL FORWARD. THE LIMITED POWER
22	CONDITION REMAINED. AND THE ORANGE ENGINE SYMBOL APPEARED WHEN I LOST POWER, BUT I WASN'T
23	LOOKING AT THE DASH.
24	AFTER SEVERAL MORE MINUTES, I TURNED THE CAR OFF
25	AND WAITED FOR SEVERAL MORE MINUTES. WHEN THE
26	CAR RESTARTED, THE SYMBOL WAS GONE, AND REGULAR POWER HAD RETURNED. I DROVE ABOUT 100 YARDS, AND
27	THEN RE-ENTERED RT#171 WHEN THERE WAS NO ONE
28	COMING BEHIND ME. THE RDX OPERATED FINE THEN AND
	Page 22
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.24 Page 24 of 59
1 2 3 4	I COMPLETED A 3.5 HOUR DRIVE TO MYRTLE BEACH. I DROVE NORMAL FROM THERE TO NAPLES FL OVER THE NEXT 5 DAYS WITH NO ISSUES. BUT, I DIDN'T TRY TO ACCELERATE BY DEPRESSING THE PEDAL TO THE FLOOR IN THE RAIN. THERE WAS A CLEAR SAFETY INCIDENT AT 220PM OCT 16TH.
5 6 7 8	 g. DATE OF INCIDENT: December 28, 2019 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: December 28, 2019 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11291765 VEHICLE: 2019 Acura RDX
9 10 11	SUMMARY: WE TURNED ONTO THE ON-RAMP OF 140 WEST IN ARKANSAS AT EXIT 58 HEADING WEST. I ACCELERATED TO GET UP TO SPEED TO MERGE ONTO THE HIGHWAY. WHEN I REACHED 70 MPH THE CAR STOPPED
12 13	ACCELERATION AND VERY RAPIDLY SLOWED TO 20 MPH IN FRONT OF MULTIPLE CARS AND SEMI TRUCKS. I WAS LUCKY TO HAVE BEEN MISSED BY THE TRAFFIC BEHIND US AND WAS ABLE TO GET TO THE RIGHT SHOULDER. THE
14 15 16	CAR WOULD NTO ACCELERATE PAST 10-20MPH. WE LIMPED TO THE NEXT EXIT AND FOUND A PARKING LOT. I TURNED THE CAR OFF AND BACK ON AND IT SEEMED TO BE WORKING CORRECTLY AGAIN. MY ENTIRE FAMILY
17 18	COULD HAVE EASILY BEEN KILLED! DURING THE INCIDENT THE CHECK ENGINE LIGHT CAME AND ON AND REMAINED ON UNTIL TURNING THE CAR OFF AND BACK ON.
19 20 21	h. DATE OF INCIDENT: February 22, 2019 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: January 30, 2020
22 23	NHTSA/ODI ID: 11202020 VEHICLE: 2016 Acura MDX SUMMARY: WHEN DRIVING AT HIGHWAY SPEEDS FOR AT LEAST A HALF HOUR AND ATTEMPTING TO ACCELERATE,
24 25 26	THE ENGINE SPEED WILL DROP TO IDLE AND WILL NOT RESPOND TO ACCELERATOR PEDAL MOVEMENT FOR SEVERAL SECONDS. WHEN THIS AHPPENS, THERE ARE NO:
20 27 28	WARNINGS, LIGHTS, OR MESSAGES AND NO FAULT CODES ARE STORED IN ANY OF THE CONTROL MODULES. THIS HAS NEVER HAPPENED WHEN USING CRUISE CONTROL.
	Page 23 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1THIS HAS HAPPENED ON AT LEAST 9 D1THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DANGEROUS C2HAS NEARLY RESULTED IN AOTHER VEH3OUR FROM BEHIND, DUE TO THE RAPID D	ONDITION THAT ICLE IMPACTING
4 i. DATE OF INCIDENT: November 10, 2019	
5 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: December 2, 2	019
NHTSA/ODI ID: 11286445 6 VEHICLE: 2016 Acura MDX	
7 SUMMARY: NOVEMBER 10, 2019 TRAVELING	NG ON HIGHWAY
AT ~65 MPH, PRESSED ACCELERATOR TO	PASS CAR AND
8 VEHICLE WOULD NOT SPEED UPFLET LI 0 IN NEUTRAL. AFTER ABOUT 7 TO 10	
9 TRANSMISSION FINALLY KICKED IN	
10 ACCELERATED. IF THIS HAPPENED ON A 2	
11 LACK OF TRANSMISSION DELIVERING PO COULD HAVE RESULTED IN A HEAD	
12 SERVICE APT SCHEDULED WITH ACU	
13 WHERE PURCHASED AND WAS TOLD THEY	
14'CODES' OR ALERTS IN CARS COMPUTER14FOUND NOTHING WRONG. THE DI	
15 REPROGRAMMED THE TRANSMISSION S	
THEY HAVE DONE THAT 2 OTHER TIMES	
A CUDA DEALEDCUD 4 TIMES TO ADDD	
SHIFTING OF TRANSMISSION AND TOLD T	
18 WE FELT IT WAS A SERIOUS SAFETY CONC INCIDENT OF NO POWER WHEN ATTEMPT	
19 INCIDENT OF NO POWER WHEN ATTEMPT HIGHWAY IS THE FIRST TIME THAT HAS H	
20 IS A VERY SERIOUS CONCERN. WE WERE	
21 3RD TIME THAT THE HARSH SHIFTIN WARNDERING WAS A 'CHARACTERISTIC'	
22 MODEL CAR AND THAT ACURA HAS B	
23 ADDRESS THE ISSUE WITH TRANSMISS	
24 UPDATES. I HAVE SEEN MANY ONLINE THE "GEAR-WANDERING" TRANSMISSIO	
25 AND HAVE ALSO READ SIMILAR SAFETY	
CAR LOSING TRANSMISSION POWER AT H	GHWAY SPEEDS.
PLEASE INCOURTFULLI KEFIEW INIS (
WE FIRMLY BELIEVE THAT THE KNOWN R	
28	
Page 24 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.26 Page 26 of 59
1 2	OF THE 2016 ACURA MDX SHAWD TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS ARE A HUGE SAFETY ISSUE. THIS 7 SEATER SUV IS DRIVEN BY MANY FAMILIES!
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	j. DATE OF INCIDENT: November 14, 2019 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: January 30, 2020 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11302032 VEHICLE: 2020 Acura RDX SUMMARY: I DRIVE A 2020 ACURA RDX HAVING 1,840 MILES. WHILE DRIVING ON THE HIGHWAY ON THE TWO SEPARATE OCCASIONS (APPROXIMATELY SEVERAL MONTH APART), MY CAR HAD SUDDENLY DECELERATED. MY FOOT WAS NOT ON THE BREAK AT ALL. THE CAR WOULD NOT ACCELERATE WHEN APPLYING MY FOOT ON THE ACCELERATOR. FORTUNATELY THERE WAS STILL ENOUGH FORWARD MOTION IN THE CAR FOR ME TO BE ABLE TO PULL OVER TO THE SIDE WITHOUT INCIDENT. AFTER A FEW SECONDS, I STEPPED ON THE ACCELERATOR
13 14	AGAIN AND THE CAR HAD RETURNED TO NORMAL OPERATION.
15	I BROUGHT THE CAR IN TO THE DEALERSHIP FOR SERVICE. THEY COULD NOT FIND OR DUPLICATE THE PROBLEM
16 17	RELATED TO MY EXPERIENCES. I GAVE THEM COPIES OF MY INTERNET SEARCHES SHOWING OTHER DRIVERS' COMPLAINTS HAVING SIMILAR "LIMP MODE"
18	EXPERIENCES. THE DEALERSHIP CLAIMS THEY ARE NOT
19 20	AWARE OF THIS PROBLEM AND OF ANY COMPLAINTS. I FIND THIS RESPONSE ABSOLUTELY FRUSTRATING BECAUSE THE SAFETY OF MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS ARE
21	AT STAKE. FOR NOW, I AM LIMITING MY DRIVING TO WHAT IS ONLY NECESSARY UNTIL THIS DEFECT IS RESOLVED. I
22	AM ALSO CONSIDERING OTHER OPTIONS.
23 24	k. DATE OF INCIDENT: January 3, 2020
24	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: January 13, 2020 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11299118
26	VEHICLE: 2019 Acura RDX SUMMARY: MY BRAND NEW 2019 ACURA RDX THAT HAD
27	9200 MILES ON IT SHUT DOWN ON ME ON THE FREEWAY. IT
28	WAS RAINING AND I PULLED OUT TO PASS, GOING ABOUT
	Page 25
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.27 Page 27 of 59
1 2 3 4 5 6	75 MPH, AND IT WENT INTO WHAT I NOW KNOW IS LIMP MODE AND THE ENGINE LIGHT FLASHED ON AND OFF AND THE ENGINE WAS MAKING A DIFFERENT SOUND THAN NORMAL. I HAD SOMEONE TAILGATING ME, SO I AM LUCKY IT DIDN'T CAUSE AN ACCIDENT. GOT PULLED OVER AND CALLED THE DEALERSHIP AND THEY SAID IT NEEDED TO COME IN. THEY SPECULATE RAINDROPS GOT ON THE ENGINE FILTER (FORGET THE NAME) AND THE CAR THOUGHT IT WAS MALFUNCTIONING AND SHUT DOWN. I
7	HADNT TURNED THE CAR OFF BECAUSE I WAS AFRAID ID
8	BE STRANDED, SO I DON'T KNOW IF IT WOULD HAVE RESET ITSELF. STILL, I BOUGHT THIS CAR FOR
9	DEPENDABILITY AND IVE LOST ALL TRUST. I HAD HAD
10	THREE ACURA CARS BEFORE THIS. IVE BEEN TOLD THERES
10	NO FIX AND ENGINEERS ARE WORKING ON IT BUT THEY COULDNT RECREATE THE SITUATION AND ITS WORKING
12	OKAY NOW. THIS IS A SAFETY ISSUE. I COULD HAVE BEEN
12	ON A TWO-LANE PASSING AND COULDNT GET BACK IN WHEN IT SHUT DOWN BEFORE AN ONCOMING CAR! SO I
13	CANT DRIVE IT IN THE RAIN OR PASS NOW? THE
	DEALERSHIP HAD ONE OTHER RDX DO THE SAME THING AND IM READING SEVERAL ONLINE. I FEEL LIKE ITS
15	RUSSIAN ROULETTE. DO I JUST HAVE A LEMON OR IS IT A
16	DESIGN FLAW? IM SCARED! THE CAR IS STILL AT THE DEALERSHIP, BUT THERE'S NOTHING THAT CAN BE DONE
17	BECAUSE EVERYTHING CHECKS OUT. THEY DON'T
18	HONESTLY KNOW WHAT TO DO, SO THE POSSIBILITY OF
19	BEING STRANDED OR MISSING A FLIGHT OR BEING INJURED OR KILLED IS NOT OFF THE TABLE! THEY KNOW
20	THEY HAVE A PROBLEM BUT NOT MAKING IT PUBLIC
21	KNOWLEDGE. IT'S A BRAND NEW DESIGN SO THERE MUST BE A FLAW.
22	
23	1. DATE OF INCIDENT: October 30, 2019 DATE COMPLAINT EILED: November 2, 2010
24	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: November 2, 2019 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11277657
25	VEHICLE: 2019 Acura RDX
26	SUMMARY: ON OCTOBER 30, 2019, I WAS PASSING A DUMP TRUCK ON RT. 539 IN NEW JERSEY, I HAD JUST
27	ACCELERATED TO COMPLETE THE PASS. AS I WAS
28	COMPLETING THE PASS, THE ENGINE LOST POWER AND
	Page 26
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.28 Page 28 of 59
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE ENGINE MALFUCTION LIGHT BEGAN BLINKING, I'VE BEEN TOLD THE VEHICLE WENT INTO LIMP MODE. THE CAR DECELERATED AND WOULD NOT GO OVER 20 MPH. I WAS QUICKLY ABLE TO PULL ONTO THE SHOULDER TO AVOID BEING HIT BY THE TRUCK I JUST PASSED. ONCE IN THE SHOULDER, I TURNED THE VEHICLE OFF. WHEN I TURNED THE VEHICLE BACK ON, THE ENGINE MALFUCTION LIGHT WAS NO LONGER FLASHING AND THE CAR RESUMED NORMAL OPERATIONS. I CONTACTED THE LOCAL ACURA DEALERSHIP. THE DEALERSHIP KEPT THE VEHICLE FROM OCTOBER 30 UNTIL NOVEMBER 1, I WAS TOLD THAT THERE WERE NO STORED CODES ON THE VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE WAS TEST DRIVEN FOR 60 MILES, THE DEALER WAS UNABLE TO DUPLICATE THE ISSUE. THE VEHICLE WAS RETURNED TO ME, I WAS INFORMED IF THE ISSUE OCCURS AGAIN TO BRING IT BACK.
14 15	A CAR ACCIDENT. m. DATE OF INCIDENT: June 1, 2019
16 17	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: June 27, 2019 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11222974 VEHICLE: 2019 Acura RDX
18 19	SUMMARY: I KNOW BY NOW THE PUBLIC MAY REALIZE ALL THE ISSUES SURROUNDING THE 2019 RDX MODEL. I
20	PURCHASED ONE THREE MONTHS AGO.
21	WHILE THERE ARE A HOST OF IMPORTANT ISSUES/PROBLEMS I HAVE SEEN WITH THE VEHICLE, ALL
22	'APPEAR' TO BE SOFTWARE RELATED, I WOULD LIKE TO
23	EXPRESS MY CONCERN WITH ONE SPECIFIC ISSUE.
24	THE THROTTLE IS DELAYED WHEN ACCELERATING FROM
25	A STANDSTILL UP TO 10 MPH, BUT CAN ALSO HAPPEN AT HIGHER SPEEDS. THIS APPEARS TO BE DEPENDENT ON THE
26	RPM. THIS OCCURS IN COMFORT AND SPORT MODES. THE
27	DELAY IS ONE TO TWO SECONDS. THIS DELAY IS CRITICAL WHEN SAY, TAKING A TURN CROSSING TRAFFIC. YES,
28	
	Page 27 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.29 Page 29 of 59
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	TEHRE ARE MANY CUSTOMERS WITH THE SAME PROBLEM. I SEE THIS AS A SAFETY ISSUE, JUST AS BAD AS, FOR EXAMPLE, BRAKES NOT ACTUALLY BEING APPLIED FOR 1 OR TWO SECONDS AFTER BEING PHYSICALLY APPLIED, OR MAYBE THE CLUTCH BEING DELAYED IN A MANUAL TRANSMISSION BY TWO SECONDS. THIS WOULD NEVER BE ACCEPTABLE IN THE MARKETPLACE, BUT YET SOMEHOW AN AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION IS OK TO BE DELAYED. WE RDX OWNERS HAVE RECEIVED A SOFTWARE UPDATE IN FEBRUARY. I FEEL THIS IS UNACCEPTALE. IT APPEARS THE VEHICLE WAS NOT READY FOR PRODUCTION 2 YEARS AGO, AND HAS YET TO SEE CRITICAL PROBLEMS FIXED.
9 10	
11	I HAVE BEEN TO THE DEALER WERE THEY DID A CLUTCH PROCEDURE AND PASSED ON RESULTS TO ACURA.
12	THIS PROCEDURE DID NOT FIX THE ISSUE, AND I HAVE
13	PASSED THIS INFO ONTO THE DEALER.
14	n. DATE OF INCIDENT: September 20, 2018
15	DATE COMPLAINT FILED: February 27, 2020 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11311868
16	VEHICLE: 2017 Acura MDX
17	SUMMARY: THE TRANSMISSION IN THE CAR DECELERATES UNEXPECTEDLY, THE VEHICLE STALLS
18	WHEN TRYING TO ACCELERATE, IT ALSO JERKS WHEN
19	SHIFTING GEARS, ALL OF THESE ISSUES ARE EXTREMELY DANGEROUS WHEN DRIVING ON THE HIGHWAY,
20	SWITCHING LANES AND SIMPLY DRIVING AND TRYING TO
21	MANEUVER FROM A STOP. WE HAVE ALSO HAD A WARNING POP UP ON THE DASHBOARD TO IMMEDIATELY
22	STOP THE VEHICLE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STREET
23	BECAUSE THERE IS AN ISSUE WITH THE TRANSMISSION, WE TOOK THE CAR TO THE DEALERSHIP AND THEY SAID
24	THEY DONT SEE THE SPECIFIC CODE AND TO JUST HAVE IT
25	TOWED NEXT TIME. I BOUGHT THIS CARE FOR SAFETY, WE
26	JUST HAD A BABY AND NOW I AM SCARED TO DRIVE THE CAR ON A DAILY BASIS, WHAT IF IT DOESNT ACCELATE
27	WHEN NEEDED AND WE GET HIT! WE HAVE BROUGHT THE
28	TRANSMISSION ISSUE UP MULTIPLE TIMES; HOWEVER,
	Page 28
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 ALL WE HAVE BEEN TOLD IS THAT THIS A NORMAL THING FOR THE ACURA MDX 2017 YEAR WE HAVE. THIS IS NOT NORMAL, IT IS EXTREMELY UNSAFE. 3 0. DATE OF INCIDENT: August 14, 2018 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: August 15, 2018 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11120215 VEHICLE: 2017 Acura MDX SUMMARY: WHEN PULLING FOR WARD FROM A STOP INTO A CAR WASH, THE ZF 9-SPEED TRANSMISSION DROPPED INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH ILLUMITED, INCLUDING STABILITY CONTROL, AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY 10 ARBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY 11 FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY 12 SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RESTARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED. 16 64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class 19 Vchicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they 10 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car 11 bable to accelerate without being throw ninto limp mode, stalling, or 12 will be able to accelerate without being throw ninto limp mode, stalling, or 13 will be able to accelerate withou to heaspeed li s depressed. <td< th=""><th>Case</th><th>3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.30 Page 30 of 59</th></td<>	Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.30 Page 30 of 59			
o. DATE OF INCIDENT: August 14, 2018 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 11 12 13	2	FOR THE ACURA MDX 2017 YEAR WE HAVE. THIS IS NOT			
4 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: August 15, 2018 5 NHTSA/ODI ID: 11120215 6 SUMMARY: WHEN PULLING FORWARD FROM A STOP INTO 7 A CAR WASH, THE ZF 9-SPEED TRANSMISSION DROPPED 7 INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING THE 8 VEHICLE TO LOSE ALL ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD. 9 WHEN THIS HAPPENED, ALL WARNING LIGHTS ON THE 9 WHEN THIS HAPPENED, ALL WARNING LIGHTS ON THE 10 AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND 11 FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY 12 SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. 13 THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE 14 STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL 15 SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE 16 DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED. 16 Orsumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class 19 Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they 10 Acter actional consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car 11 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car 12 would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have	3	o. DATE OF INCIDENT: August 14, 2018			
 VEHICLE: 2017 Acura MDX SUMMARY: WHEN PULLING FORWARD FROM A STOP INTO A CAR WASH, THE ZF 9-SPEED TRANSMISSION DROPPED INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING THE VEHICLE TO LOSE ALL ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD, WHEN THIS HAPPENED, ALL WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH ILLUMITED, INCLUDING STABILITY CONTROL, AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED. 64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	4				
6SUMMARY: WHEN PULLING FORWARD FROM A STOP INTO A CAR WASH, THE ZF 9-SPEED TRANSMISSION DROPPED INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING THE VEHICLE TO LOSE ALL ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD. 	5				
7A CAR WASH, THE ZF 9-SPEED TRANSMISSION DROPPED INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING THE VEHICLE TO LOSE ALL ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD. 99WHEN THIS HAPPENED, ALL WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH ILLUMITED, INCLUDING STABILITY CONTROL, AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.161764. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them.2265. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed.24Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 28	6				
1INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING THE VEHICLE TO LOSE ALL ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD.9WHEN THIS HAPPENED, ALL WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH ILLUMITED, INCLUDING STABILITY CONTROL, AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.1664. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them.2265. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed.2425262728	_				
 WHEN THIS HAPPENED, ALL WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH ILLUMITED, INCLUDING STABILITY CONTROL, AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE-STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED. 64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 28 	-	INTO NEUTRAL WITHOUT WARNING, CAUSING THE			
DASHILLUMITED, INCLUDINGSTABILITYCONTROL, AIRBAGS, CHECK10AIRBAGS, CHECKENGINE, LANEDEPARTMENT, AND FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY FLASHED THROUGH EVERY12FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.1664.The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them.2265.Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed.27Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 28					
10AIRBAGS, CHECK ENGINE, LANE DEPARTMENT, AND11FRONTAL COLLISION SYSTEM, AND THE DIGITAL DISPLAY12FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR13SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL.13THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE14VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE-15SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE16DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.1664. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable18consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class19Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they20would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or21leased them.2265. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car23will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or24simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class25Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe,26reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed.27Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably28	9				
11FLASHED THROUGH EVERY COMPONENT ON THE CAR SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL. THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.1664. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class1964. The existence of the Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them.2065. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 28	10				
12SAYING THERE WERE SYSTEM FAILURES IN THEM ALL.13THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.1664. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class1964. The existence of the Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them.2065. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 28	11				
13THE IMMEDIATE REMEDY WAS TO STOP, PLACE THE VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.161764. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class1910101112131415151617181919191910101011121314151516171819191910101112131415151616171819191910101112131415151516161718191919101011121314151516161717181919 <tr< td=""><td>12</td><td colspan="4"></td></tr<>	12				
 VEHICLE IN PARK AND SHUT OFF THE ENGINE. WHEN RE- STARTED THE VEHICLE WAS ABLE TO BE DRIVEN, BUT ALL SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED. 64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	13				
 SYSTEM FAILURE LIGHTS AND WARNING LIGHTS ON THE DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED. 64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 					
15DASH AND DIGITIAL DISPLAY REMAINED ILLUMINATED.1664. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable1764. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable18consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class19Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they20would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or21leased them.2265. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car23will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or24simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class25Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe,26reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed.27Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably2828					
 64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	_				
 consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	16				
 Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	17	64. The existence of the Defect is a material fact that a reasonable			
 would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	18	consumer would consider when deciding whether to purchase or lease a Class			
 leased them. 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	19	Vehicle. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known of the Defect, they			
 65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	20	would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or			
 will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	21	leased them.			
 simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	22	65. Reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff, reasonably expect that a car			
 Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe, reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	23	will be able to accelerate without being thrown into limp mode, stalling, or			
 reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed. Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 	24	simply become unresponsive to the gas pedal. They also expected that the Class			
 27 Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably 28 	25	Vehicles would be fit for the ordinary purpose of being capable of provide safe,			
28	26	reliable transportation and for accelerating when the gas pedal is depressed.			
	27	Plaintiff and other owners and/or lessees of Class Vehicles further reasonably			
Page 29	28				
		Page 29			

expected that Honda would not sell or lease vehicles with known safety defects 1 which make the vehicle stop suddenly on the highway, leaving the driver and 2 3 passengers at a high risk of being in a collision, such as the Defect, and will disclose any such defects to its consumers when it learns of them. They did not 4 5 expect Honda to fail to disclose the Defect to them and to continually deny it.

6

Honda Has Actively Concealed the Defect

7 66. Despite knowing of the existence of the Defect, Honda has and continues to market the Class Vehicles as safe and reliable, capable of safely 8 9 transporting the driver and passengers. Multiple consumers have reported being told that despite talking to dealers and Honda's corporate Acura division itself, 10 11 there is no Defect.

According to the brochure for the 2017 Acura MDX, "The Acura 12 67. 13 logo was designed to depict an engineering caliper and since its inception has served as a symbol and a constant reminder of our unwavering commitment to 14 15 precision and craft. The caliper is our true north and a promise of the painstaking 16 attention to detail that goes into every Acura. The 2017 MDX is the 17

manifestation of that commitment."⁸

68. Honda also promised that:

People are more important to us than any vehicle or industry accolades, so when it comes to protecting our passengers, we ask ourselves one simple question, "Is it safe enough for our own families to ride in?" It's our greatest goal to one day drive in a zero-collision society, and the 2017 MDX was designed and engineered with that goal in mind. For us, safety is personal.⁹

23

22

18

19

20

21

The brochure for 2019 Acura MDX promised that, "THE MOST 69.

- 24
- 25

⁸ <u>https://www.acuracertified.com/-/media/Acura-Certified-</u> <u>Images/Brochures/2017_MDX_Brochure.ashx; see also 2018</u> Acura MDX

IMPORTANT PERSON ON THE ROAD IS EVERY PERSON ON THE

26 brochure, available at https://cdn.dealereprocess.org/cdn/brochures/acura/2018mdx.pdf 27 ⁹ Id.

ROAD. AcuraWatchTM forms a network of seamlessly connected sensing
 technologies within the MDX. These advanced safety and driver-assistance
 features constantly gather information and offer warnings, and some features can
 even take action when necessary, all to help prevent, avoid, or minimize
 threats."¹⁰

70. The brochure for the 2019 Acura RDX similarly promised, "Redlight to-green-light go power is perfectly mated to a 10-speed transmission and is
instinctively connected to your desires through Sequential SportShift paddle
shifters."¹¹

There is no mention in any of the advertising for the Class Vehicles
that the vehicle can experience hesitation, stalling, being pushed into limp mode,
or even shutting down completely when the driver tries to accelerate from a stop
or at highway speeds. There are only promises of superior performance and
safety, without revealing the whole truth about the safety of the vehicle.

15 72. Despite its knowledge of the Defect in the Class Vehicles, Honda
actively concealed the existence and nature of the defect from Plaintiffs and
Class Members, while promising advanced performance and safety.

18 73. Nor has Honda revised its advertising or informed potential
19 purchasers and lessees that the Defect exists and comes with a corresponding
20 safety risk, especially during highway driving.

21 74. Specifically, Honda failed to disclose or actively concealed at and
22 after the time of purchase, lease, or repair:

23

24

25

- (a) any and all known material defects or material nonconformity of the Class Vehicles, including the defects pertaining to the
- 26 ¹⁰ Available at https://cdn.dealereprocess.org/cdn/brochures/acura/2019mdx.pdf (emphasis in original)
 27 ¹¹ Available at https://www.acura.com/

²⁷ 11 Available at https://www.acura.com/ 28 //media/Files/Vehicles/RDX/2019/MY2019-ACURA-RDX-BROCHURE.pdf

Page	31
rage	51

acceleration;

-			
2		b) that the Class Vehicles, including the modules controlling th	ıe
3		powertrain components, were unsafe, not in good in working	g
4		order, were defective, were in need of repair and possibly	
5		recalibration or other software mechanisms, and were not fit	t
6		for their intended or particular purposes; and	
7		c) that the Class Vehicles were defective, despite the fact that	
8		Honda learned of such defects as early as 2014 during pre-	
9		production testing, but not later than July 2015 when it issue	ed
10		the first service campaign regarding stalling in Class	
11		Vehicles.	
12		CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	
13	75.	Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of himself	
14	and all others similarly situated as members of the proposed Class pursuant to		
15	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3). This action satisfies the		
16	numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority		
17	requirements of those provisions.		
18	76.	The Class and Sub-Class are defined as:	
19			
20		Class: All individuals in the United States who burchased or leased a model year 2016 to 2020 MDX and	
21		nodel year 2019 to 2020 RDX vehicles.	
22	•	California Sub-Class: All members of the Class who eside in or purchased their Class Vehicles in the State	
23		of California.	
24	•	<u>CLRA Sub-Class</u> : All members of the Class who are consumers" within the meaning of California Civil	
25		Code § 1761(d).	
26	•	mplied Warranty Sub-Class: All members of the Class who purchased or leased their vehicles in the State	
27		of California.	
28			
		Page 32	
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

77. Excluded from the Class and Sub-Classes are: (1) Defendants, any 1 entity or division in which Defendants has a controlling interest, and their legal 2 representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; (2) the Judge to 3 whom this case is assigned and the Judge's staff; (3) any Judge sitting in the 4 5 presiding state and/or federal court system who may hear an appeal of any judgment entered; and (4) those persons who have suffered personal injuries as a 6 result of the facts alleged herein. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class 7 and Sub-Class definitions if discovery and further investigation reveal that the 8 9 Class and Sub-Class should be expanded or otherwise modified.

Numerosity: Although the exact number of Class Members is 78. 10 11 uncertain and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, upon information and belief, tens of thousands of Class Vehicles have sold in the 12 United States, and thousands within California. The number is great enough 13 such that joinder is impracticable. The disposition of the claims of these Class 14 Members in a single action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and to 15 16 the Court. The Class Members are readily identifiable from information and records in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, as well as from records 17 18 kept by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

19 79. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class in that Plaintiffs, like all Class Members, purchased or leased a Class Vehicle 20 21 designed, manufactured, and distributed by Honda. The representative Plaintiff, like all Class Members, has been damaged by Defendants' misconduct in that 22 they have incurred or will incur the cost of repairing or replacing the defective 23 component systems. Furthermore, the factual bases of Honda's misconduct are 24 common to all Class Members and represent a common thread resulting in injury 25 26 to the Class.

27

28

80. <u>Commonality</u>: There are numerous questions of law and fact

common to Plaintiff and the Class that predominate over any question affecting
 Class Members individually. These common legal and factual issues include the
 following:

3	following:		
4		(a)	Whether Class Vehicles suffer from the Defect;
5		(b)	Whether the defects relating to the acceleration constitute an
6			unreasonable safety risk;
7		(c)	Whether Defendants have knowledge of the Defect and, if so,
8			how long Defendants has known of the defect;
9		(d)	Whether the Defect constitutes a material fact;
10		(e)	Whether Defendants have a duty to disclose the Defect to
11			Plaintiff and Class Members;
12		(f)	Whether Plaintiff and the other Class Members are entitled to
13			equitable relief, including a preliminary and/or permanent
14			injunction;
15		(g)	Whether Defendants knew or reasonably should have known
16			of the Defect before they sold and leased Class Vehicles to
17			Class Members;
18		(h)	Whether Defendants should be declared financially
19			responsible for notifying the Class Members of problems with
20			the Class Vehicles and for the costs and expenses of repairing
21			the Defect;
22		(i)	Whether Defendants are obligated to inform Class Members
23			of their right to seek reimbursement for having paid to
24			diagnose or repair the Defect;
25		(j)	Whether Defendants breached the implied warranty of
26			merchantability pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty
27			Act;
28			
			Page 34
			CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
1	I		

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.36 Page 36 of 59		
1	(k) Whether Defendants breached the implied warranty of		
2	merchantability pursuant to the Song-Beverly Act;		
3	(1) Whether Defendants breached their express warranties under		
4	UCC section 2301;		
5	(m) Whether Defendants breached written warranties pursuant to		
6	the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act;		
7	(n) Whether Defendants breached the Consumer Legal Remedies		
8	Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.;		
9	(o) Whether Defendants breached the Unfair Competition Law,		
10	Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;		
11	(p) Whether Defendants breached the New York General		
12	Business Law § 349, et seq.;		
13	(q) Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched by their actions;		
14	and		
15	(r) Whether damages, restitution, equitable, injunctive,		
16	compulsory or other relief are warranted.		
17	81. <u>Adequate Representation</u> : Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect		
18	the interests of the Class Members. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced		
19	in the prosecution of class actions, including consumer and product defect class		
20	actions, and they intend to prosecute this action vigorously.		
21	82. <u>Predominance and Superiority</u> : Plaintiff and Class Members have all		
22	suffered and will continue to suffer harm and damages as a result of Defendants'		
23	unlawful and wrongful conduct. A class action is superior to other available		
24	methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Absent a class		
25	action, most Class Members would likely find the cost of litigating their claims		
26	prohibitively high and would therefore have no effective remedy. Because of the		
27	relatively small size of the individual Class Members' claims, it is likely that		
28			
	Page 35		

only a few Class Members could afford to seek legal redress for Defendants' 1 misconduct. Absent a class action, Class Members will continue to incur 2 damages, and Defendants' misconduct will continue without remedy or relief. 3 Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be a superior 4 5 method to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that it will conserve the resources of the courts and the litigants and promote consistency 6 and efficiency of adjudication. 7 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 8 9 FRAUD (on behalf of the Class or, alternatively, on behalf of the California Sub-10 **Classes against all Defendants**) 11 Plaintiff incorporate by reference the allegations contained in 83. 12 13 paragraphs 1 through 82, *supra*. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the 14 84. Class, or, alternatively, Plaintiff brings this cause of action of behalf of himself 15 16 and the California Sub-Class. Defendants intentionally and knowingly misrepresented material 85. 17 facts and concealed, suppressed, and/or omitted material facts including the 18 19 existence of the Defect and the standard, quality or grade of the Class Vehicles and the fact that the Class Vehicles contain a Defect and corresponding safety 20 risk, with the intent that Plaintiff and members of the Class rely on Defendants' 21 misstatements and omissions. As a direct result of the Defendants' fraudulent 22 conduct, Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered actual damages. 23 Defendants knew at the time of sale or lease and thereafter that the 86. 24 Class Vehicles contained the Defect, misrepresented the safety, reliability and 25 26 ability to accelerate safely of Class Vehicles, and concealed the Defect and never 27 intended to repair the Defect during the warranty periods. To date, Defendants 28

have not provided Plaintiff and members of the Class with a repair or remedy for
 the Defect.

87. Defendants owed a duty to disclose the Defect and its corresponding
safety risk to Plaintiff and the members of the Class because Defendants
possessed superior and exclusive knowledge regarding the Defect. Further,
Defendants had a duty to disclose any information relating to the safety, quality,
functionality and reliability of Class Vehicles because they consistently marketed
the Class Vehicles safe, reliable transportation.

9 88. Once Defendants made representations to the public about safety, quality, functionality, and reliability, Defendants were under a duty to disclose 10 11 these omitted facts, because where one does speak one must speak the whole truth and not conceal any facts which materially qualify those facts stated. One 12 13 who volunteers information must be truthful, and the telling of a half-truth calculated to deceive is fraud. Rather than disclose the Defect, or that the effect 14 of the Defect was that Class Vehicles may not be able to accelerate without 15 16 suffering a shutdown, being thrown into limp mode, or stalling, Defendants intentionally and knowingly concealed, suppressed and/or omitted material facts 17 including the standard, quality or grade of the Class Vehicles and the presence of 18 19 the Defect and corresponding safety risk, to sell additional Class Vehicles and avoid the cost of repair or replacement. 20

89. The Defect is material to Plaintiff and the members of the Class
because Plaintiff and the members of the Class had a reasonable expectation that
the Class Vehicles would not contain a defect that prevents them from
accelerating safely and that exposes them and others to a safety risk. No
reasonable consumer expects a vehicle to contain a concealed defect in design,
manufacture, materials or workmanship, such as the Defect as well as its
associated safety risk.

28

90. Plaintiff and members of the Class would not have purchased or
 leased the Class Vehicles but for Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions, and
 concealment of material facts regarding the nature and quality of the Class
 Vehicles and the existence of the Defect and corresponding safety risk, or would
 have paid less for the Class Vehicles.

6 91. Defendants knew their misstatements about the Class Vehicles' safety and reliability, and superior driving experience, as well as their 7 concealment and suppression of the existence of the Defect was false and 8 9 misleading and knew the effect of concealing those material facts. Defendants knew their misstatements, concealment, and suppression of the Defect would sell 10 more Class Vehicles and would discourage Plaintiff and the members of the 11 Class from seeking replacement or repair of the Defect during the applicable 12 warranty periods. Further, Defendants intended to induce Plaintiff and the 13 members of the Class into purchasing or leasing the Class Vehicles and to 14 15 discourage them from seeking replacement or repair of the Defect in order to 16 decrease costs and increase profits.

17

92. Defendants acted with malice, oppression and fraud.

93. Plaintiff and the members of the Class reasonably relied upon
Defendants' knowing misrepresentations, concealment and omissions. As a
direct and proximate result of Defendants' misrepresentations, omissions and
active concealment of material facts regarding the Defect and the associated
safety risk, Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered actual damages
in an amount to be determined at trial.

28

24

25

26

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION					
2	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMERS					
3	LEGAL REMEDIES ACT,					
4	CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1750, ET SEQ.					
5	(On behalf of Plaintiff and the CLRA Sub-Class against All Defendants)					
6	94. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in					
7	paragraphs 1 through 82, <i>supra</i> .					
8	95. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the					
9	Class, or, alternatively, the CLRA Sub-Class.					
10	96. Defendants are "persons" as defined by California Civil Code					
11	§ 1761(c).					
12	97. Plaintiff and CLRA Sub-class Members are "consumers" within the					
13	meaning of California Civil Code § 1761(d) because they purchased their Class					
14	Vehicles primarily for personal, family, or household use.					
15	98. By failing to disclose and concealing the defective nature of the					
16	Class Vehicles from Plaintiff and prospective Class Members, Honda violated					
17	California Civil Code § 1770(a), as it represented that the Class Vehicles had					
18	characteristics and benefits that they do not have and represented that the Class					
19	Vehicles were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of					
20	another. See Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1770(a)(5) & (7).					
21	99. Honda's unfair and deceptive acts or practices occurred repeatedly					
22	in Honda's trade or business, were capable of deceiving a substantial portion of					
23	the purchasing public and imposed a serious safety risk on the public.					
24	100. Honda knew that the Class Vehicles suffered from an inherent					
25	defect, were defectively designed, and/or were not suitable for their intended use.					
26	101. Because of their reliance on Honda's misstatements about the safety					
27	and omissions regarding the existence of the Defect, owners and/or lessees of the					
28						
	Page 39					

Class Vehicles, including Plaintiff, suffered an ascertainable loss of money,
 property, and/or value of their Class Vehicles. Additionally, because of the
 Defect, Plaintiff and Class Members were harmed and suffered actual damages
 in that the Class Vehicles are substantially certain to require repair and/or
 replacement.

6 102. Honda was under a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to disclose
7 the defective nature of Class Vehicles and/or the associated safety risk because:

- (a) Honda was in a superior position to know the true state of facts about the Defect in Class Vehicles;
 - (b) Plaintiff and Class Members could not reasonably have been expected to learn or discover that the Class Vehicles had a uniform, dangerous safety defect until it manifested; and
 - (c) Honda knew that Plaintiff and Class Members could not reasonably have been expected to learn of or discover the Defect.

16 103. In advertising and continuing to advertise that the Class Vehicles are
17 safe and reliable, Honda knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the true
18 nature of the Class Vehicles.

19 104. In failing to disclose the defective nature of the Class Vehicles,
20 Honda knowingly and intentionally concealed material facts and breached its
21 duty not to do so.

105. The facts Honda misstated to, concealed from, or failed to disclose
to Plaintiff and Class Members are material in that a reasonable consumer would
have considered them to be important in deciding whether to purchase or lease
the Class Vehicles or whether to pay less for the Class Vehicles. Had Plaintiff
and Class Members known that the Class Vehicles' were defective, they would
not have purchased or leased the Class Vehicles or would have paid less for

28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1	them.					
2	106. Plaintiff and Class Members are reasonable consumers who do not					
3	expect their vehicles to exhibit problems such as the Defect which impacts their					
4	ability to accelerate safely, at any speed and especially at highway speeds. This					
5	is the reasonable and objective consumer expectation relating to a vehicle's					
6	ability to be driven on a highway or freeway.					
7	107. Because of Honda's conduct, Plaintiff and CLRA Class Members					
8	were harmed and suffered actual damages in that, on information and belief, the					
9	Class Vehicles experienced and will continue to experience problems such as the					
10	Defect.					
11	108. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unfair or deceptive					
12	acts or practices, Plaintiff and CLRA Class Members suffered and will continue					
13	to suffer actual damages.					
14	109. Plaintiff and the CLRA Class are entitled to equitable relief.					
15	110. Plaintiff has sent notice to Honda of its violations of the CLRA					
16	pursuant to California Civil Code § 1782(a). If, within 30 days of notice, Honda					
17	does not provide appropriate relief for its violations of the CLRA, Plaintiff will					
18	seek monetary, compensatory, and punitive damages, in addition to the equitable					
19	relief he seeks now.					
20	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION					
21	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS					
22	CODE § 17200, <i>ET SEQ</i> .					
23	(On behalf of Plaintiff and the California Sub-Class					
24	against All Defendants)					
25	111. Plaintiff incorporate by reference the allegations contained in					
26	paragraphs 1 through 82, <i>supra</i> .					
27	112. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the					
28						

Class, or, alternatively, on behalf of the California Sub-Class.

113. Because of their reliance on Honda's misstatements and omissions,
owners and/or lessees of the Class Vehicles, including Plaintiff, suffered an
ascertainable loss of money, property, and/or value of their Class Vehicles.
Additionally, because of the Defect, Plaintiff and California Sub-Class Members
were harmed and suffered actual damages in that the Class Vehicles' are
substantially certain to require repair or replacement well before the end of the
design life of the components.

9 114. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits acts of
10 "unfair competition," including any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act
11 or practice" and "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."

12 115. Plaintiff and California Sub-Class Members are reasonable
13 consumers who do not expect their vehicles to exhibit the symptoms of the
14 Defect.

15 116. Honda knew the Class Vehicles were defective in design, materials,
manufacture, and/or workmanship, would fail prematurely, and were not suitable
for their intended use.

18 117. In failing to disclose the Defect, Honda has knowingly and
19 intentionally concealed material facts and breached its duty not to do so.

20 118. Honda was under a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to disclose
21 the defective nature of the Class Vehicles because:

- (a) Honda was in a superior position to know the true state of
 facts about the defect in the Class Vehicles;
 - (b) The Defect poses a safety risk to Plaintiff and the California Sub-Class; and
 - (c) Honda actively concealed the defective nature of the ClassVehicles from Plaintiff and the California Sub-Class.
- 28

24

25

26

27

1

Page 42

119. The facts Honda misstated, concealed from, or failed to disclose to 1 Plaintiff and California Sub-Class Members are material in that a reasonable 2 person would have considered them to be important in deciding whether to 3 purchase or lease Class Vehicles. Had they known of the Defect, Plaintiff and the 4 5 other California Sub-Class Members would have paid less for the Class Vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them at all. 6 120. Honda continued to deny and conceal the defective nature of the 7 Class Vehicles even after Class Members began to report problems. Honda also 8 9 continues to represent that the Class Vehicles are safe and reliable to drive, even on highways and freeways. 10 11 121. Honda's conduct was and is likely to deceive consumers. 12 122. Honda's acts, conduct, and practices were unlawful, in that they constituted: 13 Violations of California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act; 14 (a)

Violations of the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act; 15 (b) 16 (c) Violations of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act; and Breach of Express Warranty under California Commercial 17 (d) Code section 2313. 18 19 123. By its conduct, Honda has engaged in unfair competition and unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices. 20 124. Honda's unfair or deceptive acts or practices occurred repeatedly in 21 Defendants' trade or business and were capable of deceiving a substantial 22 23 portion of the purchasing public. 125. As a direct and proximate result of Honda's unfair and deceptive 24 practices, Plaintiff and California Sub-Class Members have suffered and will 25 continue to suffer actual damages. 26 126. Honda has been unjustly enriched and should be required to make 27 28 Page 43

restitution to Plaintiff and the California Sub-Class pursuant to §§ 17203 and
 17204 of the Business & Professions Code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES PURSUANT TO SONG-BEVERLY CONSUMER WARRANTY ACT, CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §§ 1792 AND 1791.1, *ET SEQ*. (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Implied Warranty Sub-Class against All Defendants)

9 127. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in
10 paragraphs 1 through 82, *supra*.

11 128. Plaintiff brings this cause of action against all Defendants on behalf12 of himself and the Implied Warranty Sub-Class.

13 129. Honda was at all relevant times the manufacturer, distributor,
14 warrantor, and/or seller of the Class Vehicles. Honda knew or had reason to
15 know of the specific use for which the Class Vehicles were purchased or leased
16 because the Class Vehicles are hybrid vehicles.

130. Honda provided Plaintiff and Implied Warranty Sub-Class Members 17 with an implied warranty that the Class Vehicles and their components and parts 18 19 are merchantable, pass without objection in the trade, are fit for the ordinary purposes for which they were sold, are adequately labeled, and conform to the 20 promises and affirmations on the label. However, the Class Vehicles are not 21 merchantable because they are not fit for their ordinary purpose of providing 22 reasonably reliable and safe transportation because, *inter alia*, the Class Vehicles 23 suffered from an inherent defect at the time of sale and thereafter and are not fit 24 for their particular purpose of providing safe and reliable transportation. The 25 Class Vehicles would not pass without objection in the trade because they are 26 subject to the effect of the Defect, namely hesitation, stalls, being thrown into 27

28

3

4

5

6

7

neutral, or shutting down completely when the driver tries to accelerate,
 especially at highway speeds.

3 131. Honda impliedly warranted that the Class Vehicles were of merchantable quality and fit for their intended use. This implied warranty 4 5 included, among other things: (i) a warranty that the Class Vehicles, which were 6 manufactured, supplied, distributed, and/or sold by Honda, would provide safe and reliable transportation; (ii) a warranty that the Class Vehicles would be fit 7 for their intended use; (iii) that the Class Vehicles would pass without objection 8 9 in the trade; (iv) that Class Vehicles are adequately labeled; and (v) that Class Vehicles would conform the promises and affirmations on their labels. 10

11 132. Contrary to the applicable implied warranties, the Class Vehicles
12 and their powertrain systems at the time of sale and thereafter were not fit for
13 their ordinary and intended purpose of providing Plaintiff and Class Members
14 with reliable, durable, and safe transportation, would not pass without objection
15 in the trade, were not adequately labeled, and did not conform to the promises
16 and affirmation on their labels. Instead, the Class Vehicles are defective.

17 133. The alleged Defect is inherent and was present in each Class18 Vehicle at the time of sale.

19 134. Because of Honda's breach of the applicable implied warranties,
20 owners and/or lessees of the Class Vehicles suffered an ascertainable loss of
21 money, property, and/or value of their Class Vehicles.

135. Honda's actions, as complained of herein, breached the implied
warranty that the Class Vehicles were of merchantable quality and fit for such
use in violation of California Civil Code §§ 1792 and 1791.1.

25

26

27

28

Page 45

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 1 **BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY** 2 (By Plaintiff on behalf of the Class, or alternatively, the California Sub-3 **Class against Defendant Honda USA**) 4 5 136. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in 6 paragraphs 1 through 73, *supra*. 137. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and on 7 behalf of the Class, or, alternatively the California Sub-class, against Honda 8 9 USA. 138. Honda USA provided all purchasers and lessees of the Class 10 11 Vehicles with an express warranty described *infra*, which became a material part 12 of the bargain. Accordingly, Honda USA's express warranty is an express 13 warranty under California law. 139. The powertrain components were manufactured and/or installed in 14 15 the Class Vehicles by Honda Japan and are covered by the express warranty 16 provided by the Acura Automobile Division, a division of Honda USA, on behalf of Honda USA. 17 140. In a section entitled "Warranty Coverage," Honda USA's express 18 19 warranty provides in relevant part that "Acura will repair or replace any part that is defective in material or workmanship under normal use." 20 141. According to Honda USA, the New Vehicle Limited Warranty 21 coverage for Acura models is for 4 years or 50,000 miles, whichever occurs first. 22 The Powertrain Limited Warranty coverage is for 6 years or 70,000 miles, 23 24 whichever occurs first. 142. Honda USA breached the express warranties by selling and leasing 25 26 Class Vehicles, requiring repair or replacement within the warranty period, and refusing to honor the express warranty by repairing or replacing, free of charge, 27

Page 46

28

the defective components which cause the Defect. In addition, when Honda USA
 did perform repairs, via software updates, nevertheless breached the express
 warranty by failing to completely repair the Defect, such that these Class
 Vehicles are still subject to hesitation, stalls, sudden, rapid deceleration, shifting
 into neutral, and shutdowns while being driven.

6 143. Plaintiff and members of the Class have had sufficient direct dealings with either Honda USA or its agents (dealerships and technical support) 7 to established privity of contract between Honda USA, on one hand, and Plaintiff 8 9 and each of the other Class Members on the other hand. Nonetheless, privity is not required here because Plaintiff and each of the other Class Members are 10 11 intended third-party beneficiaries of contracts between Honda USA and its distributors and dealers, and specifically, of Honda USA's express warranties. 12 The dealers were not intended to be the ultimate consumers of the Class Vehicles 13 and have no rights under the warranty agreements provided with the Class 14 15 Vehicles; the warranty agreements were designed for and intended to benefit the 16 consumer only.

144. Plaintiff was not required to notify Honda USA of the breach or was 17 18 not required to do so because affording Honda USA a reasonable opportunity to 19 cure its breach of written warranty would have been futile. Honda USA was also on notice of the Defect from its own pre-production testing, from the early 20 21 complaints and service requests it received from Class Members, its own testing and analysis which lead to the issuance of service bulletins and recalls, from 22 repairs and/or replacements of powertrain components, and from other internal 23 24 sources.

25 145. Honda USA was further provided notice of its breach of express
26 warranties by Plaintiff by letter dated April 7, 2020.

27

146. Plaintiff also provided notice of express warranties when he took his

Class Vehicle to Hoehn Acura, a Honda-authorized provided of warranty repairs.
 Despite these notices, Honda USA failed to cure the breach of express warranties
 within an adequate time.

4 147. As a direct and proximate cause of Honda USA's breach of express
5 warranties, Plaintiff and the other Class Members have suffered, and continue to
6 suffer, damages, including economic damages at the point of sale or lease.
7 Additionally, Plaintiff and the other Class Members have incurred or will incur
8 economic damages at the point of repair in the form of the cost of repair.

9 148. Plaintiff and the other Class Members are entitled to legal and
10 equitable relief against Honda USA, including actual damages, consequential
11 damages, specific performance, attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and other relief as
12 appropriate.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT FOR BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTIES,

15 U.S.C. § 2303 ET SEQ.

(By Plaintiff on behalf of the Class against All Defendants)

18 149. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in
19 paragraphs 1 through 82, *supra*.

20 150. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the21 Class against Honda USA.

151. The Class Vehicles are a "consumer product" within the meaning of
the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).

24 152. Plaintiff and Class Members are "consumers" within the meaning of
25 the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).

153. Honda USA is a "supplier" and "warrantor" within the meaning of
the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(4)-(5).

28

13

14

15

16

17

1 154. Honda USA's express warranty is a "written warranty" within the
 2 meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6).

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

155. As set forth *supra* and incorporated by reference, Honda USA provided a 4 year, 50,000-mile New Vehicle Limited Warranty, and a 6 year, 70,000 mile Powertrain Warranty. Honda USA breached the express warranties by selling and leasing Class Vehicles with the Defect, requiring repair or replacement within the warranty period, and refusing to honor the express warranty by repairing or replacing, free of charge, powertrain components that contribute to the Defect. In addition, when Honda-authorized dealers did attempt repairs, Honda nevertheless breached the express warranty by failing to permanently repair the Defect in Plaintiff's and Class Members', thus failing to "repair" the defect.

13 156. Honda USA's breach of the express warranties has deprived the
14 Plaintiff and Class members of the benefit of their bargain by failing to provide
15 Class Vehicles capable of accelerating, especially at highways, without stalling,
16 suddenly decelerating, shutting down, shifting to neutral, or falling into limp
17 mode.

157. Plaintiff and members of the Class have had sufficient direct 18 19 dealings with either Honda USA or its agents (dealerships and technical support) 20 to established privity of contract between Honda USA, on one hand, and Plaintiff 21 and each of the other Class Members on the other hand. Nonetheless, privity is not required here because Plaintiff and each of the other Class Members are 22 intended third-party beneficiaries of contracts between Honda USA and its 23 24 distributors and dealers, and specifically, of Honda USA's express warranties. The dealers were not intended to be the ultimate consumers of the Class Vehicles 25 26 and have no rights under the warranty agreements provided with the Class Vehicles; the warranty agreements were designed for and intended to benefit the 27

28

1 consumer only.

158. Affording Honda USA a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of 2 3 written warranties would be unnecessary and futile. At the time of sale or lease of each Class Vehicle and all relevant times thereafter, Honda USA knew or was 4 5 reckless in not knowing, of the lack of truth in their statements about the safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles, of the material omissions concerning the 6 standard, quality or grade of the Class Vehicles and the presence of the Defect 7 and associated safety risk, but failed to repair or replace the powertrain 8 9 components and/or disclose the Defect. Under the circumstances, the remedies available under any informal settlement procedure would be inadequate and any 10 11 requirement that Plaintiffs resort to an informal dispute resolution procedure and/or afford Honda USA additional reasonable opportunities to cure its breach 12 13 of warranties is excused and thereby is deemed satisfied.

14 159. Plaintiff and members of the Class would suffer economic hardship
15 if they returned their Class Vehicles, but did not receive the return of all
16 payments made by them to Honda USA and/or their agents. Thus, Plaintiff and
17 members of the Class have not re-accepted their Class Vehicles by retaining
18 them.

19 160. Defendants was provided notice by letter dated April 7, 2020, that
20 Plaintiffs would pursue a claim under the MMWA on behalf of a class.

161. The amount in controversy of Plaintiffs' individual claims meets or
exceeds the sum or value of \$25,000. In addition, the amount in controversy
meets or exceeds the sum or value of \$50,000 (exclusive of interests and costs)
computed on the basis of all claims to be determined in this suit.

162. Honda USA has been afforded a reasonable opportunity to cure its
breach, including when Plaintiffs and Class Members brought their vehicles in
for diagnoses and repair of the Defect.

28

1	163. As a direct and proximate cause of Honda USA's breach of written					
2	warranties, Plaintiff and Class Members sustained and incurred damages and					
3	other losses in an amount to be determined at trial. Honda USA's conduct					
4	damaged Plaintiff and Class Members, who are entitled to recover actual					
5	damages, consequential damages, specific performance, diminution in value,					
6	costs, attorneys' fees, and/or other relief as appropriate.					
7	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION					
8	VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT					
9	FOR BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES,					
10	15 U.S.C. § 2303 et seq.					
11	(By Plaintiff on behalf of the Class against All Defendants)					
12	164. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in					
13	paragraphs 1 through 82, <i>supra</i> .					
14	165. Plaintiff bring this cause of action on behalf of himself and the Class					
15	against all Defendants.					
16	166. The Class Vehicles are a "consumer product" within the meaning of					
17	the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).					
18	167. Plaintiff and Class Members are "consumers" within the meaning of					
19	the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).					
20	168. Defendants are "suppliers" and "warrantors" within the meaning of					
21	the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(4)-(5).					
22	169. Honda provided Plaintiff and the Class with an implied warranty					
23	that the Class Vehicles and their components and parts are merchantable, pass					
24	without objection in the trade, are fit for the ordinary purposes for which they					
25	were sold, are adequately labeled, and conform to the promises and affirmations					
26	on the label. However, the Class Vehicles are not merchantable because they are					
27	not fit for their ordinary purpose of providing reasonably reliable and safe					
28						

Page 51

transportation because, *inter alia*, the Class Vehicles suffered from an inherent
defect at the time of sale and thereafter and are not fit for their particular purpose
of providing safe and reliable transportation. The Class Vehicles would not pass
without objection in the trade, are not adequately labeled and do not comfort the
promises and affirmations on the label because the Class Vehicles are prone to
decelerate suddenly, stall, shutdown, go into limp mode, or shift into neutral
when the driver tries to accelerate, especially at highway speeds.

170. Honda impliedly warranted that the Class Vehicles were of 8 9 merchantable quality and fit for their intended use. This implied warranty included, among other things: (i) a warranty that the Class Vehicles and their 10 11 powertrain systems, which were manufactured, supplied, distributed, and/or sold by Honda, would provide safe and reliable transportation; (ii) a warranty that the 12 Class Vehicles would be fit for their intended use; (iii) that the Class Vehicles 13 would pass without objection in the trade; (iv) that Class Vehicles are adequately 14 labeled; and (v) that Class Vehicles would conform the promises and 15 16 affirmations on their labels.

17 171. Contrary to the applicable implied warranties, the Class Vehicles
and their powertrain systems at the time of sale and thereafter were not fit for
their ordinary and intended purpose of providing Plaintiff and Class Members
with reliable, durable, and safe transportation, would not pass without objection
in the trade, were not adequately labeled, and did not conform to the promises
and affirmation on their labels. Instead, the Class Vehicles are defective,
including the defective powertrain systems.

24 172. Defendants' breach of implied warranties has deprived Plaintiff and
25 Class Members of the benefit of their bargain by failing to provide Class
26 Vehicles with a car that reliably and safely accelerates when the gas pedal is
27 depressed.

28

173. Plaintiff and members of the Class have had sufficient direct 1 dealings with either Honda or its agents (dealerships and technical support) to 2 3 established privity of contract between Honda, on one hand, and Plaintiff and each of the other Class Members on the other hand. Nonetheless, privity is not 4 5 required here because Plaintiff and each of the other Class Members are intended third-party beneficiaries of contracts between Honda and its distributors and 6 7 dealers, and specifically, of Honda's implied warranties. The dealers were not intended to be the ultimate consumers of the Class Vehicles and have no rights 8 9 under the warranty agreements provided with the Class Vehicles; the warranty agreements were designed for and intended to benefit the consumer only. 10

11 174. Affording Defendants a reasonable opportunity to cure their breach of implied warranties would be unnecessary and futile. At the time of sale or 12 lease of each Class Vehicle and all relevant times thereafter, Defendants knew or 13 were reckless in not knowing, of the lack of truth in their statements about the 14 15 safety and reliability of the Class Vehicles, of the material omissions concerning 16 the standard, quality or grade of the Class Vehicles and the presence of the Defect and associated safety risk, but failed to repair or replace the defective 17 18 powertrain system components and/or disclose the Defect. Under the 19 circumstances, the remedies available under any informal settlement procedure would be inadequate and any requirement that Plaintiff resort to an informal 20 dispute resolution procedure and/or afford Defendants additional reasonable 21 opportunities to cure its breach of warranties is excused and thereby is deemed 22 satisfied. 23

24 175. Plaintiff and members of the Class would suffer economic hardship
25 if they returned their Class Vehicles, but did not receive the return of all
26 payments made by them to Defendants and/or their agents. Thus, Plaintiff and
27 members of the Class have not re-accepted their Class Vehicles by retaining

28

them. 1

2

3

176. Defendants were provided notice by letters sent to Honda dated April 7, 2020 that Plaintiff would pursue a claim under the MMWA on behalf of a class. 4

5 177. The amount in controversy of Plaintiff's individual claims meets or exceeds the sum or value of \$25,000. In addition, the amount in controversy 6 7 meets or exceeds the sum or value of \$50,000 (exclusive of interests and costs) computed on the basis of all claims to be determined in this suit. 8

9 178. Defendants have been afforded a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach, including when Plaintiff and Class Members brought their vehicles in for 10 11 diagnoses and repair of the Defect.

179. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' breach of implied 12 warranties, Plaintiff and Class Members sustained and incurred damages and 13 other losses in an amount to be determined at trial. Defendants' conduct 14 15 damaged Plaintiff and Class Members, who are entitled to recover actual 16 damages, consequential damages, specific performance, diminution in value, costs, attorneys' fees, and/or other relief as appropriate. 17

180. Because of Defendants' violations of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty 18 19 Act as alleged herein, Plaintiff and Class Members have incurred damages.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNJUST ENRICHMENT (By Plaintiff on Behalf of the Class, or Alternatively on behalf of the **California Sub-Class against All Defendants**)

181. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in 24 paragraphs 1 through 82, supra. 25

182. Plaintiff bring this cause of action on behalf of himself and the 26 Class, or alternatively, Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself 27

28

20

21

22

1 and the California Sub-Class.

183. As a direct and proximate result of Honda's misrepresentations
about the reliability and safety of the Class Vehicles and failure to disclose
known defects, Honda has profited through the sale and lease of the Class
Vehicles. Although these vehicles are purchased through Honda's agents, the
money from the vehicle sales flows directly back to Honda.

184. As a result of its wrongful acts, concealments, and omissions of the
defect in its Class Vehicles, as set forth above, Honda charged higher price for
their vehicles than the vehicles' true value. Plaintiff and members of the Class
paid that higher price for their vehicles to Honda's authorized distributors and
dealers, which are in Honda's control. Honda also reaps huge profits from the
sale of its vehicles through its authorized distributors and dealers, netting \$143.1
billion in revenue for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2019 alone.

14 185. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Honda's failure to
15 disclose known defects in the Class Vehicles, Plaintiff and Class Members have
16 vehicles that will require high-cost repairs that can and therefore have conferred
17 an unjust substantial benefit upon Honda.

18 186. Honda has been unjustly enriched due to the known defects in the
Class Vehicles through the use money paid that earned interest or otherwise
added to Honda's profits when said money should have remained with Plaintiff
and Class Members.

187. As a result of the Honda's unjust enrichment, Plaintiff and ClassMembers have suffered damages.

RELIEF REQUESTED

25 188. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,
26 request the Court to enter judgment against Defendants, as follows:

27 28

24

(a) An order certifying the proposed Class and Sub-Classes,

designating Plaintiff as representative of the Class, and designating the undersigned as Class Counsel;

 (a) A declaration that Defendants are financially responsible for notifying all Class Members about the defective nature of the of the powertrain system, including the need for repairs;

- An order enjoining Defendants from further deceptive 6 (b) distribution, sales, and lease practices with respect to Class 7 Vehicles; compelling Defendants to issue a voluntary recall 8 9 for the Class Vehicles pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 30118(a); compelling Defendants to remove, repair, and/or replace the 10 Class Vehicles' with suitable alternative product(s) that do not 11 contain the defects alleged herein; enjoining Defendants from 12 selling the Class Vehicles with the misleading information; 13 and/or compelling Honda USA to reform its warranty, in a 14 15 manner deemed to be appropriate by the Court, to cover the 16 injury alleged and to notify all Class Members that such warranty has been reformed; 17
 - (c) A declaration requiring Defendants to comply with the various provisions of the Song-Beverly Act alleged herein and to make all the required disclosures;

 (d) An award to Plaintiff and the Class for compensatory, exemplary, and statutory damages, including interest, in an amount to be proven at trial, except that Plaintiff presently does not seek damages under his Consumers Legal Remedies Act claim.

 (e) Any and all remedies provided pursuant to the Song-Beverly Act, including California Civil Code section 1794;

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

Case	e 3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AH	IG Document 1 Filed 04/07/20 PageID.58 Page 58 of 59						
1		Any and all remedies provided pursuant to the Magnuson-						
2		Moss Warranty Act;						
3		A declaration that Defendants must disgorge, for the benefit						
4		of the Class, all or part of the ill-gotten profits it received						
5		from the sale or lease of its Class Vehicles or make full						
6		restitution to Plaintiff and Class Members;						
7		n award of attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law;						
8	(i) An award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California							
9		Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;						
10		n award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as						
11	-	ovided by law;						
12 13		eave to amend the Complaint to conform to the evidence						
13	-	oduced at trial; and						
14		(1) Such other relief as may be appropriate under the						
15		circumstances.						
10	180 Dursuant	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 189. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b) and Southern						
17								
19		District of California Local Rule 38.1, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all						
20		issues in this action so triable.						
20	Dated: April 7, 2020	Respectfully submitted,						
22	Capstone Law APC							
23								
24	By: /s/ Cody R. Padgett							
25	Steven Weinmann Tarek H. Zohdy							
26	Tarek H. Zohdy Cody R. Padgett Trisha K. Monesi							
27								
28								
	Page 57 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT							

Case	3:20-cv-00676-CAB-AHG	Document 1	Filed 04/07/20	PageID.59	Page 59 of 59				
1 2 3 4 5 6			filed) 1818 Market Suite 3600 Philadelphia, Tel: (215) 8 Fax: (215) 8 rpaul@bm.ne	aul (<i>Pro Hac</i> V c (<i>Pro Hac</i> V ertner (<i>Pro F</i> Street PA 19103 75-3000 75-4604 et	PC c Vice to be filed) Vice to be filed) Vice to be				
7			Attorneys for	r Plaintiffs					
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19 20									
20 21									
21 22									
22									
23									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	Page 58								
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT								

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Filed Over Alleged Software 'Miscommunication' Defect in 2016-2020 Acura MDX, RDX Vehicles</u>